Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Surat Singh,

s/o Joginder Singh, VPO Rohira, Tehsil Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur – 148021

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Dhuri, District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 187 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Surat Singh (Complainant) 94644-75930 Amir Ashraf, Senior XEN (for the Respondent) 96461-11133

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, Surat Singh, filed this RTI application dated **8.1.2020** and sought information <u>regarding electricity connection installed at the Atta Chaki being run by Dara Singh s/o Joginder Singh,</u> from the PIO o/o Executive Engineer, PSPCL Patiala. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **19.2.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **3.6.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Amir Ashraf, Senior Executive Engineer, PSPCL Malerkotla, has stated that the information requested by the complainant was provided to him back in March this year, following which, a Curfew/Lockdown was imposed in the state. The Complainant Surat Singh is also present and has confirmed that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with the same.
- 3. This Commission sees no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Baljit Singh,

s/o Joginder Singh, Ajit Nagar, Near Grewal Chowk, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Malerkotla,
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Engineer (South),
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Shakti Vihar, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 868 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Baljit Singh (Appellant) 94631-31987 Amir Ashraf, Senior XEN (for the Respondent) 96461-11133

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 13.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the enquiry into the accident of lineman Amrik Singh on 17.6.2019, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 21.12.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.2.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 3.6.2020.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Amir Ashraf, Senior Executive Engineer, PSPCL Malerkotla, stated that the information requested by the appellant has already been provided to him. However, the appellant Baljit Singh contended that the information provided is deficient. The appellant is requested to make a list of the deficiencies in the information and send this to the respondent PIO with a copy to this Commission.
- 3. Next hearing on 6.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Baljit Singh,

s/o Sh. Joginder Singh, Ajit Nagar, Near Grewal Chowk, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer.

o/o Senior Executive Officer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Malerkotla,
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Engineer (South),
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Shakti Vihar, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 871 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Baljit Singh (Appellant) 94631-31987 Amir Ashraf, Senior XEN (for the Respondent) 96461-11133

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **October**, **2019** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding confidential numbers affixed on letters issued by Sub Divisions</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.12.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **25.2.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **3.6.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Amir Ashraf, Senior Executive Engineer, has contended that the information requested by the appellant has already been provided to him. He has also stated in a reply dated 17.12.19, to the appellant, that there is no system of affixing confidential numbers to documents and there are no such directions to this effect in the office of PSPCL, Malerkotla.
- 3. The appellant, however, contended that he has copies of letters and documents bearing confidential (*gupt*) numbers. The respondent PIO, who contended that these were confidential file numbers, was asked whether details regarding can be shared with the appellant, to which he agreed. The respondent PIO is directed to furnish the information requested by the appellant before the next hearing.
- 4. <u>Next hearing on 6.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.</u>

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
3.6.2020

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ravi Kumar,

s/o Dharmendar Kumar, H.No.107, Near Santpura, Patiala Gate, Sangrur – 148001.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Sangrur. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Director Local Government, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2758 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ravi Kumar (Appellant) 93560-37575 Ramesh Kumar, PIO-Cum-E.O. (Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.9.19, 10.10.19, 28.11.19, and 30.1.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.3.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>pertaining to a Municipal Council vehicle (PB13-22-6978)</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **95.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.7.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **30.1.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Ramesh Kumar, has stated that as directed by this Commission at the last hearing on 30.1.2020, an affidavit detailing the status of the Vehicle regarding which information was sought by the appellant, has been handed over to him, copy of the same has been emailed to this Commission. After listening to the contents of the affidavit via video conference today, this Commission is of the view that the information requested by the appellant has been adequately addressed.
- 3. The respondent is directed to email a copy of the afore-stated affidavit to this Commission at the earliest instance.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ravi Kumar, s/o Dharmendar Kumar, H.No.107, Near Santpura, Patiala Gate, Sangrur – 148 001.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Regional Transport Officer, Sangrur. First Appellate Authority, o/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 2759 of 2019

PRESENT:

Ravi Kumar (Appellant) 93560-37575 Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, PIO-Cum-ATO (Respondent) 98140-69272

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 4.9.19, 10.10.19, 28.11.19, and 30.1.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **18.2.19** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding preferred vehicle registration numbers from 1.1.2012 to 18.2.19</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.3.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.7.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act. 2005. The case was last heard on **30.1.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. At the last hearing on 30.1.2020 this Commission had directed the PIO to arrange for the appellant to inspect the record relevant to point 1 of the RTI application on 13.2.2020. The appellant however, informed the Court that he was not able to visit for inspection on that day. In view of this, this Commission directs the respondent PIO to arrange for the appellant to inspect the record once again on their mutually agreed date of 22.6.2020.
- 3. <u>Next hearing on 6.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.</u>

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Shingara Singh,

s/o Dalip Singh, Village Raowal, PO Fatehgarh Sahib, District Moga.

Versus

Public Information Officer.

o/o Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Fatehgarh Panjtoor, District Moga.

Complaint Case No. 200 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Shingara Singh (Complainant) 98157-19750 Kewal Singh, APIO-Cum-SDO (for the Respondent) 96461-14982

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, Surat Singh, filed this RTI application dated **15.10.2019** and sought information <u>regarding a particular agricultural tube well connection AP 32/685</u>, from the PIO o/o Executive Engineer, PSPCL, Patiala. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.3.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **3.6.2020**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Kewal Singh SDO, PSPCL, Fatehgarh Panjtoor, Moga, stated that the requested information pertains to Revenue Department and not PSPCL. As per the provisions of RTI Act, the respondent PIO should have transferred the RTI application under Section 6 (3) to the Revenue Department. He has however failed to do so.
- 3. The respondent PIO is now directed to transfer the RTI application to the concerned office in the Revenue Department which must then supply the requested information to the complainant Shingara Singh. The respondent PIO is also directed to inform the complainant as well as this Commission as soon as the RTI application is transferred.
- 4. <u>Next hearing on 6.8.2020 at 12.00 (Noon) through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga.</u>

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ashok Kumar,

s/o Ram Prashad, Radha Ramn Mill, Killi-Chuharchak Road, Ajitwal (Moga).

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o District Manager, Punjab Warehousing Corporation, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Managing Director, Punjab Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 2788 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Vikramjeet Garg, APIO-Cum-ASTO (for the Respondent) 88722-54681

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 18.9.19, 25.10.19, 13.12.19, and 6.2.2020)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **20.2.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding details of Vishal Rice Mills, Ajitwal</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **6.2.2020**.
- 2. The appellant Ashok Kumar is absent without any intimation to this Commission. The respondent PIO, represented by Vikramjeet Garg, ASTO, is present and as directed by this Commission earlier, has brought the original record pertaining to this information request. The PIO has also stated that the information pertaining to point 4 of the RTI application has also been supplied to the appellant. He is directed to e-mail a copy of the furnished information to this Commission at the earliest. However, in view of the fact that the appellant is not present at today's hearing, this Commission grants one final opportunity to him, to be heard in person at the next hearing.
- 3. The next hearing on <u>6.8.2020 at 12.00 (Noon) through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga.</u> When the respondent PIO must also be present with the original record pertaining to point no. 4 of the RTI application.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner