

Satpal Singh,

s/o Sh. Chhabil Dass, Ward No.1, Tohana Road, Bhuna, Tehsil & District Fatehabad.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director General of Police, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9,Chandigarh. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director General of Police, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9,Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3280 of 2019

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 94171-31510

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **26.11.18** vide which the appellant has sought information pertaining to Complaint No. 1982/GC/DGP dated 30.8.18, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation to this Commission.

3. The respondent PIO, represented today by Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable, has submitted that the matter for which information has been sought in the RTI application pertains to SSP Mohali where it was referred on 18.1.19. However, more than a year has passed without any intimation to the appellant.

4. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to retrieve the information from the office of SSP Mohali and furnish it to the appellant with a copy to this Commission before next hearing.

5. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner Chandigarh 7.11.19



Mohd Nazir Rattan,

Rattan Colony, Jamalpura, Malerkotla-148023

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chief Executive Officer, Punjab Waqf Board, Chandigarh. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Chairman, Punjab Waqf Board, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3283 of 2019

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, PIO-cum-Education & Development Officer(Respondent) 97810-23786

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **25.10.18** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation to this Commission. The respondent PIO, Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, Education & Development Officer is present in person and has submitted that all the requested information except a copy of the Civil Service Rules (CSR) has been sent to the appellant twice on 1.10.19 and 23.10.19.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a copy of the postal receipt and dispatch register to this Commission before the next hearing.

4. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Munesh K Singh,

2594, Sector-27-C, Chandigarh-160019

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Inspector General of Police, Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar.

Appeal Case No. 3289 of 2019

PRESENT: MuneshK. Singh (Appellant) 80549-69724 Nathi Ram, ASI (for the Respondent) 94638-44213

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **24.6.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Nathi Ram, ASI, has submitted that the information has already been furnished to the appellant. However, the appellant contested this saying that none of the information sought on the 11 points of his RTI application has been supplied.

3. The appellant is advised to list the shortcomings in the information given to him in a letter to the respondent with a copy to this Commission. The respondent PIO is directed to bring all the information pertaining to this RTI application that exits in the original record along with attested copies at the next hearing.

4. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Rajwinder Singh,

s/o Kuldeep Singh, # 2636, Street No. 1,Azad Nagar,Near Shmla Market, Putlighar, Amrtisar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Police Commissioner, Police Commissionerate, District Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 3295 of 2019

PRESENT: Rajwinder Singh (Appellant) 98728-21947 Hira Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98780-91391 Surinder Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 97805-75800

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **1.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Hira Singh, ASI and Surinder Singh, Head Constable, have submitted that all the existing record pertaining to the RTI application has been furnished to the appellant not once, but on multiple occasions. He also stated that no other record pertaining to this RTI application, exists in his custody.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit an affidavit affirming his aforesaid contention.

4. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Rajwinder Singh,

s/o Sh. Kuldeep Singh, # 2636, Street No. 1,Azad Nagar,Near Shimla Market, Putlighar, Amrtisar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Commissioner, Police Commissionerate, District Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 3160 of 2019

PRESENT: Rajwinder Singh (Appellant) 98728-21947 Hira Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98780-91391 Surinder Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 97805-75800

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **29.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Hira Singh, ASI and Surinder Singh, Head Constable, have submitted that a detailed pointwise reply that has already been furnished to the appellant.

3. The appellant however says that the Supreme Court Judgment referred to in Former Chief Information Commissioner Shri S.S. Channi's order of 3.4.19, has not been provided to him.

4. This Commission is of the view that Orders of this Commission are handed down after considerable due diligence. His insistence on being provided a copy of a Supreme Court Judgement cited in the former CIC's orders appears mis-directed. No appellant can expect to have the staff of a public authority, in this instance, the Police Commissionerate at Amritsar, divert all their resources to track down an Apex Court Judgement, when all such judicial orders are available from multiple, publicly accessible sources, online.

5. This Commission is of the considered view that it would amount to a substantial diversion of government resources to fulfil his information request, which has already been largely addressed.



