

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Darshan Lal, S/o Sh Karan Chand,
H No-8456, Sector-125, Sunny Enclave,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, PSPCL,
Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SE, PSPCL,
Roopnagar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 522 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Swaranjit Singh, SDO-PSPCL, Kharar for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 16.10.2018 has sought information regarding year-wise electric meters with account number installed in the plots/houses in the name of Smt.Poonam Sharma w/o Rahul Partap Singh R/o H.No.763 Sector 125 and the documents enclosed at the time of applying for electric connection and other information concerning the office of Xen, PSPCL Kharar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 18.12.2018 whereby the PIO informed the appellant that they issued a letter to the 3rd party on 29.11.2018 for getting her consent, which received back unclaimed from the postal authorities and the PIO asked the appellant to provide correct address of the 3rd party after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on **08.05.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that he had asked for total number of electric connections in the name of Poonam Sharma. The PIO was directed to provide total number of electric connections issued in the name of Poonam Sharma w/o Rahul Partap Singh from 01.04.2009 to 31.03.2017 and the copies of documents enclosed at the time of applying for the connection.

The case was again heard on **16.07.2019**. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of next hearing on **28.08.2019**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent on 2nd hearing nor had complied with the order of the Commission. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005** and directed to file reply on an affidavit. The PIO was again directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

Sh.Bachitter Singh, SDO-Operation Sub-Division, PSPCL City Kharar-1 appeared late and pleaded that the information will be provided to the appellant within a week.

On the date of next hearing on **05.12.2019**, the appellant claimed that the information which had been provided by the PIO was incomplete. The appellant also submitted objections. A copy of the objections was attached with the order for the PIO. The PIO was directed to provide, if such document exists and remove the deficiency if any.

Appeal Case No. 522 of 2019

On the t date of hearing on **03.03.2020**, the respondent pleaded that the earlier SDO had retired and he has recently joined as SDO-PSPCL Kharar. The respondent further pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant and no further information is available in their record. The PIO was directed to give this on an affidavit that no further information is available. The affidavit to be provided within 15 days. The PIO was also directed to file reply to the show cause notice.

On the date of last hearing which was held on **05.08.2020**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the complete information. Since during the earlier hearing, the respondent pleaded that complete information had been provided and no further information was available, the PIO was directed to give in writing on an affidavit. The respondent present submitted a copy of affidavit via email which was taken on the file of the Commission.

The PIO however, did not submit reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO as per the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 08.09.2020

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, an affidavit has been provided to the appellant that the complete information has been provided and no further information is available in their record. The Commission has received a copy of the affidavit through email which is taken on the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the reply of the PIO which was submitted by the respondent in the form of an affidavit at the last hearing and accept the plea of the PIO and drop the show cause.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 08.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Davinder Singh, S/o Sh jagir Singh,
Village Panjawa, Tehil Malout,
Distt Sri mukatsar Sahib..

...Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o ADC, (Development),
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

...Respondent

Complaint Case .No. 994 of 2019

PRESENT: **Sh.Davinder Singh as the Complainant**
 Smt.Gurjeet Kaur O/o ADC(D) and Sh.Parampal Singh, Principal-RIVT for
 the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.09.2019 has sought information regarding record sent to principal RIVT Badal or Director Tehchnical Education by ADC(D)-cu-Chairman, District Rural Development Agency on 19.10.2016 and other information concerning the office of ADC(D) Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 22.11.2019.

The case was first heard on 11.03.2020. The complainant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The Commission received a letter diary No.2996 on 13.02.2020 from the First Appellate Authority-cum-Additional Deputy Commissioner(Development), Sri Mukatsar Sahib vide which the ADC(D) had asked the Principal, RIVT, Badal to provide the information to the Complainant and to attend the hearing in the Commission.

The complainant however, produced a letter of the Deputy Director(Admn), O/o Director Technical Education & Employment, Punjab vide which it had been requested to the Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib to direct the ADC(D), Sri Mukatsar Sahib to send the record relating to Rural Institute for Vocational Training Badal. A copy of the letter was sent along with the order for ADC(D) and the ADC(D) was directed to look at the RTI application and provide the required information to the Complainant.

The case was last heard on **03.08.2020**. The Commission received an email from the Principal RIVT Badal stating that the information is not available in their office and the information has to be provided by the ADC(D). The respondent present from the office of ADC(D) pleaded that the information is not available in their office since the record stands destroyed. The PIO was directed to conduct an enquiry into the matter and submit complete enquiry report which establishes that the record stands destroyed or missing and the responsibility has been fixed for the persons under whose custody the record found missing.

The PIO was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing.

Complaint Case .No. 994 of 2019

Hearing dated 08.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, **Sri Mukatsar Sahib**. As per respondent present from the office of ADC(D), the record is being maintained at the level of RVIT. The respondent present from the RVIT pleaded that no record was received by the institute from the office of ADC(D) on 19.10.2016.

The respondent is directed to give this in writing on an affidavit to the complainant with a copy to the Commission within a week.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 08.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Des Raj, S/o Sh Krishan Lal,
H NO-187, Ward No-15/20,
Opp Sri Guru Ravidass Mandir,
Sri Guru Ravidass Nagar, Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, MC, Malout,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Bodies, Bathinda.

....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 301 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jagsir Singh, EO-NC Malout for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 17.10.2019 has sought information regarding i)details of beneficiaries of Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojna from January 2016 to Oct.2019 ii) beneficiaries address-mobile numbers iii) terms & conditions for availing benefits iv) distribution of benefits v) amount distributed in Malout city from the office of EO-NC Malout. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.11.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

On the first date of hearing on 16.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 26.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete. The Commission received a letter dated 27.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission.

Having gone through the RTI application and information provided by the PIO, the PIO was directed to provide list of beneficiaries comprising names & addresses only and not to provide Aadhar/PAN/Mobiles Numbers. The information to be provided within 10 days.

On the date of last hearing on **21.07.2020 which** was held through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib, the respondent was absent and vide email has sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email also informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant on 03.07.2020. The appellant was absent nor has pointed out any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO, and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

Hearing dated 08.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor has pointed out the discrepancies as per last order. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed..**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 08.09.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malut,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, NC, Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Bathinda.

....Respondent

Appeal Case .No. 4675 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jagsir Singh-EO,NC Malout for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.08.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 10.06.2019 against unauthorized construction in Malout City – action taken against the persons/firms – notice issued to the violators – name of the inspecting officer who visited the site – list of structures demolished after filing complaint and other information concerning the office of EO-NC Malout. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing first on 16.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 04.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete.

The Commission received a letter diary No.5523 on 20.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission. Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - PIO to relook and provide complete information
-
- Point-2 -Provided
-
- Point-3 -As per Point-1
- Point-4 -To provide
- Point-5 -Provided

The information was to be provided within 10 days.

Appeal Case .No. 4675 of 2019

The case was last heard on **21.07.2020** through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent was absent and vide email sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email has also informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant on 08.07.2020.

The appellant was not satisfied and wanted the information regarding construction of showrooms opposite telephone exchange near park(Adverdganj). The appellant was also not satisfied with the reply regarding point-4. The PIO was directed to send appropriate reply to the appellant on both the points on an affidavit with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 08.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today **through** video conferencing at DAC, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 08.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malut,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, NC, Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Bathinda.

....Respondent

Appeal Case .No. 4676 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jagsir Singh, EO NC Malout –PIO for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 01.04.2019 has sought information regarding details of shops/showrooms constructed on the National Highway Malout from 01.01.2012 to 30.03.2019 - notices issued to owners of showroom/shops for violation – cases filed against the violators in the courts and and other information concerning the office of EO-NC Malout. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 06.05.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.06.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 16.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 04.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete.

The Commission received a letter diary No.5522 on 20.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission. Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - PIO to relook and provide names
- Point-2 -Provided
- Point-3 -To provide

The case was last heard on **21.07.2020** through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent was absent and vide email sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email also informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant on 08.07.2020.

The appellant was not satisfied with the information on point-1 and stated that the PIO has not provided the list of 20 cases in which notices were issued.

The PIO was directed to provide list of 20 cases in which notices were issued.

Appeal Case .No. 4676 of 2019

Hearing dated 08.09.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The case has come up for hearing today **through** video conferencing at DAC, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 08.09.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner