



Smt. Kiran Sharma, (79730 81420)
W/o ShriJagdish Sharma,
R/o Street No. 2, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/oStation House Officer (SHO),
Police Station Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oCommissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5146 OF 2021

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
21.08.2021	--	-	--	10.11.2021

Present: NONE

ORDER (Third Hearing):

(To be read in the continuity of pervious order of the Commission dated 31.10.2022)

As both the parties are absent, the case is adjourned to date **13.12.2022** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **12:30 PM** with an opportunity to both the parties to resent the case. Failing to which the case will be decided on merits.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

CC: Sh. Sandeep Kumar Wadhera, PPS
ACP- Industrial Area –B, Ludhiana

(To comply with the commission order & to present in person on next date of hearing with all the relevant records of the case)



Shri Sushil Kumar, (98145 00575)
R/o H. No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,
Dugri Road, Ludhiana-141013

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/oStation House Officer (SHO),
Police Station Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oCommissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5147 OF 2021

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
26.02.2021	--	03.02.2021	--	10.11.2021

Present: NONE

ORDER (Third Hearing):

(To be read in the continuity of pervious order of the Commission dated 31.10.2022)

As both the parties are absent, the case is adjourned to date **13.12.2022** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **12:30 PM** with an opportunity to both the parties to resent the case. Failing to which the case will be decided on merits.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

CC: Sh. Sandeep Kumar Wadhera, PPS
ACP- Industrial Area –B, Ludhiana

(To comply with the commission order & to present in person on next date of hearing with all the relevant records of the case)



Shri Fuman Singh, (97807 72625)
Village & Post Office Ladhuka,
Block Jalalabad (West), Tehsil & District Fazilka-152020

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/oPanchayat Secretary,
Gram PanchayatLadhuka,
Block Jalalabad (West), Tehsil & District Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oBlock Development &Panchayat Officer,
Block Jalalabad (West), Tehsil & District Fazilka.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5223 OF 2021

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
23.08.2021	--	27.09.2021	--	18.11.2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Fuman Singh
Respondent: Absent

ORDER (Second hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 31.10.2022.
2. Appellant, Sh. Fuman Singh stated that no information has been provided to him so far by the respondent authority till date.
3. The respondent PIO is absent without any intimation for the second time. This is despite the Show Cause Notice issued to him on 31.10.2022.

Thus, the Commission draws the inference that the PIO, without any reasonable cause, has malafidly delayed the information and thus, has rendered himself liable for punishment as provided under the RTI Act, 2005. He was given a number of opportunities to provide the information to the Appellant but he is delaying the matter on one pretext or the other.

In these circumstances, the PIO O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Ladhuka is held guilty of default on the above score.

APPEAL CASE NO. 5223 OF 2021

4. The facts of this case also prove beyond any doubt that the respondent PIO concerned does not deserve any kind of leniency. Hence, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, **a penalty to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** is imposed upon him to be deducted from his salary, which is to be deposited in the State Treasury under the relevant head.

The Drawing and Disbursing Officer PIO O/o O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Ladhuka is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 10000/- from the salary of the PIO O/o commissioner of police, Ludhiana and deposit the same in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission: -

- 0070 – Other Administrative Services
- 60 – Other Services
- 0800 – Other Receipts
- 86 – Fee under the RTI Act, 2005

5. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(To comply with the commission order & to present in person on next date of hearing with all the relevant records of the case)

CC: Sh.Pardeek Kumar (Panchayt Secty.)
Gram PanchayatLadhuka,
Block Jalalabad (West), Tehsil & District Fazilka

CC: Sh.Janak Raj (Panchayt officer)
Gram PanchayatLadhuka,
Block Jalalabad (West), Tehsil & District Fazilka



ShriAmrinder Singh, (98140 13196)

S/o ShriJaswant Singh,

R/o H. No. 4989, Street No. 4,

Abohar Road, Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,

Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,

Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4281 OF 2021

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
25.05.2021	--	05.08.2021	--	21.09.2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Amrinder Singh

Respondent: Sh. Joginder Singh (GRS), 9814906198

ORDER (Third Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 31.10.2022 vide which the PIO was asked to represent the case in person on next date of hearing i.e. is today but he/she failed to do so.

2. Written Submission from respondent PIO o/o BDPO Sri Muktsar Sahib

ਲੜੀ ਨੰ	ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ	ਉੱਤਰ
1	ਮੋਘਾ ਨੰਬਰ 48760 ਆਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਸੰਮੇਵਾਲੀ ਦਾ ਖਾਲ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮਗਨਰੇਗਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਬਣਨਾ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦਾ ਵਰਕੋਡ ਡਲੀਟ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ	ਮੋਘਾ ਨੰ 48760-ਆਰ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਵਰਕ ਕੋਡ ਡਲੀਟ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ
2	ਇਹ ਕਿ ਵਰਕ ਕੋਡ ਡਲੀਟ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਕੀ ਕਾਰਨ ਹੈ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਕਾਗਜ਼ਾਤ ਦੀ ਨਕਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।	ਮੋਘਾ ਨੰ 48760-ਆਰ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਵਰਕ ਕੋਡ ਡਲੀਟ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਗਜ਼ਾਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਹਨ।

3. Appellant, Sh. Amrinder Singh stated that no satisfactory response has been provided to him by the respondent authority till date.

APPEAL CASE NO. 4281 OF 2021

4. In view of above the Commission directs the concerned PIO Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur, who holds the requested record, to bring the original record to the next hearing. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to enforce his/her appearance in Court by **issuing a Warrant and imposing penalty of Rs. 25,000/-**.
5. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur
O/o BDPO, **Sri Muktsar Sahib**

(To comply with the order)



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)

C/o Police Public Dairy,
15 A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Electrical, Division PWD B&R,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Electrical Circle, PWD B&R,
Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2566 of 2022

-

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
23.12.2021	-	10.03.2022	29.03.2022	26.05.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 16.11.2022
2. Appellant, Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg stated that no information has been provided to him so far by the respondent authority till date.
3. The respondent PIO is absent without any intimation for the second time. This is despite the Show Cause Notice issued to him on 16.11.2022.

Thus, the Commission draws the inference that the PIO, without any reasonable cause, has mala fide delayed the information and thus, has rendered himself liable for punishment as provided under the RTI Act, 2005. He was given a number of opportunities to provide the information to the Appellant but he is delaying the matter on one pretext or the other.

In these circumstances, the PIO O/o XEN, Electrical, Division PWD B&R, Jalandhar is held guilty of default on the above score.

4. The facts of this case also prove beyond any doubt that the respondent PIO concerned does not deserve any kind of leniency. Hence, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, **a penalty to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** is imposed upon him to be deducted from his salary, which is to be deposited in the State Treasury under the relevant head.

The Drawing and Disbursing Officer PIO O/o XEN, Electrical, Division PWD B&R is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 10000/- from the salary of the PIO O/o

commissioner of police, Ludhiana and deposit the same in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission: -

- 0070 – Other Administrative Services
- 60 – Other Services
- 0800 – Other Receipts
- 86 – Fee under the RTI Act, 2005

1. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(To comply with the commission order & to present in person on next date of hearing with all the relevant records of the case)

CC: Er.Jatinder Singh Chhina (PIO)
O/o XEN, Electrical, Division PWD B&R,
Jalandhar.



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)
C/o Police Public Dairy, 15 A,
Shastari Nagar, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o XEN, Construction, PWD B&R, Division No. 2,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R Construction Circle,
Bathinda.

Appeal Case No.1736 of 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
23.12.2021	-	10.02.2022	28.02.2022	11.04.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg
Respondent: Absent

ORDER (Third Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.09.2022.
2. With the compliance of the previous order of the Commission the appellant Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg has pointed out few deficiencies in the information so supplied to him by the respondent authority. The relevant portion of his written submission is mentioned below:-
" In this regard you have provide us 54 pages of Financial Statement of information 54 Financial Statement of works list attached and 78 pages of tender register received 54 pages as per Financial Statement received and 20 pages received of tender register not received Financial Statement provide us 20 Financial Statement as tender register 20 pages attached So provide us 20 Financial Statement as per tender register list of 20 pages from 24- 12-2020 to 23-12-2021, So make sue no other Financial Statement pending in your office So provide us 20 Financial Statement as per list.."
3. As nobody is preset on behalf of respondent authority the case is adjourned to date **20.03.2023** through personal hearing at **PSIC Chandigarh, 11:30 AM**. The respondent PIO, is hereby directed to make good of deficiencies pointed out by the appellant within 10 days from the issue of this order under intimation to the commission.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Milan, (9814444018)

R/o Milan Niwas, New Dana Mandi Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana – 141008

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Joint Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner Of Police,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.785 of 2022

:-

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
18.11.2021	-	28.12.2021	-	10.02.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Milan

Respondent: Sh. Harjit Singh (SHO), 9501735333

Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689

ORDER (Fourth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.09.2022 .
2. Appellant, Sh. Milan stated that no satisfactory response has been provided to him by the respondent authority till date.
3. **Written Submission from Respondent (O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri Ludhiana.)**
An email dated 25.11.2022 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:
"...ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਮਿਲਨ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਿਲਨ ਨਿਵਾਸ ਵਾਸੀ ਨਿਊ ਦਾਣਾ ਮੰਡੀ ਸਲੇਮ ਟਾਬਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 189-5ਡੀ ਮਿਤੀ 29-10-21 ਕਿਰਾਏਦਾਰ ਦੀ ਵੈਰੀਫੀਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਰਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਜੋ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਲਈ ਥਾਣੇਦਾਰ ਜਨਕ ਰਾਜ 582/ASR ਨੂੰ ਮਾਰਕ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾ ਦੀ ਬਦਲੀ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕੀ। ਸੋ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਲਈ 1 ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਦਿਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕਰਕੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕੇ ਜੀ।..."
4. The respondent reiterated the contents of above mentioned written submission and requested the court for an adjournment.
5. The Commission would like to place on record its displeasure to the then PIO, Sl. Janak Raj (SHO), who is presently posted in Police station Division no. 8, Ludhiana, over the casual manner in which the RTI application had been dealt with by the Respondent. The then PIO is therefore, warned to be extremely careful and vigilant in handling RTI petitions in future, failing which the Commission would initiate penal action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The Commission also instructs the Respondent Public Authority to convene periodic conferences/seminars to sensitize, familiarize and educate the concerned officials about the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 for effective discharge of its duties and responsibilities.

6. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the case file The Commission offers the O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri, one final opportunity to furnish the information sought by the appellant, and demonstrate compliance with the last order of the commission. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to enforce his/her appearance in Court by **issuing a Warrant and imposing penalty of Rs. 25,000/-**.
7. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: SI. Janak Raj
O/o Police station Division no. 8, Ludhiana

(To comply with the order)



Sh. Milan, (9814444018)

R/o Milan Niwas, New Dana Mandi Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana – 141008

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 2834 of 2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Milan

Respondent: Sh. Harjit Singh (SHO), 9501735333

Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689

ORDER (Fourth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.09.2022 .
2. Appellant, Sh. Milan stated that no satisfactory response has been provided to him by the respondent authority till date.
3. **Written Submission from Respondent (O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri Ludhiana.)**
An email dated 25.11.2022 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:
"... ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਮਿਲਨ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਿਲਨ ਨਿਵਾਸ ਵਾਸੀ ਨਿਊ ਦਾਣਾ ਮੰਡੀ ਸਲੇਮ ਟਾਬਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 14-5ਡੀ ਮਿਤੀ 28-02-2022 ਕਿਰਾਏਦਾਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਵੈਰੀਫੀਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਰਾਉਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਜੋ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਲਈ ਥਾਣੇਦਾਰ ਜਨਕ ਰਾਜ 582/ASR ਨੂੰ ਮਾਰਕ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਬਦਲੀ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਸਕੀ। ਸੋ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਲਈ 1 ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਦਿਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕਰਕੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕੇ ਜੀ।
4. The respondent reiterated the contents of above mentioned written submission and requested the court for an adjournment.
5. The Commission would like to place on record its displeasure to the then PIO, SI. Janak Raj (SHO), who is presently posted in Police station Division no. 8, Ludhiana, over the casual manner in which the RTI application had been dealt with by the Respondent. The then PIO is therefore, warned to be extremely careful and vigilant in handling RTI petitions in future, failing which the Commission would initiate penal action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Appeal Case No. 2834 of 2022

The Commission also instructs the Respondent Public Authority to convene periodic conferences/seminars to sensitize, familiarize and educate the concerned officials about the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 for effective discharge of its duties and responsibilities.

6. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the case file The Commission offers the O/o SHO, Police station, Salem Tabri, one final opportunity to furnish the information sought by the appellant, and demonstrate compliance with the last order of the commission. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to enforce his/her appearance in Court by **issuing a Warrant and imposing penalty of Rs. 25,000/-**.
7. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: SI. Janak Raj
O/o Police station Division no. 8, Ludhiana

(To comply with the order)



Sh. Milan, (9814444018)

R/o Milan Niwas, New Dana Mandi Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana - 141008

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Joint Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner Of Police,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.1214 of 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
13.12.2021	-	26.01.2022	-	08.03.2022

Present: Appellant:Sh. Milan

Respondent: Sh. Harjit Singh (SHO), 9501735333

Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689

ORDER (Fourth Hearing):

- This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.09.2022 .
- Appellant, Sh. Milan stated that no satisfactory response has been provided to him by the respondent authority till date.
- Written Submission from Respondent (O/o Joint Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.)**
The commission is receipt of letter vide ref. No. 1198-D dated 25.11.2022 the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:
"... ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 13-12-2021 ਵਿੱਚ ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ 01 ਤੇ 14 ਤੱਕ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਵਾਇਜ਼ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 825/ਡੀ.ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 30-07-2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।
ਪ੍ਰੰਤੂ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 17-09-2022 ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਈ.ਮੇਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕਿਹਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ।
ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਕਰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ, ਕਿ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਵਾਇਜ਼ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਬਰਾਚ, ਸੀ.ਪੀ.ਓ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਤਾਰੀਕ ਪੇਸ਼ੀ 30-11-2022 ਤੇ ਪਹਿਲਾ-ਪਹਿਲਾ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਦਫਤਰ ਹਾਜਰ ਆ ਕੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਹਾਸਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।.."
5. Both the parties are present for hearing.
- In view of above the Commission directs the concerned Sl. Janak Raj, who holds the requested record, to bring the original record to the next hearing. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to enforce his/her appearance in Court by **issuing a Warrant and imposing penalty of Rs. 25,000/-**.
- To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Chandigarh

Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: Sl. Janak Raj
O/o Police station Division no. 8, Ludhiana

(To comply with the order)



Sh. Balvir Singh, (8872056100)
S/o Sh. Bhag Singh,
House No. 47, Phase3, Aman Park,
Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Managing Director, Milkfed, Punjab,
Sector -34 A, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o Managing Director, Milkfed, Punjab,
Sector -34 A, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.4203 of 2021

:-

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
27.01.2021	-	28.07.2021	13.08.2021	02.09.2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Balvir Singh
Respondent: Advocate A.K Bakshi, 9814306337
Sh. Suman Vashisht (Asst. Manager), 9646540777
Sh. Amit Sharma (Manager Engineering), 9872340005

Orders are reserved and will be pronounced in due course of time.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Balvir Singh, (8872056100)

S/o Sh. Bhag Singh,
House No. 47, Phase3, Aman Park,
Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Managing Director, Milkfed, Punjab,
Sector -34 A, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Managing Director, Milkfed, Punjab,
Sector -34 A, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.4204 of 2021

-

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
28.01.2021	17.02.2021	28.07.2021	13.08.2021	02.09.2021

Present: Appellant:Sh. Balvir Singh

Respondent: Advocate A.K Bakshi, 9814306337

Sh. SumanVashisht (Asst. Manager), 9646540777

Sh. Amit Sharma (Manager Engineering), 9872340005

Orders are reserved and will be pronounced in due course of time.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Balvir Singh, (8872056100)

S/o Sh. Bhag Singh,
House No. 47, Phase3, Aman Park,
Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager, Verka Milk Plant,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o General Manager, Verka Milk Plant,
Mohali.

Appeal Case No.2766 of 2022

Present: Appellant:Sh. Balvir Singh

Respondent: Advocate A.K Bakshi, 9814306337

Sh. SumanVashisht (Asst. Manager), 9646540777

Sh. Amit Sharma (Manager Engineering), 9872340005

Orders are reserved and will be pronounced in due course of time.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Raj. M. Singh, (9818575847)
C - 704, SomVihar,
R.K. Puram, New Delhi – 110022

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Majri,
Distt.Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 494 of 2022

-

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
29.07.2022	03.08.2022	08.08.2022

Present: Appellant:Sh. Raj. M. Singh

Respondent: Sh.Charanjit Singh (Panch. Secy.), 9780012990

ORDER (Fourth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 12.10.2022 vide which the respondent PIO representative, Sh. Surinder pal singh (Panchayat Scty.) admitted in the court that there was damage caused to the fencing of khasra no. 203 by the O/o BDPO Majri from JCB machine. He also submits the unconditional apologies for the same and assured that complainant to compensate for the same. In view of this the respondent PIO was directed to provide a revised reply , as discussed above to the appellant within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order, but the **PIO failed to do so.**
2. In today's hearing the appellant, Sh. Raj. M. Singh made a written submission in court subjected that "the criminal attempt by Ms. Nidhi Sinha, BDPO Majri to blatantly oppose and nullify the order dated 19.10.2022 case no. 494/2022 of the Sate Information Commission by attempting to intimidate me and get an FIR logged against me in Mullanpur Police Station".
3. The Commission observed that despite notice and order of hearing issued to the respondent PIO, the representative of respondent PIO Sh.Charanjit Singh appeared clueless in respect of the nuances of the RTI Act and appeared without proper explanation for non compliance of the previous order of the Commission.
4. A strict warning is issued to the O/o BDPO Majri, Mohali for not providing any reply to the appellant within the timeline prescribed under the Act. The PIOs are warned to be careful with the timeline and refrain from delaying replies.

Thus, the Commission draws the inference that the PIO, without any reasonable cause, has malafidly delayed the information and thus, has rendered himself liable for punishment as provided under the RTI Act, 2005. He was given a number of opportunities to provide the information to the Appellant but he is delaying the matter on one pretext or the other.

In these circumstances, the PIO O/o BDPO Majri, Mohali is held guilty of default on the above score.

6. The facts of this case also prove beyond any doubt that the respondent PIO concerned does not deserve any kind of leniency. Hence, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, **a penalty to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** is imposed upon him to be deducted from his salary, which is to be deposited in the State Treasury under the relevant head.

7. The Drawing and Disbursing Officer PIO O/o BDPO Majri, Mohali is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 10000/- from the salary of the PIO O/o BDPO Majri, Mohali and deposit the same in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission: -

- 0070 – Other Administrative Services
- 60 – Other Services
- 0800 – Other Receipts
- 86 – Fee under the RTI Act, 2005

8. To come up on **13.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.
Sd/-

Chandigarh
30.11.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, (8872200515)

Village Rasulpur,
R/o Guru Nanak Nagar, Jagraon,
Distt Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Assistant Inspector General of Police, (Crime),
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Assistant Inspector General of Police, (Crime),
Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No. 4613 of 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
27.09.2022	-	03.10.2022	-	14.10.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur

Respondent: Sh. Karam Singh (Sr. Asst.), 9814257967

Sh. S.S Mand (AIG Investigation)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 11.09.2022 vide which last opportunity was granted to the PIO was asked to represent the case in person on next date of hearing i.e. is today, but no one is present from the O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab Police Headquarters.
2. The appellant Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur referred to his RTI application dated 27.09. 2022 and stated that no information has been provided to him by the respondent till date.
3. Respondent, Sh. S.S Mand requested the court for an adjournment and assured to furnish the sought information before the next date of hearing.
4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the case file, a strict warning is issued to the O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab Police Headquarters for not providing any response to the appellant within the timeline prescribed under the Act. The PIOs are warned to be careful with the timeline and refrain from delaying replies.

The Commission offers the respondent PIO one final opportunity to furnish the information sought by the appellant, and demonstrate compliance with the last order of the commission. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to enforce his/her appearance in Court by issuing a Warrant and imposing penalty of Rs. 25,000/-.

5. To come up on **20.03.2023** through **personal hearing** at PSIC Chandigarh timing **11:30 am**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

CC: Sh. S.S Mand
AIG (Investigation & Administration)
O/o Director, Bureau of Investigation,
Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector – 9, Chandigarh

(To comply with the order)