

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Gurbir Singh,
SCO No.80-82, 4th Floor,
Sector 34-A, CHANDIGARH.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Additional Director,
Local Government, Punjab,
Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan,
Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Director,
Local Government, Punjab,
Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan,
Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO.1101 OF 2019

Present : Shri Gurbir Singh Advocate, appellant in person.
Shri Raminder Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.07.2019.

2. The representative of the respondent states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the appellant.
3. The appellant states that the information is incomplete.
4. Shri Jasbir Singh Dhanoa, Superintendent-cum-PIO is directed to be present, in person, on the next date of hearing and file an affidavit regarding the supplied information failing which strict action as per RTI Act 2005 shall be initiated.
5. Adjourned and the case is fixed for further hearing on **26-11-2019 at 11.30AM.**
6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh
Dated : 30-09-2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Telu Ram Jain(Sr. Citizen)
67, Street No.2,
Modi Mill Colony, NABHA,
District Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Nagar Council,
Nabha, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Punjab,
PATIALA.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 299 of 2019
And
APPEAL CASE NO. 300 of 2019

PRESENT : None on behalf of the appellant.
Shri Jatinder Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.07.2019.

2. The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. However, he has sent two letters dated 12.08.2019 and 20.09.2019 to the Commission complaining that the Respondent-PIO has not complied with the Commission order dated 10.07.2019.
3. The representative of the respondents brings the information in the court to hand it over to the appellant in the court because they had sent a peon from their office to the home of the appellant to hand over the demanded information by hand but the members of his family available at home informed that he is not at home and they have been told not to take/receive the information.
4. The XEN-cum-PIO is directed to supply the remaining information, if any, to the appellant with a copy to Commission by registered post. He is also directed to be present, in person, in the court, to file an Affidavit regarding the provided information, on the next date of hearing.
5. The appellant is advised to be present in the court on the next date of hearing to follow up his case.
6. Adjourned and to come up on **26-11-2019 at 11.30AM**. Copy of orders be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh
Dated : 30-09-2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 923 OF 2016

Sh. Harbir Singh,
House No. 307, Charan Bagh,
Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (Colleges) Punjab,
Punjab Education Board Complex.
Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondent

PRESENT: None on behalf the Complainant.
Shri Jagdeep Singh, Dy. Director-cum-PIO on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER: This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.09.2019.

2. The Complainant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. However, from the perusal of the case file, it is observed that the Complainant attended the court on 20.12.2018 and after that he is just sending a letter to get exemption from personal appearance on one pretext or the other.

3. Shri Jagdeep Singh, Dy. Director-cum-PIO is present, in person, for today's hearing and states that the dues payable to Shri Harbir Singh, Complainant, from the department, has already been paid to him. He further submits an affidavit stating therein that information as available on public authority record has already been provided to the Complainant and no more information is available with them. He further requests the Commission that show cause notice issued to him may kindly be dropped. In view of the above, the show cause notice issued to him is dropped.

4. The Complainant is at liberty to collect the Affidavit submitted by the Respondent-PIO which is lying in the case file.

5. Keeping the above in view, **the complaint case is closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
30-09-2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to the Intimation & Necessary Action: -

- 1) **The Secretary,**
Higher Education,
Department of Punjab,
Chandigarh.
- 2) **Sh. Jagdeep Singh,**
Dy. Director-cum-PIO
O/o DPI (Colleges) Punjab,
Punjab Education Board Complex.
Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 924 OF 2016

Sh. Harbir Singh,
House No. 307, Charan Bagh,
Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (Colleges) Punjab,
Punjab Education Board Complex.
Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondent

PRESENT: None on behalf the Complainant.
Shri Jagdeep Singh, Dy. Director-cum-PIO on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER: This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.09.2019.

2. The Complainant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. However, from the perusal of the file case, it is observed that the Complainant attended the court on 20.12.2018 and after that he is just sending a letter to get exemption from personal appearance on one pretext or the other.

3. Shri Jagdeep Singh, Dy. Director-cum-PIO is present, in person, for today's hearing and states that the dues payable to Shri Harbir Singh, Complainant, from the department, has already been paid to him. He further submits an affidavit stating therein that information as available on public authority record has already been provided to the Complainant and no more information is available with them. He further requests the Commission that show cause notice issued to him may kindly be dropped. In view of the above, the show cause notice issued to him is dropped.

4. The Complainant is at liberty to collect the Affidavit submitted by the Respondent-PIO which is lying in the case file.

5. Keeping the above in view, **the complaint case is closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
30-09-2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to the Intimation & Necessary Action: -

- 1) **The Secretary,**
Higher Education,
Department of Punjab,
Chandigarh.
- 2) **Sh. Jagdeep Singh,**
Dy. Director-cum-PIO
O/o DPI (Colleges) Punjab,
Punjab Education Board Complex.
Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1057 of 2018

Advocate Munish Bansal (98720-29475),
House No.869, First Floor,
Sector 7, Panchkula.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council,
Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Advocate Munish Bansal, Complainant.
Shri Mandeep Singh, Computer operator, on behalf of Respondent - PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.07.2019.

2. The Complainant reiterates his earlier stand that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.
3. The perusal of the case reveals that neither the Respondent–PIO nor his representative bothered to attend the court on 12.02.2019, 18.03.2019, 23.05.2019 and 16.07.2019. Shri Mandeep Singh, Computer operator was deputed by the respondent-PIO to attend the court on his behalf on 15.04.2019 and today i.e. 30.09.2019. Even a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act 2005 was issued to Sh. Surinder Kumar, Executive Officer –cum - PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda to attend the court personally on 30.09.2019 but again he did not bothered to come present in the court to file the reply of the show cause notice.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1057 OF 2018

-2-

4. From the above, it is clear that he does not have any respect for the statutory institution. The Commission cannot take it lying down. The matter has to be taken to a logical end. While exercising its authority under Section 18(3)(a) of the RTI Act, 2005, a bailable warrant is issued against Sh. Surinder Kumar, Executive Officer–cum-PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda with the direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to serve it on him to appear along with entire relevant record before the Commission on **26.11.2019 at 11.30 AM** so that the appropriate action is taken on the show cause notice issued to him.

5. The case is adjourned to **26.11.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
30.09.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action:-

Sh. Surinder Kumar,
Executive Officer –cum- PIO,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council,
Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda.

REGISTERED

BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

**BEFORE SHRI HEM INDER SINGH, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,
PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH**

In case of Shri Munish Kumar, Advocate, Ex-Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, resident of House No. 869, First Floor, Sector 7, Panchkula, (Haryana) Versus PIO, O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda, in Complaint case No. 1507 of 2018.

UNDER SECTION 18(3)(a) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

APPEAL CASE NO. 1057 OF 2018

NEXT DATE OF HEARING: 26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.

To

**The Senior Superintendent of Police,
BATHINDA.**

Whereas Shri Surinder Kumar, Executive Officer–cum-PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda, has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notice / summon in the above mentioned Complaint case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant on Sh. Surinder Kumar, Executive Officer–cum-PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Rampuraphul, Distt. Bathinda, to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab, at Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh, on **30.09.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Dated, this 30th day of September, 2019.

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab, Chandigarh