6. Under the circumstance, this Commission feels that there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is, herewith <u>CLOSED</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Harvinder Pal Singh,

2749-A, MIG Super, Sector-70, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Municipal Corporation, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Municipal Corporation, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 3306 of 2019

PRESENT:

Harvinder Pal Singh (Appellant) 98767-81133 Ranjiv Kumar, PIO, Secretary Corporation (for the Respondent) 98143-77099

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **6.11.18** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19.** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent, PIO Ranjiv Kumar, Secretary, M.C., Mohali, has submitted a reply to this Commission's notice with a copy of the information requested in the RTI application of the appellant. However, the RTI application is more than a year old and it is surprising that the respondent PIO has been willfully withholding information that was in his custody before the filing this of RTI application. The incumbent PIO has submitted that he was not holding the post of PIO when the RTI application was submitted.

3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish a list of all officers who have been holding the post of PIO since 6.11.18 to date with their addresses, telephone numbers, and present posting. The Commission will take a decision on imposing penalty once this information is received.

4. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner Chandigarh 7.11.19



Tilak Raj, s/o Chhuju Ram, Anand Vihar Colony,Front of Ram Talai Temple, # 128/B/33, Batala, District Gurdaspur – 143505

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Amritsar.

Complaint Case No. 788 of 2019

PRESENT: Tilak Raj (Complainant) 98782-99743 Harpal Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-16624

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Tilak Raj**, filed this RTI application dated **15.6.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **30.8.19**.Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Harpal Singh, ASI, has submitted that the complaint is still pending with DSP, Jandiala. But he has assured this Commission that he will retrieve the requested information and furnish it to the appellant before the next hearing.

3. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Puneet Mehta,

36-B, Sarabha Nagar, Bhadson Road, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, District Administrative Complex, Sector-76, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali-160071

Complaint Case No. 789 of 2019

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent Beant Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 95922-00164

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Puneet Mehta**, filed this RTI application dated **6.7.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **30.8.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation to this Commission. The respondent PIO, represented by Beant Singh, ASI, has submitted that the officer in whose custody the requested information exists, is deployed on duty for the 550th Parkash Utsav celebrations of Guru Nanakji and has requested another date.

3. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Lakhveer Singh,

S/o Sh. Sadhu Singh, Vill: Fatehullapur, Tehsil: Kharar, Distt: Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar,Kharar,

District Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 790 of 2019

PRESENT: Lakhveer Singh (Complainant) 97807-41914 Santosh Singh, Reader (for the Respondent) 81460-51116

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Lakhveer Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **11.7.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Tehsildar**, **Kharar**, **Mohali**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.9.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Santosh Singh, Reader, has submitted that the information has already given to the complainant on 2.5.19, which is even before the filing of RTI application (in response to another application).

3. The complainant admits that he is in possession of the copies of the *Hadbast* he had requested, but says that this has not been updated as per Civil Court's order in 2006.

4. This Commission is satisfied that the information, as it exists in the custody of the public authority, in this instance, the office of the Tehsildar at Kharar, is already in the complainant's possession.

5. With no further cause for action, this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner



Kulwant Kaur,

172, N.A.C., Mani- Majra. U.T., Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka.

Complaint Case No. 794 of 2019

PRESENT:

Kulwant Kaur (Complainant) 94643-13688 Rajinder Kumar, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 85588-00861 Ram Parshad, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98554-64748

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Kulwant Kaur**, filed this RTI application dated **15.6.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Director**, **Bureau of Investigation**, **Pb.**, **Chandigarh**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.9.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Rajinder kumar, SI, o/o SSP, Fazilka and Ram Parshad, Senior Assistant o/o of Director, Bureau of Investigation, Chandigarh, have submitted that the RTI application was first transferred to the SSP Ferozepur on 12.7.19, who subsequently transferred it to the SSP Fazilka on 2.11.19.

3. The respondents have brought a copy of the information that was sent to the complainant on 5.11.19, and which is still to be received by her. The complainant has been given another unattested copy (11 pages) of the requested enquiry report. She requested for time to peruse this and intimate the Commission whether she is satisfied or not.

4. Next hearing on 8.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 7.11.19

Cc: Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh.



Trilochan Singh, s/o Gurbachan Singh, # 848-A, MIG, Punjab Housing Board Colony, Jamalpur, Ludhiana – 141010.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Moti Nagar, Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 799 of 2019

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Trilochan Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **23.7.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **SHO**, **Police Station Moti Nagar**, **Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **3.9.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are absent. The complainant Trilochan Singh, represented by his advocate Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, has informed the Commission in an e-mail that he is unable to appear today's hearing since he is occupied with, in a Judicial Court and has requested one adjournment.

3. This complainant case is hereby adjourned to **<u>8.1.20 at 11.00 am.</u>**

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner