PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Jasbir Singh, (9888296107),

Village: Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office: Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, District: LUDHIANA.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, RAMPURA PHUL, District Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate, RAMPURA PHUL, District Bathinda.

.....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1051 of 2019

Versus

Present: None on behalf of both the Appellant

Shri Dev Raj, SO, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

Shri Dev Raj, SO, is present in person and submits a letter No.62/RTI, dated 26.09.2019 to be handed over to the appellant. He further states that he himself tried to contact on phone to the appellant but he did not attend the calls. The letter is taken on record.

- The appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. The Respondent-PIO is directed to supply the sought for information to the appellant by registered post under intimation to the Commission before the next date of hearing.
- 4. Both the parties are directed to be present in the Commission on the next date of hearing.
- 5. Adjourned and to come up on **26.11.2019 at 11.30AM.** Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Place : Chandigarh Dated : 30.09.2019



Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, H.No.986, Near Dev Hotel, Main Bazar, MOGA, District Moga.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o The Additional Director, Local Government, Punjab, Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, The Additional Director, Local Government, Punjab, Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1092 of 2019

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER

This is order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.07.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for the 2nd time consecutively without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. Neither the Respondent-PIO nor his representative has bothered to appear in the Commission today to file a reply of Commission's Notice. The Commission takes a serious view of this lapse on the part of respondents for taking orders of the Commission in a casual manner and thus, denying the appellant his legitimate right to get the sought for information. Therefore, viewing the delaying attitude of the respondent-PIO, a Show Cause Notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act 2005, is issued to him to explain the reasons through a duly attested affidavit as to why a penalty at the rate of Rs.250/- per day subject to maximum of Rs.25000/- be not imposed upon him for the delay in the supply of information. He is also afforded an opportunity of personal hearing on the next date of hearing before taking any action.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in



APPEAL CASE NO. 1092 of 2019

-2-

- 4. The appellant is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing to follow up his case.
- 5. Adjourned and to come up on **26.11.2019 at 11.30AM.** Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/Place : Chandigarh (Hem Inder Singh)
Dated : 30.09.2019 State Information Commissioner



APPEAL CASE NO. 1214 OF 2019

Shri Jasbir Singh, (9888296107),

Village: Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office: Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, District: LUDHIANA.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub-Divisional Magistrate, JAITTO, District: Faridkot

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, JAITTO, District: Faridkot.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Parminder Singh, Steno, is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 19.12.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 22.01.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 02.04.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for the second time.
- 3. Sh. Parminder Singh, Steno, appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and submits a copy of letter No.19/RTI, dated 16.05.2019 informing therein that the sought for information has been supplied to the Appellant vide above said letter by registered post on 21.05.2019. The letter is taken on record.
- 4. Since nothing has been heard from the appellant, it is assumed that that he has received the demanded information and he is satisfied with the same. Accordingly the case is **closed and disposed off.**
- 5. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh **30.09.2019**



APPEAL CASE NO. 1217 OF 2019

Shri Jasbir Singh, (9888296107),

Village: Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office: Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road,

District : LUDHIANA. ... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sub-Divisional Magistrate, MAUR, District Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, MAUR, District Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.07.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for the second time consecutively.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present in the court for the second time consecutively without any intimation to the Commission.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO is directed to supply the demanded information to the appellant by registered post under intimation to the Commission. He is given another chance to appear personally in the Commission and file a reply in response to the Notice of the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **26.11.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 30.09.2019



APPEAL CASE NO. 2071 of 2018

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan (98722-20039). House No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District-Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, Punjab Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Patiala Circle, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, the Appellant, in person.

Sh. Arvinder Singh, SDO-cum- APIO, O/o Sewerage Board, Dhuri is

present on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.08.2019.

- 2. Sh. Arvinder Singh, SDO-cum-APIO appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The Appellant is present for today's hearing in the Commission and states that the information supplied by the Respondents is incomplete and incorrect.
- 4. In view of the above, the **Respondent–PIO O/o XEN, Water supply and Sewerage Board, Sangrur**, is directed to appear personally alongwith the available information, if there is no other information then the Respondent PIO will file a proper affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **26.11.2019** at **11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 30.09.2019



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 725 OF 2019

Sh. Tarsem Singh, S/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh, V.P.O. Chohla Sahib, Patti Badeki, Tehsil & District Tarn Taran-143408

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o District Treasury Officer, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

The Complainant has filed a case on 06.07.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application to PIO and on not getting any response/information from the PIO, he has filed his Complaint to the Commission on 13.08.2019.

- 2. The Complainant is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. The Respondent-PIO O/o The District Treasury Officer, Ludhiana, is also absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 4. Both the parties are directed to be present in the Commission on the next date of hearing.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **26.11.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 30.09.2019



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 736 OF 2019

Sh. Gagandeep Singh, S/o Sh. Surjit Singh, H. No. 222, Shastrian Wali Gali, Azad Nagar, Kot Khalsa, Amritsar-143081

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o District Treasury Officer, Amritsar.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Shri Gagandeep Singh, the Complainant.

Shri Munish Kumar, Assistant Treasury Officer, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The Complainant is present in the court and states that the sought for information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.

- 2. Shri Munish Kumar, Assistant Treasury Officer, Amritsar, appears in person and submits a copy of letter No.D.T/1826, dated 30.07.2019 addressed to Shri Gagandeep Singh, Complainant, informing him that in reference to the Judgment of Central Information Commission in the Case File No.CIC/AT/A/2006/00045, dated 21.04.2006 under para 5 the sought for information cannot be made available. In the letter it is further stated that the demanded information does not cover under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005. The letter is taken on record.
- 3. Keeping in view of the above, the Complaint Case is closed and disposed off.
- 4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 30.09.2019



APPEAL CASE NO. 2926 OF 2019

Sh. Amardeep Sharma, S/o Sh. Baldev Kumar Sharma, House No. 1030, Phase-3B, Mohali, S.A.S. Nagar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Phase-2, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Local Bodies, Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Present: Shri Amandeep Sharma, the appellant, in person.

Shri Darshan Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

The appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application dated 18.04.2019. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated 14.06.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated 09.08.2019.

- 2. The appellant is present in the court and states that the sought for information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.
- 3. The Deputy Director(Admn)-cum-PIO o/o Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Phase 2, SAS Nagar(Mohali) is directed to be present, **in person**, along with available information, if there is no information, then the Respondent-PIO will file pointwise proper affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, in the court on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.
- 4. Adjourned and to come up on **13.11.2019 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/Place : Chandigarh
Date : 30.09.2019

Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner



APPEAL CASE NO. 2927 OF 2019

Sh. Harbans Lal, S/o Sh. Ishar Dass,, Ward No. 7, Street No. 1,Prem Nagar, Alika Road, Nai Dabwali (277), Sira, Mandi Dabwali, Haryana, 125104.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

...Respondents

Present: Shri Harbans Lal, the appellant, in person.

Shri Bal Krishan, Inspector-cum-APIO, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

The appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application dated 05.04.2019. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated 10.05.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated 09.08.2019.

- 2. Shri Bal Krishan, Inspector-cum-APIO, appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the appellant. A letter No.2587, dated 18.09.2019 from the Respondents is received in the Commission vide Diary No.18363, dated 24.09.2019 addressed to the appellant stating therein that they have already supplied the information vide their letter dated 1540, dated 28.05.2019, however, they are again sending a copy of the supplied information.
- 3. The appellant is present in the court and states that information supplied is incomplete.
- 4. The Respondent-PIO o/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda, is directed to be present, **in person**, along with available information, if there is no information then the Respondent-PIO will file pointwise proper affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, in the court on the next date of hearing failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005.
- 5. Adjourned and to come up on **26.11.2019 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Place: Chandigarh Date: 30.09.2019



APPEAL CASE NO. 2955 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Commissioner, Kunicipal Corporation, LUDHIANA. (**DEEMED PIO**)

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Sr. Asstt. On behalf of Respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application dated 27.05.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated 01.07.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated 14.08.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Sr. Assistant, appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO and states that the demanded information does not pertain to their office, as such, they had transferred the RTI application to the O/o the Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana, vide their office Memo.No.26176, dated 12.06.2019 under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005. Therefore, the PIO o/o the Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana, is impleaded as "Deemed PIO".



APPEAL CASE NO. 2955 OF 2019

-2-

- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO o/o the Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana, is directed to appear personally alongwith the available information, if there is no other information available with them, then the Respondent PIO will file a proper affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **26.11.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 30.09.2019



APPEAL CASE NO. 3010 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Lovejeet Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application dated 30.05.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated 01.07.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated 19.08.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Lovejeet Singh, Head Constable appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO and states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide their office Letter No.2815/RTI, dated 09.08.2019. The letter is taken on record.
- In view of the above, no more action in this appeal case is required and 4. hence, the case is closed and disposed off.
- 5. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 30.09.2019