

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Sudhir Sharma,C/o Shiv Enterprises,  
Opposite Triveni Palace,  
Paitala Road, Nabha.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, Nagar Council,  
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 95 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 23.11.2018 has sought information regarding construction of roads, streets by the Nagar Council, Nabha from 2014-15 to 2018-19 and other information concerning the office of SDM Dharamkot The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 28.01.2019.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Gagandeep Singh, JE appeared on behalf of the respondent who sought adjournment which was granted.

The case has come up for hearing today. The complainant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The complainant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is also absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of eight months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to show cause **why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide the information to the complainant within 10 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **06.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Sudhir Sharma,C/o Shiv Enterprises,  
Opposite Triveni Palace,  
Paitala Road, Nabha.  
Appellant

...Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, Muncipal Council,  
Nabha, Distt Patiala

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 109 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 01.12.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding area of Sanskrit Vidyalay near Rest House ChowkNabha which was declared insecure and demolished and thereafter, as per High Court order, the same was to be constructed as a Sanskrit Vidyalayagainand other information concerning the office of EO MC Nabha. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 25.01.2019.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Gagandeep Singh, JE appeared on behalf of the respondent who sought adjournment which was granted.

The case has come up for hearing today. The complainant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The complainant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is also absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than seven months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to show cause **why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide the information to the complainant within 10 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **06.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.SudhirSharma,C/o Shiv Enterprises,  
Opposite Triveni Palace,  
Paitala Road, Nabha.

... complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, Municipal Concil,  
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 110 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 19.11.2018 has sought information on 4 points regarding action taken for removal of encroachment on the land under MC Nabha and other information concerning the office of EO MC Nabha. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 25.01.2019.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Gagandeep Singh, JE appeared on behalf of the respondent who sought adjournment which was granted.

The case has come up for hearing today. The complainant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The complainant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is also absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than seven months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to show cause **why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide the information to the complainant within 10 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **06.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.SudhirSharma,C/o Shiv Enterprises,  
Opposite Triveni Palace,  
Paitala Road, Nabha.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, Municipal Concil,  
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 111 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 23.11.2018 has sought information on 4 points regarding grants received by MC Nabha for development work and appropriation of funds and other information concerning the office of EO MC Nabha. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 25.01.2019.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Gagandeep Singh, JE appeared on behalf of the respondent who sought adjournment which was granted.

The case has come up for hearing today. The complainant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The complainant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is also absent.

Having gone through the file, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than seven months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to show cause **why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide the information to the complainant within 10 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **06.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh.Mehar chand,  
Ward No-2, Supreme Enclave,  
Near Vishavkarma Bhawan, Link Road,  
Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP, Vigilance Bureau,  
Patiala

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/oThe Chief Director,  
State Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Vigilance Bhawan, Sec-68, Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 494 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gursher Singh, DSP O/o SSP Vigilance Patiala and Sh.Rajesh Kumar, SI**  
**O/o Director Vigilance Bureau, Pb Chandigarh for the Respondent**

**ORDER:** The appellant through RTI application dated 20.10.2018 has sought information regarding copy of log book relating to the vehicle utilized by SSP, Vigilance Bureau Pb Patiala from 01.05.2018 to 31.08.2018 and other information concerning the office of SSP, Vigilance Bureau, Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Anil Rattan Constable O/o Vigilance Bureau, SAS Nagar and Sh.Sher Singh, Inspector O/o SSP Vigilance Bureau, Patiala. Having gone through the record, it was found that the PIO O/o SSP Vigilance Bureau Patiala sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 07.03.2019 informing the appellant that since the enquiry is under process, the information cannot be provided and is exempt u/s 8(1)(g) and (h) of the RTI Act. It was further observed that the respondent had also sent another reply vide letter dated 01.03.2019 signed by Director-cum-First appellate Authority O/o Vigilance Bureau, Punjab upholding the PIO's view.

The case has come up for hearing today. I have again gone through the record and find that the PIO in his reply dated 21.11.2018 has taken plea of 3<sup>rd</sup> party under section 11 of the RTI Act. that the disclosure of information will endanger the life of the security personnel. The 3<sup>rd</sup> party plea of the respondent holds no merit.

However, I have considered the appeal regarding exemption sought under section 8(1)(g). The appellant is absent on two occasions and has not been able to establish that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest and will lead to disclosure of corruption and human rights violation. Since the appellant has not been able to establish a larger public interest in disclosure of information, I uphold the view of the PIO taken under section 8(1)(g) as well as decision of the First Appellate Authority.

With the above observation, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Rajinder Kumar, S/o ShMuharchand,  
Ward No-2, Supreme Enclave,  
Near VishavkarmaBhawan, Link Road,  
Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP, Vigilance Bureau,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The Chief Director,  
State Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Vigilance Bhawan, Sec-68, Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 495 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gagandeep Singh O/o SSP Vigilance Bathinda and Sh.Rajesh Kumar, SI**  
**O/o Director Vigilance Bureau, Pb Chandigarh for the Respondent**

**ORDER:** The appellant through RTI application dated 20.10.2018 has sought information regarding copy of log book relating to the vehicle utilized by Sh.Bhupinder Singh, Superintendent, Vigilance Bureau Bhatinda from 01.04.2018 to 31.08.2018 and other information concerning the office of SSP, Vigilance Bureau, Bhatinda. The appellant was denied the information by the PIO vide letter dated 19.11.2018 stating that the record is not available in their office, after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 13.03.2019. Sh.Anil Rattan constable, Vigilance Bureau Mohali and Sh.Gagandeep Singh, Constable O/o SSP Vigilance Bureau, Bhatinda. Having gone through the record, it was observed that the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 28.02.2019. It was further observed that the respondent also sent another reply vide letter dated 01.03.2019 signed by Director-cum-First appellate Authority O/o Vigilance Bureau, Punjab upholding the PIO's view.

The case has come up for hearing today. Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the PIO has denied the information vide letter dated 19.11.2018 stating that Sh.Bhupinder Singh, Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, Bhatinda who was allotted the vehicle stands transferred on 25.09.2018 in 6<sup>th</sup> IRB, Sangrur and since the vehicle was allotted in his name, the record relating to that vehicle is not available in their office.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the commission on 25.07.2019 has alleged that in case the record was not available in the office of the PIO, the RTI application should have been transferred to the concerned PIO u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act.

Keeping the above in view, I hereby direct the PIO, O/o SSP-Vigilance Bureau, Bhatinda to procure the information from the PIO under whose custody the information exists, and provide the same to the appellant. The information be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Gurnam Singh, S/o Sh.Bachitar Singh,  
H No-10, Mohalla Mehtian Wala,  
Banur, Distt. SAS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o DC,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/oDC,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3519 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Smt.Sandeep Kaur-Reader/NaibTehsildar, Mullanpur Dakha for the**  
**Respondent**

**Order:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.07.2018 has sought information regarding diary no. of the complaint dated 21.06.2018 filed for unauthorized encroachment by the Sarpanch Baljinder Singh, Inderjit Singh etc., action taken on the complaint and other information concerning the office of DC Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 31.12.2018 and on 28.01.2019. The respondent was absent on both occasions. The PIO was directed to appear in person before the Commission alongwith relevant record on the next date of hearing. On the next date of hearing which was held on 25.02.2019, Sh.Gurpiar Singh Naib Tehsildar appeared and he was directed to provide the required information to the appellant as per the RTI application. On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, the respondent was again absent.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present from the office of Naib-Tehsildar, Mullanpur Dakha pleaded that the appellant had filed RTI application with DC Ludhiana which transferred it to the Sub-Registrar Ludhiana and the SR-Ludhiana further transferred it to Tehsildar Ludhiana (West).

The respondent informed that after receipt of the RTI application from Tehsildar(West), they have provided the information to the appellant by hand on 01.10.2018. The respondent further informed that the appellant was telephonically contacted again on 17.12.2018 and discrepancy was sorted out and the information was again sent to him via registered post on 07.03.2019. The appellant is absent nor has intimated about the discrepancies, if any. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh. S.P Goyal,  
2-C, Sarabha Nagar,  
NavDurgaMandir/Gurudwara Road,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/oThe Chief Administrative officer/Supdt,  
District and Session Judge, District and Session Court,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The District and Session Judge,  
District and Session court,  
Ludhiana

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3521 of 2018**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Karanbir Singh, Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.07.2018 has sought information on 20 points regarding Ahlmad Register dated 17.03.2005 in Civil Suit No.CS-141 dt 24.05.1988 titled S.P.Goyal Vs Hari Dutt Dumra and other information concerning the office of The Chief Administrative officer/Supdt, District and Session Judge, District and Session Court, Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 10.08.2018 after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 31.12.2018 and on 01.01.2019. Sh.Kulwinder Singh, clerk appeared on behalf of the respondent. The appellant was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 27.12.2018 requested to fix the hearing through video conferencing at Ludhiana. It was observed that the PIO forwarded the RTI application to the PIO in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana and Civil Judge(Sr.Div) Ludhiana. Accordingly, a copy of the order was sent to the PIO-Civil Judge (Sr.Div) Ludhiana with the advice to ensure presence of their official on the next date of hearing. The appellant was directed to appear in person or through a representative at Chandigarh.

On the next date of hearing which was held on 28.01.2019, Sh.Karanvir Singh Clerk O/o Civil Judge(Sr.Div) Ludhiana appeared and submitted reply dated 19.01.2019 signed by the PIO. The appellant was absent. The appellant was given last opportunity to appear before the Commission at Chandigarh and represent his case on the next date of hearing. On the next date of hearing which was held on 25.02.2019, both the parties were absent.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present from the office of Civil Judge(Sr.Division) Ludhiana pleaded that since Sh.Kulwinder Singh has been transferred to Fatehgarh Sahib-Session Division, they have called the service book of Sh.Kulwinder Singh from the office of District & Session Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib vide letter dated 30.01.2019 and again on 07.03.2019 but the record is still awaited, and that once the record is received, they will provide the relevant information.



**Appeal Case No. 3521 of 2018**

I have gone through the entire case and find that the reply has been sent to the appellant and the information regarding Sh.Kulwinder Singh is pending. Since the information is available in the custody of the PIO-District & Session Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, the PIO O/o District & Session Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib is impleaded in the case with an advice to provide record regarding Ahlmad Register of Sh.Kulwinder Singh. A copy of RTI application is being attached with the order for perusal.

Further, the Commission observes that the appellant has been absenting himself on every hearing and asking for adjournment, whereas the respondent has been present on every case. Since the appellant is seeking constant adjournment, 5<sup>th</sup> in row, I see no reason to keep this case pending and waste the time of the public authority as well as the Commission.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh. Gurnam Singh, S/o ShBachitar Singh,  
H No-10, MohallaMehtianWala,  
Banur, Distt SAS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o NaibTehsildar,  
Sub Tehsil- MullanpurDakha,  
Distt Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/oSDM,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3544 of 2018**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Smt.Sandeep Kaur-Reader/NaibTehsildar, Mullanpur Dakha for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.07.2018 has sought information regarding demarcation of land bearing HB No.424 relating to the application of Sh.Lakhvinder Singh/Baljinder Singh dated 12.07.2018 and other information concerning the office of NaibTehsildar, Mullanpur Dakha. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 31.12.2018 and on 28.01.2019. The respondent was absent on both occasions. The PIO-DC Ludhiana was issued a show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act and directed to file written reply otherwise the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte. On the next date of hearing which was held on 25.02.2019, Sh.Gurpiar Singh Naib Tehsildar appeared and a copy of the RTI application was handed over to him and he was directed to provide the required information to the appellant as per the RTI application. On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, the respondent was again absent.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present from the office of Naib-Tehsildar, Mullanpur Dakha pleaded that the appellant had filed RTI application with DC Ludhiana which transferred it to the Sub-Registrar Ludhiana and the SR-Ludhiana further transferred it to Tehsildar Ludhiana (West).

The respondent informed that after receipt of the RTI application from Tehsildar(West), they have provided the information to the appellant by hand on 01.10.2018. The respondent further informed that the appellant was telephonically contacted again on 17.12.2018 and discrepancy was sorted out and the information was again sent to him via registered post on 07.03.2019. The appellant is absent nor has intimated about the discrepancies, if any. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh. H.S Hundal,  
# 1, Dutt Road, Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDM,  
Moga.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,  
Moga.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3615 of 2018**

**PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Prince Kumar, Clerk-SDM Office Moga for the Respondent**

**ORDER:** The appellant through RTI application dated 12.04.2018 has sought information on 8 points regarding acquisition of land on NH-71 and assessment of compensation provided to the land owners, including assessment reports of building & structure of Paramjeet Kaur, girdawari register of village Landheke, criteria of fixing basic rate of building/structure and action taken on the application and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 15.05.2018 after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.07.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. The respondent Sh.Prince Kumar, RTI clerk was present who submitted a reply dated 28.01.2019 signed by PIO-SDM Moga stating that the information on point-1 has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 15.05.2018 and for remaining information, the RTI has been transferred to the concerned departments u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act. The concerned PIO was directed to provide the information and if the information is not available in their record, to file a written reply.

The case was again heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 26.02.2019. Sh.Manveer Singh Bath, advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent who informed that the information on point-1 has been provided to the appellant and for remaining information, RTI application was transferred to the concerned department i.e. PWD(B&R) and Tehsildar Moga vide letter dated 17.04.2018. The concerned PIO's were directed to file reply in writing.

The case was again heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 20.03.2019. The respondent Sh.Manveer Singh Bath, advocate brought the reply. The appellant was absent. The respondent was directed to send the information to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was granted an opportunity to express his grievances in writing to the respondent PIO and the PIO concerned was directed to remove the same.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present from the office of SDM Moga pleaded that the information regarding point-1 relates to them and they have already sent reply to the appellant that the information is 3<sup>rd</sup> party information, it cannot be provided. The information regarding points-2 & 8 relates to Tehsildar Moga and remaining information relates to PWD(B&R) Moga. The respondent further pleaded that the information has also been provided to the appellant by the PIO-PWD and PIO-Tehsildar concerning them.

**Appeal Case No. 3615 of 2018**

Having gone through the record, and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1, 5, 6 & 7 - The information pertains to 3<sup>rd</sup> party. The concerned 3<sup>rd</sup> parties are impleaded in the case and directed to appear before the commission and plead why their information be not provided.
- Point-2 & 8 - Relates to Tehsildar Moga. The Tehsildar Moga is directed to provide the information as per RTI application
- Point-3 - PIO-SDM Moga to procure from PIO-PWD and send to the appellant.

The appellant is also directed to file written submission to establish in writing that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest as he has made allegation of corruption while disbursing money.

To come up for further hearing on **11.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

- CC to :**
- 1. PIO-Tehsildar Moga**
  - 2. PIO-PWD(B&R) Moga**
  - 3. Sh.Gurmukh Singh s/o Sh.Nahar Singh  
Village Landeke (Moga)**
  - 4. Sh.Amarjit Singh s/o Sh.Jiwan Singh  
Village Landeka (Moga)**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh Vijay Kumar Kapur,  
# 2857, Sector-40-C,  
Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Officer,  
Electricity, PSPCL,  
Kurali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,  
Operational Circle, PSPCL,  
Ropar. .

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1430 / 2018 & 1431/2018**

**PRESENT: Sh.Vijay Kumar Kapur as the Appellant**  
**Sh.K.S.Randawa,Addl. SE-Kharar&Sh.Ranjit Singh, SDO-PSPCL Kuralifor**  
**the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.10.1028 has sought information on 3 points regarding Hollywood Heights-1 Chandigarh Kurali Highway, Kharar comprising completion certificate in respect of electric connection installed, copy of application submitted for electric connection, letter of approval and other information concerning the office of SDO-PSPCL Kurali. The appellant was denied the information by the PIO vide letter dated 22.10.2018 stating that the information is not available in their record, after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since they have not provided any electric connection to the Hollywood Heights's projects, no such information is available in their record, and they have already sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 08.07.2019. The appellant is not satisfied.

Hearing both the parties, the Commission observes that the appellant is basically seeking the information that how, and under what rules an electric connection is being provided to this project. The PIO is directed to provide copies of estimates regarding installation of transformer and electric connection. The information be provided within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

During hearing, it came to the notice of the Commission that the appellant has filed another appeal case No.1431 of 2018 for seeking exactly the similar information to the Xen, PSPCL Kharar which was last heard on 08.07.2019 and adjourned for today. Both the cases are clubbed together.

To come up for compliance on **06.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh.Anoop Singh, S/o Sh.Nath Khullar,  
R/o 1089, Sector-51, Chandigarh.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDO, PSPCL,  
Amlah, DisttFatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 386 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Nikhil Sharma, Revenue Accountant for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 19.03.2019 has sought information on 19 points documents required for obtaining new domestic electric connection, action taken on the applications of Bimla Batta(GPA of Kawaljit Singh) and other information concerning the office of SDO-PSPCL Amlah, Distt.Fatehgarh Sahib. The complainant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 09.04.2019 after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 22.04.2019.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 04.09.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The respondent further pleaded that the information relating to Bimla Batta cannot be provided since the information is 3<sup>rd</sup> party and the 3<sup>rd</sup> party has not given her consent for disclosure of information.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the respondent, the Commission observes that the RTI application has been attended to appropriately and the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

The Commission further observes that the appellant is seeking information regarding electric connection of some other person. The appellant is absent and has not been able to produce any document which justifies that the disclosure of information relating to electric connection of Bimla Batta has a larger public interest.

Further it is a compliant case wherein the Commission has to see whether the RTI application has been attended to in timely manner and without any malafide. I have analyzed the PIO's conduct and find no malafide since the RTI application was attended in timely manner i.e. within 30 days. If the complainant further needs information, he should go to the First Appellate Authority.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:30.07.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**