



**Anil Bassi,**

S/o. Ravinder Bassi,

Near LIC Office,

Hoshiarpur.

versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o. Civil Surgeon,

Hoshiarpur.

**First Appellate Authority-cum-**

Civil Surgeon,

Hoshiarpur.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2745 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Rajinder Raj, (Respondent) Mob: 9501035572.

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 04.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.2019.

3. The appellant Dr. Anil Bassi is absent as the notice for hearing sent by the Commission was not delivered to him. The respondent PIO Rajinder Raj is present and has brought the relevant record with her.

4. As regards the appellant's plea that he has received incomplete information, the respondent has stated that the appellant had sought information regarding 'legal opinion' taken by the District Advisory Committee at its meetings on 21.12.18 and 28.1.19. The respondent submitted that no separate legal opinion was taken and that the District Attorney (Legal) was present at the meetings of the District Advisory Committee. The respondent stated that there is no separate information regarding any legal opinion, other than that has already been communicated to the appellant.

5. This Commission sees no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh:

Dated: 30.08.2019.



**Pardeep Kumar,**  
H.No.682, 1st Floor,  
Sector 15-A,  
Faridabad.(Haryana).

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police Patiala,  
Baradari, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority-cum-**  
IGP, Patiala Range,  
Patiala.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2746 of 2019**

**PRESENT:**

Pardeep Kumar (Appellant) Mob: 9310416034.  
Ajit, ASI (Respondent' representative)-9779977554.

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the commission on 29.7.19 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act)
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.19.
3. Both, the appellant as well as respondent are present.
4. The respondent has submitted the reply that the requested information is exempt under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI information Act. 2005, which reads as:

*Section 8 (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen, --- (h) information which would impede the process of investigation or apprehension or prosecution of offenders;*

5. This Commission concurs with the respondent that the information requested can only be furnished to the appellant when the investigation is over and case is committed to Court.
6. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Bharat Bhushan Mattu**

153, Akash Nagar, Near Green Land School,  
P.O. Netaji Nagar, Salem Tabri,  
Ludhiana-141008.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o District Election Officer-cum-DC,  
Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Chief Electoral Officer, PB,  
Chandigarh.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2747 of 2019**

**PRESENT:**

Inderjit Kaur, Superintendent Gr-2 (APIO, respondent) - 9855565416  
Randhir Singh, DC Office, Ludhiana (respondent) - 9781921095

**ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act2005 (hereinafter RTI Act)
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.19.
3. The respondent APIO is present. The appellant is absent.
4. The respondent has stated that the information has already been provided to the appellant. However, in a letter received in the Commission on 29/08/19, the appellant has contended that the information as requested at point 4 of the original RTI application is incomplete because he has only been provided a list and not the related documents as he had requested.
5. The respondent has agreed to furnish the remaining information.
6. This Commission directs the respondent to supply such information to the appellant within 15 days and communicate compliance to the Commission via email. The appellant is also requested to apprise the Commission as soon as he receives the information.
7. **Next (second) hearing on 4.10.19.**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Vikram Vaid,**  
#1527, Gali No. 2,  
Bagh Ramanand,  
Amritsar.

versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o. AIGP (personnel-1),  
Admn Wing, Punjab,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o. DGP, Punjab  
Chandigarh.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2749 of 2019**

PRESENT:

**Ashokpal, Superintendent (Respondent's representative) 9814791891.**

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.)

Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.2019.

The appellant is absent and has sought a later date for hearing (handwritten letter dated 26.8.19).

The respondent PIO Arpit Shukla IPS, represented at this hearing by Ashokpal, Suprintendent, has submitted detailed, point wise, written reply wherein it is contended that the information has already been provided to the appellant on 15/07/2019.

The Commission has perused the respondent's reply and is satisfied that the appellant's request for information has been adequately addressed.

There is no cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Kuldeep Chand Bhargav,**  
#131, Geeta Vihar, Threekay Road,  
Ludhiana.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o. Punjab Agriculture University,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority-cum-**  
Registrar, Punjab Agriculture University  
Ludhiana.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2750 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Kuldeep Chand Bhargav (Appellant) 93168-26010.

**Madhu Bala, Asstt. Registrar (Respondent)- 9815127606.**

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act.2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.)
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the commission on 30.8.2019.
3. Both the appellant as well as respondent PIO and her assistants are present.
- 4.. The respondents have submitted a written response (copy of which has already been given to appellant) stating that a case is still pending in the Punjab and Haryana High court. The respondent also pointed out that an earlier appeal case where the appellant sought similar and related information was dismissed by former State Information Commissioner Prof. Vinay Kapoor Mehra on 10.1.18.
5. The respondents are directed to submit a point wise reply in writing, that is in specific reference to the appellant's original RTI application dated 23.5.2019.
6. **Next (second) hearing on 11.10.19.**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Surjit Singh,**  
S/O, Gokal Singh,  
VPO Jarg, Tehsil Payal,  
Distt Ludhiana.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o. Director Education Department,  
Mohali.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
Secretary, education Department, Punjab,  
Mohali.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2752 of 2019**

PRESENT:

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.07.2019 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act.2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.)
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the commission on 30.8.2019.
3. Both the parties are absent.
4. The Commission gives one more opportunity for the appellant and the respondents to be heard, failing which this case will be dismissed.
5. **Next (second) hearing on 4.10.19.**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Bal Avtar,**  
s/oRoop Lal,  
House No. 203, Patel Nagar,  
Near Bibiwala chowk, Bathinda

Versus

**Public Information Officer-**

Cum-Joint Director Crime,  
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority-**

-cum-DirectorVigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Mohali.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2753 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Bal Avtar (Appellant) 9814244357

Rajesh Kumar, SI (Respondent's Representative) 9888805958

ORDER:

1.The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority(hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.07.2019 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act.2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.)

2.Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.2019.

3.The appellant as well as the respondent PIO's representative, SI Rajesh Kumar, are present.

4.The respondent has submitted that the requested information pertains to a case which is under trial in the Court of the Additional District & Sessions Judge Bathinda and is very close to a final verdict being pronounced. The respondent contends that in the present circumstances, the requested information is exempt from disclosure under Section 8(1)(h) of RTI Act 2005. and cannot be provided at this stage.

5. Concurring with the respondent's contention, this Commission sees to further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19



**Satnam Singh,**  
s/o Mangat Singh,  
Village Wasal Mohan ke,  
PO Pindi, Tehsil Guruharsahai,  
District Ferozepur.

versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o. Director, SC & BC Welfare Department,  
Punjab, Chandigarh.  
**First Appellate Authority-cum-**  
Secretary, SC & BC Welfare Department,  
Punjab, Chandigarh.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2754 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Suresh Masih, APIO (Respondent.) 9872112999.

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 14.3.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.)
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 30.8.19.
3. The appellant is absent.
4. The respondent PIO is represented by Suresh Mashi (APIO). He has submitted a copy of the requested information which was also sent to the Appellant by Registered post on 29.8.19.
5. The respondent also informed the Commission that the requested information has long been publicly available on the department's website.
6. Seeing no further cause for action, this appeal case is **CLOSED**.

(ASIT JOLLY)  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
30.8.19