Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Kimti Lal, S/o Shri Krishan Lal Kashyap	
R/o Teacher Colony,	
Nawanshahr	
	Complainant
	Vs
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Civil Surgeon, SBS Nagar	
	Respondent

CC.No. 653 of 2019

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Jaijaikrit Singh, Drugs Control Officer-cum-

APIO

ORDER

4.

- 1. The RTI application is dated 24.04.2019 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 25.07.2019 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The complainant is absent today.

Respondent files his reply which is as under:

Referred Mardam;

Wheeted Mardam;

Wheeted Mardam;

What the said & Tileson reacound vide letter

That the said & Tileson reacound vide letter

The official was

In the under signed's office. The afflicant was

In the reply through registered past wide

sunt the reply through registered past wide

sunt the reply through registered past wide

sunt the reply and registered fast wide

of the said reply and registered fast greateft is

of the said reply and registered fast greateft is

of the said reply and registered fast greateft is

control along with this letter. Till date no

amused along with this letter. Till date no

annual along with this letter the afflicant

control from Also the reformation arked by afflicut

afflication. Also the reformation arked by afflication.

Cambon in four years information.

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



CC.No. 653 of 2019

5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

- 6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
- 7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Jagir Singh, S/o Shri Jagan	Singh
VPO Bhawanipur	
Tehsil and District Kapurthala	

......Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Jakitsa Health Care Ltd Near Mental Hospital, Medical Health Care Ltd., Amritsar Public Information Officer o/o SMO, Kaputhala

.....Respondent

CC.No. 662 of 2019

Present: (i) Sh. Jagir Singh the complainant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 16.04.2019 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 26.07.2019 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.
- 4. Respondent is absent today.
- 5. The perusal of the file shows that the information is lying with PIO, o/o SMO, Kaputhala. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO, o/o SMO, Kaputhala. I, therefore, order that PIO, o/o SMO, Kaputhala be impleaded as Respondent No.2. I further direct that PIO, o/o SMO, Kaputhala should supply the information to the Complainant before the next date of hearing.
- 6. To come up on **05.11.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Davinder Pal Singh Booth No. 38(FF), Chotti Baradari, Shopping Complex, Patiala - 147001

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Improvement Trust Patiala First Appellate Authority O/o Improvement Trust Patiala

.....Respondent

AC.No. 2696 of 2019 alongwith AC.No. 2695 of 2019 AC.No. 2693 of 2019

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Gurmail Singh, APIO

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 26.02.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant filed three appeals with the Commission seeking information from PIO, o/o Improvement Trust, Patiala as in all three appeals, the appellant and the PIO is the same so all these has been clubbed together
- 4. The appellant is absent today. He has sent a letter stating that he is unable to attend the hearing.
- 5. The respondent states that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also files his reply which is as under:-

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



AC.No. 2696 of 2019 alongwith AC.No. 2695 of 2019 AC.No. 2693 of 2019

दिना मार्च । १९१५/ ३०. ०१.२०११ .

दिना मार्च मार्ग कर १००१ , २६१६ मार्च १००१ .

देव के मार्च मा

- 6. After going through the case file and examining the reply of the respondent, it is ascertained that the information has already been sent to the appellant. The perusal of the file shows that the appellant has raised many queries in his RTI application, for which no information can be supplied, as the information which only exists in the record can be supplied, and the queries are not to be replied. The appellant has also demanded information of third party, which cannot be provided to the appellant.
- 7. I have gone through the reply of the respondent and have agreed with the same, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Igbal Singh Oberoi, A-157, Fateh Nagar, New Delhi- 110018

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Registrar

.....Appellant Vs Sector 76, Room No. 450, District Administration Complex

.....Respondent

Mohali - 160055 First Appellate Authority O/o Registrar Cooperative Societies 17 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh

Cooperative Societies, Mohali, Punjab

AC.No. 2729 of 2019

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Lovejeet Singh, Inspector and Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Inspector

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 30.01.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today without any intimation.
- 4. Respondent states that the information, as exists, in their record has already been sent to the appellant two times through registered post. He has also given in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that the information has been sent to the appellant by the respondents. The appellant is absent. It is therefore, presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. Moreover, the

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



AC.No. 2729 of 2019

respondent has given in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him.

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Kala Singh, S/o Santa Singh R/o Village Nau Bahiram Sher Singh Wala Tehsil Guruharsahai, Distt. Ferozepur

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Department Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Department Ferozepur

.....Respondent

AC.No. 1353 of 2019

Present:

- (i) Advocate Gurdeep Singh, Counsel for the appellant
- (ii) Sh. Ritish Bansal, Inspector on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2019 vide which an amount of Rs. 1500/- as compensation was awarded to the appellant.

- 2. Today Sh. Gurdeep Singh, Advocate is appearing on behalf of the appellant without any authority letter.
- 3. Respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also submitted copy of information in the Commission office, which is taken on record. Respondent further states that he has also brought demand draft of compensation amounting to Rs. 1500/today.
- 4. After hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that the information has been sent to the appellant by the respondents. Sh. Gurdeep Singh, Counsel for the appellant states that the appellant has received the information. Regarding compensation, respondent is directed to send it to the appellant through registered post.
- 5. Since, the information has been provided to the appellant, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, H.No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur

-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana First Appellate Authority o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

-----Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4147 of 2018

Present: (i) Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Pawandeep Singh, SI

ORDER

This case was earlier heard by the bench and disposed of vide order dated 01.07.2019. However, not satisfied with the order dated 01.07.2019 the appellant has approached the Commission again. The appellant has alleged that the requisite information has not been provided to him by the respondent so far. Therefore, this appeal was reopened and fixed for hearing on 30.09.2019 i.e. today.

- 2. The appellant states that he has not received the information.
- 3. Respondent states that he has brought the information today in the Commission, which is handed over to the appellant.
- 4. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is not satisfied with the information. The appellant may take note that third party information i.e. belt number cannot be provided to him. Regarding remaining information, the respondent is again directed to provide the same to the appellant. However, if this information is not available on record, the PIO shall file an affidavit on oath stating the same. Respondent is also directed to file point-wise proper reply on the next date of hearing.
- 5. To come up on **30.10.2019 at 11.00** for further proceedings. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Pritam Singh, S/o Shri Jaswant Singh # 218, Guru Arjan Dev Colony, Rajpura Town Distt.Patiala - 140401

......Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Nagar Council, Rajpura Distt. Patiala

.....Respondent

CC.No. 644 of 2019

Present: (i) Sh. Pritam Singh the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Buta Singh, Supdt, Sh. Charita Goyal,

Accountant

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.03.2019 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 24.07.2019 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The respondent files his reply to the Notice of Commission stating that the information demanded by the complainant is third party information.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is ascertained that some information demanded by the complainant is third party.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



CC.No. 644 of 2019

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

- 6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
- 7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post*.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Gurvinder Singh, S/o Shri Manjit Singh Village Pairhewal, Tehsil Ajnala Distt.Amritsar

Public Information Officer,

......Complainant
.....Respondent

CC.No. 655 of 2019

۷s

Present: (i) None for the Complainant

O/o District Food civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Harjit Singh, Inspector

ORDER

Amritsar

The RTI application is dated 11.04.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The complainant is not present today.
- 4. The Respondent states that the complete information has already been provided to the Complainant. He also shows a copy of the receiving given by the Complainant in lieu of receiving the information. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 5. In view of the above, the Complainant case filed by the Complainant is **disposed off** and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Bhan Singh, S/o Shri Jawand Singh Village Nawa Dalla Raputta Present R/o Village Gill PO Jagdev Khurd Tehsil Ajanala, Distt. Amritsar

Present R/o Village Gill PO Jagdev Khurd	
Tehsil Ajanala, Distt. Amritsar	
	Complainant
	Vs
Public Information Officer,	
O/o District Food civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Amritsar	
	Respondent

CC.No. 658 of 2019

Present: (i) None for the Complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Harjit Singh, Inspector

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 10.04.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The complainant is not present today.
- 4. The Respondent states that the complete information has already been provided to the Complainant. He also shows a copy of the receiving given by the Complainant in lieu of receiving the information. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 5. In view of the above, the Complainant case filed by the Complainant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Gogi Singh, S/o Kala Singh Village Sarangdev Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar

......Complainant
Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Food civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Amritsar

.....Respondent

CC.No. 656 of 2019

Present:

- (i) None for the Complainant
- (ii) For the respondent : Sh Harjit Singh, Inspector

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 05.04.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission.
- 3. The complainant is not present today.
- 4. Respondent states that the Complainant was advised to deposit Rs. 340/- as documentation fee. The Complainant has failed to deposit the required fee so no information was provided to the Complainant.
- 5. The perusal of the file shows that the Respondent had sent a letter to the Complainant for depositing the documentation fee. However, the Complainant has failed to deposit the same. The Respondent states that the information is lying ready with them. Hence, the Complainant is directed to deposit the documentation fee and get the information.

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



CC.No. 656 of 2019

6. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Complainant case filed by the Complainant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Manjit Sir	ngh, S/o S. Harnam Singh	
# House No. 5	532, Kanera Street, Patiala	
		Appellant
	Vs	
Public Inform O/o MC, Patia	nation Officer, ala	
	ate Authority-cum- nmissioner, MC,	
		Respondent
	A <u>C.No. 2705 o</u>	f 2019
Present :	(i) Sh Manjit Singh Complainant alongwit	h Sh Bharpur Singh

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 22.10.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission
- 3. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today.

(ii) None for the respondent

- 4. The Appellant states that he has not received any information so far.
- 5. The perusal of the file shows that the complete information has not been provided to the Appellant so far. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today. Hence the Respondent is directed to provide complete information to the Appellant within 15 days. Further, the Respondent is given one opportunity to be present on the next date of hearing, failing which, action u/s 20(1) of the RTI Act will be initiated. To come up on 27.11.2019 at 11:00AM through Video-Conferencing facility in the office of DC, Patiala.

Sd/-

.Chandigarh Dated:30.09.2019

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh . Surinder Singh, S/o Shri Sona Singh Village Dhab Khushhal Joiya Tehsil Jalalabad (East),Distt.Fazilka

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Food and Drugs Administration , Kharar SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

AC.No. 2687 of 2019

Present: (i) None for the Appellant.

(ii) None For the respondent: Dr Balwinder Singh, ACS. Sh Rakesh Kumar, Clerk And Sh Ajaypreet Singh, Clerk

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 01.05.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 23.07.2019 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 30.09.2019 in the Commission
- 3. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has personally informed on phone that he shall not be able to attend the hearing today.
- 4. The Respondent files his reply as under:-

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



AC.No. 2687 of 2019

ਉਪਰੇਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਸਹਿਤ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸੁਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ. ਸੇਨਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾਬ ਖੁਸ਼ਾਲ ਜੋਈਆਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਜਲਾਲਾਬਾਦ(ਪ), ਜਿਲਾ ਫਾਜਿਲਕਾ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਰਸੀਟ ਨੇ 4307 ਮਿਤੀ 09.05.2019 ਰਾਹੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ, ਵਿੱਚ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਨਿਮਨਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੀ ਈ-2 ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਈ-2 ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਦਿਨੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗੁਪਤਾ ਜਿਲਾ ਲਾਈਸੈਂਸਿੰਗ ਅਥਾਰਟੀ (ਡਰੱਗ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ) ਦਫਤਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਸਰਜਨ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜ ਕੇ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ. 1,3,4,5 ਅਤੇ 8 ਆਪ ਦੀ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੇ ਕਿ ਥਰਡ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਘੇਰੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਕਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਇਸ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਨੂੰ ਸਪਸ਼ਟ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੇਕਤ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੁਚਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪਣਾ ਇਤਰਾਜ ਜਾਂ ਸਹਿਮਤੀ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਦਿਨੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗੁਪਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨ ਈ-2 ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਨਾ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੇ 1275 ਮਿਤੀ 17.05.2019 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਨਿਮਨਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਨੂੰ ਦੇ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੰ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ. 2 ਦਾ ਸਬੰਧ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ. 7 ਕੋਈ ਟੂਰ ਪ੍ਰੋਗਰਾਮ ਅਗੇਤਾ ਇਨਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਿ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਨਿਮਨਹਸਤਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ./19/906 ਮਿਤੀ 06.06.2019 ਰ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ ਜੀ। ਇਥੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸਣ ਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਬਦਲੀ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਤੋਂ ਦਫਤਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਸਰਜਨ ਫਿਰੋਜਪੁਰ ਦੀ ਹੋ ਗਈ ਹੈ।

I have gone through the reply filed by the Respondent and agree with it.

5. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:30.09.2019