Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Dilawar Singh, S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh,

Village Khurdan, Tehsil: Balachaur, P.O: Chaniani Khurd, SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o S.S.P, Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appellant CASE NO. 3495 OF 2018

PRESENT: (i) Sh.Dilawar Singh, the appellant.

(ii)Sh. Amarjit Singh, ASI on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.04.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.07.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 12.10.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of the Commission has been sent to the parties for today's hearing i.e. 29.11.2018.

3. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent.

4. The respondent files reply to the Notice of the Commission mentioning therein that the information regarding point no. 1 and 2 of call details has been asked by the appellant, which cannot be supplied to the appellant as per the Section 8(1) of the RTI Act and information regarding point no. 3 is query, which is also exempted under the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The respondent is directed to bring the original record, in the Commission, on the next date of hearing for the perusal of the Commission. The PIO should personally appear, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

6. The matter to come up for further hearing on 19.12.2018 at 11.00AM.

Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Sohan Lal.

S/o SH. Laxman Ram,

VPO: Jagatpur, Block:Mukandpur,

Distt: SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Civil Surgeon,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Director,

Health & Family welfare,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondent.

Appeal Case No. 2354 of 2018

PRESENT: None for the parties.

ORDER

2.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated

05.10.2018.

A letter has been received from the appellant in the Commission vide

diary no. 23156 dated 16.11.2018 mentioning therein that till today, satisfactory

information has not been supplied to him by the respondent.

3. Neither the PIO is present for today's hearing nor has filed any reply to

the notice of the Commission. During the last hearing, the PIO was directed to appear

personally but at today's hearing, he is again absent, which shows that he has no

regard to the orders of the Commission.

4. Looking at the lackadaisical and highly irresponsible attitude of the

respondent-PIO o/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana is directed to show cause as to why

penalty @ 250/-per day be not imposed upon him/her under Section 20 (1) of the RTI

Act and why the appellant be not compensated for the harassment suffered by him.

5. The respondent-PIO is directed to file a written point-wise an affidavit

in this regard, before the next date of hearing.

6. He may also make use of the next date of hearing for his personal

hearing as well under the principles of natural justice and explain his conduct and status

of the appeal to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in

the matter.

7. The matter to come up for further hearing now on 23.01.2018 at 11.00AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Ashok Kumar Joshi, S/o Sh. Hari Krishan Joshi, R/o Near Baba PUra Ji, Mohalla, Sarafa Raho, Distt: SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority o/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3492 of 2018

PRESENT: (i) Sh. Ashok Kumar Joshi, the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Anil Narayan, Operator on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 23.07.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 28.08.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.10.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.11.2018 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent.
- 4. The respondent has not filed any reply to the Notice of the Commission and has also not provided the required information within the stipulated time as prescribed under the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. Looking at the lackadaisical and highly irresponsible attitude of the respondent-PIO o/o Director, Health and Family welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh is directed to show cause as to why penalty @ 250/-per day be not imposed upon him/her under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act and why the appellant be not compensated for the harassment suffered by him.

Appeal Case No. 3492 of 2018

6. The respondent-PIO is directed to file a written point-wise an affidavit

in this regard, before the next date of hearing.

7. It is observed that the respondents are being represented by very low

level officials who are not in a position to satisfy the Commission and the appellant.

From the next date of hearing, no person below the PIO or APIO will be allowed to

appear. He may also make use of the next date of hearing for his personal hearing

as well under the principles of natural justice and explain his conduct and status of

the appeal to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in

the matter.

8. The matter to come up for further hearing now on 23.01.2019 at

11.00AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Dhiraj Kumar Kutharia, # 3-D, Rajguru Nagar, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Food Safety Deptt., o/o Civil Surgeon Office,

Taylor Road, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Food Safety Deptt., o/o Civil Surgeon Office, Taylor Road, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3472 of 2018

PRESENT: (i) Sh. Dhiraj Kumar Kutharia, the appellant.

(ii) Smt. Gagandeep Kaur, FSO, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 22.06.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 21.08.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 09.10.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.11.2018 in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that he has received the information from the respondent today in the Commission and is satisfied. He has given in writing that this case may please be closed.

4. The respondent files reply to the Notice of the Commission, which is taken on record.

5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the record, it is ascertained that the information which has been sought by the appellant stands supplied to him by the respondent with which, he is satisfied and wants to close the case. No further cause of action is left in the instant Appeal Case, which is hereby, disposed of and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan, President of Anti Corruption Council, Opposite water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority o/o Deputy Director, health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2292 of 2018

PRESENT: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Jagtar Singh, Sr. Assistant and Sh. Amarpal Singh Malhi, Drug

Inspector on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated

05.10.2018.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing and was absent on the last

hearing also.

3. The respondent states that the RTI application of the appellant was received in their office on 10.05.2018 and information has been sent to the appellant

vide letter dated 14.05.2018 by ordinary post and again sent to him by registered post

on 22.11.2018.

4. After examining the reply filed by the respondent, it is ascertained that

the respondent has not supplied the information on each and every point of the RTI

application. The respondent is directed to provide the complete information regarding

point no. 1 and 6 also to the appellant within one week and Assistant Civil Surgeon,

Amritsar is also directed to appear personally, on the next date of hearing alongwith the

written reply in response to the orders of the Commission, failing which action under

Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

Appeal Case No. 2292 of 2018

- 5. The First Appellate authority i.e Deputy Director, Health & Family welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh is also directed to appear personally, on the next date of hearing.
- 6. Last opportunity is given to the appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken on merits.
- 7. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **23.01.2019 at 11.00AM.**Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Avtar Singh,

S/o Late Sh. Daljit Singh,

VPO: Chuharwali, Distt:Jalandhar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar.

First Appellate authority o/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal CASE NO. 2351 OF 2018

PRESENT: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Mandeep Singh, Jr. Assistant and Dr. S.S.Mann, ACS is

present on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.10.2018.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing and was also absent during the last hearing.

3. The PIO files reply to the show cause notice today in the Commission mentioning therein that the information regarding point no. 3 and 4 was provided by the with the Food Safety Officer o/o Civil Surgeon and information regarding point no. 2 was provided by the Suptd. Incharge Health Branch and information regarding point no. 1, was to be supplied by the Suptd. Incharge Establishment Branch. He further submits that complete information has been supplied by all of the officers and has apologized for the delay in supplying the information.

4. The perusal of the record reveals that in this case, the appellant has tried his best to get the information from the PIO who failed to provide the information to the applicant. He has been made to run from pillar to post for getting the information, which he could not get even after the notice was issued by the Commission in the second appeal. He has suffered unnecessary detriments/harassment in getting the information at the hands of the PIO.

Appeal CASE NO. 2351 OF 2018

5. I have also looked into all the facts and circumstances of the case. In

my view this is a fit case, where award of compensation under Section 19 (8) (b) is also

called for. I have no doubt in my mind that this state of affairs has also come about on

account of the absence of adequate machinery for handling the RTI work in the o/o Civil

Surgeon, Jalandhar is thus, responsible for the inadequate handling of the RTI

requests and in the instant case as well. I, therefore, order that compensation of Rs.

2,000/- (Rs. Two thousand only) be paid to the appellant for the detriments suffered by

him. This amount shall be payable from the funds of the o/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar

by way of Demand Draft to the appellant within one month.

6. The perusal of the record reveals that the department had not

implemented the Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005. According to Section 4, it is mandatory

for every public authority shall maintain all its records duly catalogued and indexed in a

manner and the form which facilitates the right to information under this Act and ensure

that all records that are appropriate to be computerized, within a reasonable time and

subject to availability of resources, computerized an connect through a network all over

the country on different systems, so that access to such records is facilitated.

7. The case is adjourned for 23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM. Copies of the

order are sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Through registered post

CC: Sh. S.S.Mann, Additional Civil Surgeon o/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Rakesh Sharma, S/o Sh. Bhupinder Sharma, # 182/12, Main Bazar Katra, Parja, I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar.

-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director School Education (EE), Mohali.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Secretary Education, Deptt Branch, 4, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appellant Case No. 2247 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh. Rakesh Sharma, the appellant.

Smt. Kusum Devi, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated

05.10.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied

to him by the respondent.

3. The PIO has authorized Smt. Kusum Devi, Sr. Assistant to appear on

her behalf for today's hearing. The respondent files self declaration of the PIO

mentioning therein that the information as per office rules has been supplied to the

appellant.

4. During the last hearing, the PIO was directed to appear personally and

file an affidavit but at today's hearing, neither the PIO is present nor has filed affidavit,

which shows that she has no regard to the orders of the Commission.

5. Last opportunity is given to the PIO to appear personally and file an

affidavit duly attested by the higher authorities, on the next date of hearing, failing which

action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

6. The case is adjourned for 23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM. Copies of the

order are sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Col. F.S.Dehal (Retd), # 491, Phase-1-B, Shivalik Avenue, Naya Nangal, Distt:Ropar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Council,

Naya Nangal, Distt: Ropar.

First Appellate authority

o/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2224 of 2018

PRESENT:

- (i) Col F.S.Dehal, the appellant.
- (ii) Sh. Paramjit Singh, ATP and Dr. S.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate on behalf

of the respondent.

ORDER

05.10.2018.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated

- 2. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent.
- 3. Dr. S.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate is appearing alongwith Sh. Paramjit Singh, ATP and files reply in response to the show cause notice but not on the affidavit.
- 4. After going through the record, as available in the file, it is ascertained that the RTI application is dated 08.12.2017 and first reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.05.2018, after the delay of five months, which has been admitted by the respondent with which the appellant is not satisfied with the reply of the respondent.
- The perusal of the record reveals that in this case, the appellant has tried his best to get the information from the PIO who failed to provide the information to the applicant. He has been made to run from pillar to post for getting the information, which he could not get even after the notice was issued by the Commission in the second appeal. He has suffered unnecessary detriments/harassment in getting the information at the hands of the PIO.

Appeal CASE NO. 2224 OF 2018

6. I have also looked into all the facts and circumstances of the case. In

my view this is a fit case, where award of compensation under Section 19 (8) (b) is also

called for. I have no doubt in my mind that this state of affairs has also come about on

account of the absence of adequate machinery for handling the RTI work in the o/o

Municipal Council, Naya Nangal, Distt: Ropar is thus, responsible for the inadequate

handling of the RTI requests and in the instant case as well. I, therefore, order that

compensation of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five thousand only) be paid to the appellant for the

detriments suffered by him. This amount shall be payable from the funds of the o/o

Municipal Council, Naya Nangal, Distt: Ropar by way of Demand Draft to the

appellant within one month. Both the parties have mutually sought the next data of

hearing i.e. 20.12.2018, as the similar case is pending before the same Bench on

the same date.

7. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit in response to the show

cause notice on the next date of hearing, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the

RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

8. On the request of both the parties, the case is adjourned for

20.12.2018 at 11:00 AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh.Narinder Kumar Bitta, S/o SH. Rai Sahib, H.No.2438-A, Street No.2, Shri Guru Nanak Nagar, Near 16 Acre, Barnala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Barnala.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,(G) Barnala.

Respondent

Appeal CASE NO. 1863 OF 2018

PRESENT: Sh. Narinder Kumar Bitta, the appellant.

Sh. Sukhwant Singh, Clerk on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.10.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied

to him by the respondent.

3. The respondent files self declaration of Sh. Karamjit Singh, PCS,

Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO o/o Deputy Commissioner, Barnala,

which is taken on record.

4. After going through the record as available in the file, it is ascertained

that the RTI application dated 12.02.2018 received in o/o Deputy Commissioner,

Barnala on 13.02.2018 and RTI application has been sent to the concerned branch

on 16.02.2018. The reply has been received from the concerned branch on

07.03.2018 and the same has been sent to the appellant on 09.03.2018 that the

information which has been sought by him does not available in their office.

5. After received the reply of the respondent, the appellant file second

appeal before the First Appellate Authority i.e. ADC, Barnala on 21.03.2018 and

decision has been given by the FAA on 18.04.2018 that the information on point no.

1 is not available in their record and information regarding point no. 2 is related with

Appeal CASE NO. 1863 OF 2018

the SDM, Barnala and the same has been transferred to them under Section 6(3) of

the RTI Act, on 09.05.2018. Copy of the decision of FAA has been sent to the

appellant on 20.04.2018.

6. The PIO o/o SDM, Barnala has also sent the reply to the Commission

on20.08.2018, which has been received on 27.08.2018 mentioning therein that the

reply to the appellant has been sent to him vide letter dated 07.06.2018 that the

information regarding point no. 2 has already been supplied to him on 25.04.2018

that no such information is available in their office, the same may be at the level of

Deputy Commission, Sangrur.

7. The perusal of the record reveals that the RTI application has not

been dealt properly. The Deputy Commissioner, Barnala is directed to conduct the

inquiry against the erring officials who are responsible for the loss of the record. Sh.

Karamjit Singh, PCS, Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO is directed to

appear personally alongwith an affidavit, failing which action under Section 20(1) of

the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated. The PIO is also directed to retrieve the

information on point no. 2 from the o/o SDM, Barnala and provide the same to the

appellant, before the next date of hearing. The copy of the original self declaration

is handed over to the appellant, today in the Commission.

8. The case is adjourned for **23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM**. Copies of the

order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Through registered post

CC: Sh. Karamjit Singh, PCS, Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO o/o

Deputy Commissioner, Barnala,

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh.Narinder Kumar Bitta, S/o SH. Rai Sahib, H.No.2438-A, Street No.2, Shri Guru Nanak Nagar, Near 16 Acre, Barnala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Barnala.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,(G) Barnala.

Respondent

Appeal CASE NO. 1868 OF 2018

Sh. Narinder Kumar Bitta, the appellant. PRESENT:

Sh. Sukhwant Singh, Clerk on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.10.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied to him by the respondent.

3. The respondent files self declaration of Sh. Karamjit Singh, PCS, Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO o/o Deputy Commissioner, Barnala, which is taken on record.

4. After going through the record as available in the file, it is ascertained that the RTI application dated 12.03.2018 received in o/o Deputy Commissioner, Barnala on 14.03.2018 and RTI application has been sent to the concerned branch The reply has been received from the concerned branch on on 15.03.2018. 05.04.2018 and the same has been sent to the appellant on 09.04.2018 that the information which has been sought by him on two points does not available in their office.

5. After received the reply of the respondent, the appellant file second appeal before the First Appellate Authority i.e. ADC, Barnala on 13.04.2018 and decision has been given by the FAA on 09.05.2018 that the information which has been sought by him on two points does not available in their office. Copy of the decision of FAA has been sent to the appellant on 18.05.2018.

Appeal CASE NO. 1868 OF 2018

6. The perusal of the record reveals that the RTI application has not been dealt properly. The Deputy Commissioner, Barnala is directed to conduct the inquiry against the erring officials who are responsible for the loss of the record and send the report to the Commission, on the next date of hearing. Sh. Karamjit Singh, PCS, Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO is directed to appear personally alongwith an affidavit, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated. The copy of the original self declaration is handed over to the appellant, today in the Commission.

7. The case is adjourned for **23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.11.2018 Sd/(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Through registered post

CC: Sh. Karamjit Singh, PCS, Assistant Commissioner, General-cum- PIO o/o Deputy Commissioner, Barnala,

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh.Narinder Kumar Bitta, S/o SH. Rai Sahib, H.No.2438-A, Street No.2, Shri Guru Nanak Nagar, Near 16 Acre, Barnala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar, Barnala.

First Appellate Authority o/o S.D.M, Barnala.

Respondent

Appeal CASE NO. 1867 OF 2018

PRESENT: Sh. Narinder Kumar Bitta, the appellant.

Sh. Parvesh Garg, Patwari on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.10.2018.

- 2. The appellant states that till today no information has been provided to him by the respondent.
- 3. The respondent files self declaration of Sh. Balkaran Singh, Tehsildarcum-PIO which is taken on record.
- 4. After going through the record as available in the file, it is ascertained that the RTI application dated 12.02.2018 received in o/o Tehsildar, Barnala on 15.02.2018 and RTI application has been sent to the concerned branch and reply has been received from the concerned branch on 28.02.2018 and the same has been sent to the appellant on 21.03.2018 that the information which has been sought by him does not available in their office.
- 5. The perusal of the record reveals that the RTI application has not been dealt properly. The Deputy Commissioner, Barnala is directed to conduct the inquiry against the erring officials who are responsible for the loss of the record and send the report to the Commission, on the next date of hearing. Sh. Balkaran Singh, Tehsildar-cum-PIO is directed to appear personally alongwith an affidavit, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

Appeal CASE NO. 1867 OF 2018

7. The case is adjourned for 23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra) **State Information Commissioner**

Through registered post

CC:

Deputy Commissioner, Barnala. (By Name) Sh. Balkaran Singh, Tehsildar-cum-PIO o/o Tehsildar, Barnala.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden Sector:16, Chandigarh Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com; Email. psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Sunil Handa, # 407, GHS 33, Sector:20, Panchkula.

Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Punjab, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 491 of 2018

PRESENT:

- (i) Sh. Sunil Handa, the complainant.
- (ii) Smt. Balwinder Kaur, Suptd., and Sh. Naib Chand, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.10.2018.

- 2. The complainant states that he has pointed out the deficiencies but till today, complete information has not been supplied to him by the respondent.
- 3. The respondent files reply to the show cause notice today in the Commission mentioning therein that RTI application received on 20.11.2017 and from 20.11.2017 to 22.02.2018, the most of the dealing clerks were transferred that is why the RTI application has not been dealt within a time. On 23.02.2018, Sh. Naib Chand, Sr. Assistant joined and provided the information to the complainant on 02.04.2018, which was returned back to the department. In the meantime, the complainant filed complaint in the Commission and first hearing was held on 05.07.2018, which was postponed and the information was again sent to the complainant on 09.07.2018 by registered post.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 titled Chief Information Commissioner and Another Vs. State of Manipur and Another (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) wherein it has been held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the

Complaint Case No. 491 of 2018

Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the

information. As per the above decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the

Information Commission has a power to receive and enquire into the complaint of

any person who has been refused access to any information requested under this

Act (section 18 (1)(b)) or has been given incomplete, misleading or false information

under the Act (Section 18(1)(e) or has not been given a response to a request for

information or access to information within time limits specified under the Act

(Section 18(1)(c)).

5. After examining the case file, it reveals that this is a complaint case

and first reply has been sent by the department to the complainant after the lapse of

three months. Therefore, the Director, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,

Punjab, Chandigarh is directed to conduct an inquiry against the erring officials who

are responsible for the delay in supplying the reply to the complainant and submit

the report on the next date of hearing.

6. The case is adjourned for 23.01.2019 at 11:00 AM. Copies of the

order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 29.11.2018

(Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)
State Information Commissioner

Through registered post

CC: Director, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Punjab, Chandigarh. (By Name)