

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Taranjit Singh,
33, Adarsh Nagar Tarehti,
PO Shahpurkandi, Pathankot.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot.

Appeal Case No. 2828 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Taranjit Singh (Appellant) 97819-48678

Ramesh Kumar, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98884-72828

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier order on 9.10.19, 22.11.19, 15.1.2019, 7.2.2020, and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **16.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information pertaining to a CrPC complaint against himself and others**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.5.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the NIC facility at the office of Deputy Commissioner Pathankot. The respondent PIO, represented by Ramesh Kumar, Superintendent, office of Deputy Commissioner Pathankot, has brought the requested information which was handed over to the appellant. However, the appellant is not satisfied and contended that the information provided does not pertain to the request made in the RTI application. During the course of this hearing, the appellant was shown the file pertaining to the above cited Complaint. Following this, the respondent agreed to furnish attested copies of the documents pointed out by the appellant

3. **Next hearing on 30.9.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot, for COMPLIANCE**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Rajbir Kaur,

W/o Prof. U.S. Kooner,

1002, Phase-4, Mohali – 160 059

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Director General of Police,

NRI Wing, Phase-7, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Additional Director General of Police,

NRI Wing & Women Cell, Phase-7, Mohali.

Appeal Case Nos. 4305 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Sukhdeep Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 98556-80256

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.2.2020, 5.3.2020, and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **22.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding the action taken by the investigating agency on his application dated 7.2.18**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.5.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO is represented by Head Constable, Sukhdeep Singh, is present through Video Conference in the NIC facility, at the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. At the last hearing of this appeal case on 10.6.2020, the respondent stated that by way of a reply, a letter was handed over to the appellant along with some supporting documents on 9.6.2020. In an email to the Commission the same day, the appellant contended that the respondent's letter/report and documents do not address the information requested in his original RTI application. The respondent was directed to submit a copy of the information supplied to the appellant to this Commission.

3. In compliance, the respondent has submitted a reply along with a report dated 11.5.2020 summoned from the SHO Police Station NRI, SAS Nagar. This has been submitted by way of the respondent's reply in both, Appeal Case Nos. 4305 and 4306 of 2019. This Commission is of the considered view that the report by SHO Police Station NRI, SAS Nagar and supporting documents furnished by the respondent, albeit after considerable delay, does adequately address the request made in the RTI application in both AC 4305 and AC 4306.

4. In view of the above, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith, **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Rajbir Kaur,

W/o Prof. U.S. Kooner,

1002, Phase-4, Mohali – 160 059

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Director General of Police,

NRI Wing, Phase-7, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Additional Director General of Police,

NRI Wing & Women Cell, Phase-7, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 4306 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Sukhdeep Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 98556-80256

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.2.2020, 5.3.2020, and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **22.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding the action taken by the investigating agency on his application dated 27.4.18**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.5.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **5.3.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO is represented by Head Constable, Sukhdeep Singh, is present through Video Conference in the NIC facility, at the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. At the last hearing of this appeal case on 10.6.2020, the respondent stated that by way of a reply, a letter was handed over to the appellant along with some supporting documents on 9.6.2020. The appellant however, continues to contend that his RTI application is not addressed by the information supplied by the respondent. The respondent was directed to submit a copy of the information supplied to the appellant to this Commission.

3. In compliance, the respondent has submitted a reply along with a report dated 11.5.2020 summoned from the SHO Police Station NRI, SAS Nagar. This has been submitted by way of the respondent's reply in both, Appeal Case Nos. 4305 and 4306 of 2019. This Commission is of the considered view that the report by SHO Police Station NRI, SAS Nagar and supporting documents furnished by the respondent, albeit after considerable delay, does adequately address the request made in the RTI application in both AC 4305 and AC 4306.

4. In view of the above, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith, **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



H.S. Hundal, Advocate,

203, Judicial Courts,

Sector- 76, S.A.S Nagar,

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Registrar-Cum-General Manager
of Firms and Societies Punjab, Near New Bus Stand,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Registrar of Firms and Societies Punjab,
17 Bays Building, Near Bridge Market,
Sector-17, Chandigarh – 160 017

Appeal Case No. 4497 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Satnam Singh, Senior Assistant (Respondent) 98556-11320

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.2.2020 and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **2.9.19** vide which the appellant has sought, what appears to be voluminous information **regarding housing societies registered in SAS Nagar since 1.1.09,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.10.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.12.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the information and satisfied with it.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



H.S. Hundal, Advocate,
203, Judicial Courts,
Sector- 76, S.A.S Nagar,
Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Registrar of Firms and Societies, Punjab,

17 Bays Building, Near Bridge Market, Sector 17, Chandigarh – 160 017.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Registrar of Firms and Societies, Punjab,

17 Bays Building, Near Bridge Market, Sector 17, Chandigarh – 160 017

Appeal Case No. 4682 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Satnam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98556-11320

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.2.2020 and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **2.9.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **pertaining to the implementation and functioning of the RTI Act in the office of Registrar of Firms and Societies, Punjab, since 1.4.16,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.10.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.12.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has, in an e-mail to the Commission, stated that he has not received any information from the respondent. In response to this Commission's orders 10.6.2020, the respondent PIO has filed an Affidavit wherein he has made the case that the information is far too voluminous and therefore cannot be furnished. However, after going through the Affidavit, it is evident to this Commission that the respondent PIO is not reading the original RTI application filed by the appellant. A scanned copy of the original RTI application be sent to the respondent PIO through e-mail with directions that he submit written, pointwise reply to it as well as furnish the requested information.

3. Next hearing on 15.10.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sandeep Khattri,

126/B6, Gulmohar City,
Dera Bassi, S.A.S. Nagar,
Mohali – 140 507

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Municipal Council,
Kharar – 140 301, S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Deputy Director Local Bodies, Patiala Zone
Room No. 409-A Block, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 429 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman (Respondent) 82952-71122

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **9.10.2019** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding unauthorized colonies situated at Kharar (Mohali)**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.11.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. However, in an earlier e-mail on 23.6.2020, he had informed the respondent PIO, that he has received the information and that this appeal case be disposed of.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sandeep Khattri,

126/B6, Gulmohar City,
Dera Bassi, S.A.S. Nagar,
Mohali-140507

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Punjab Waqf Board,
SCO: 1062-1063, Himalaya Marg, Sector-22 B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Punjab Waqf Board,
SCO: 1062-1063, Himalaya Marg, Sector-22 B, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 430 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, PIO-Cum-Education & Development Officer (Respondent) 97810-23786

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **9.10.2019** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding land record of Punjab Waqf Board in village Gulabgarh and Birdandrala at Sub Division Derabassi in SAS Nagar, Mohali** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.11.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO-cum-Education & Development Officer, Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, is present through Video Conference from the NIC facility at the office of Deputy Commissioner Mohali. As directed by the Commission at the last hearing, he has submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant as well as copy of the Postal Receipt. In view of the fact that there is no subsequent communication from the appellant and neither has he opted to attend this hearing, this Commission is constrained to conclude that he is satisfied with the reply sent by the respondent PIO.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

7.8.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jagdish Chand,
419, Adarsh Nagar,
Naya Gaon, District Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Personal-1), Admin. Wing,
Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Personal-1), Admin. Wing,
Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3558 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jagdish Chand (Appellant) 95929-13123

Manmehak Pal Singh, Constable (for the Respondent) 84673-02403

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 27.11.19, 29.1.2020, and 10.6.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **15.3.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding the number of male and female constables recruited as “special case” between July 1999 and 1.3.2019**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.7.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **10.6.2020**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference from the NIC facility at the office of Deputy Commissioner Mohali. The respondent PIO represented by Constable, Manmehak Pal Singh, is present. As directed by the Commission at the last hearing, the respondent PIO has submitted a copy of the affidavit given to the appellant. On going through the contents of the affidavit, this Commission finds that the respondent PIO has merely quoted of Commission's orders and cited some other CIC and judicial rulings. Contrary to this Commission's directions, the respondent PIO has not made any specific declaration with regard to the information requested in the RTI application.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to resubmit the Affidavit succinctly stating the oral contention made by him during the hearings in this appeal case. The Affidavit must be duly Notarised. The original be given to the appellant and a copy be scanned and e-mailed to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 30.9.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
7.8.2020