

**Sh. Baljinder Singh, Advocate(7529031503)** R/o Village Sihal, Tehsil Dirba, Distt. Sangrur.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Tehsildar, Dirba, Distt. Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority** O/o SDM, Sangrur

#### Appeal Case No.: 3197 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

### ORDER

- The RTI application dated 26.07.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 13.09.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.07.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 29.9.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission in spite being registered notices issued to the parties by the Commission, which is a sheer wastage of the precious time and resources of the Commission. It is presumed that appellant is not serious about the present case and does not want to pursue this case further, as no communication is received from him after filing the case in the Commission.
- 3. With aforesaid observations, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



**Sh. Rajinder Kumar(9646380671)** s/o Sh. Mehar Chand, W.No.2, Supreme Enclave, Near Vishkarma Bhawan,

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Mansa

Link Road, Mansa 151505.

First Appellate Authority O/o IGP, Bathinda Range, Bathinda

#### Appeal Case No.: 3214 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Versus

Respondent

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rajinder Singh Respondent: Sh. Amritpal Singh (ASI)

ORDER

- The RTI application dated 21.01.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.02.2022and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.07.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 29.9.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Appellant states that information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Respondent states that information could not be supplied under Section 8 (1) (h) of the RTI Act, 2005. He adds that matter is still under process which may hamper the matter and reply had already been supplied to the appellant.
- 4. After having detailed discussion with both the parties, I am of the considered view that supplied reply is satisfactory at present. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case with the directions to the respondent PIO to supply the information, once the matter will be finalized. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022

Appellant: Sh. Rajinder Singh



Sh. Rajinder Kumar(9646380671)

s/o Sh. Mehar Chand, W.No.2, Supreme Enclave, Near Vishkarma Bhawan, Link Road, Mansa 151505.

Public Information Officer O/o ADC(Urban Dev), Mansa

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADC(Urban Dev), Mansa

#### Appeal Case No.: 3215 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

# Present: ORDER

Respondent: Sh. Sikandar Singh (Accountant-Budladha office) (9815426056)

- The RTI application dated 20.11.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 24.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.07.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 29.9.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Appellant states that partial information is received.
- Respondent states that information relates with first two points of the RTI application relate with the appellant (complaints filed by the appellant), which had already been supplied to the appellant. He adds that information relate with complaints in connection with point no. 3 to 6 not filed by the appellant and still under investigation.
- 4. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that sufficient information had already been supplied and rest of the information could not be supplied under Section 8 (1) (h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Accordingly, respondent PIO, Sh. Vipan Kumar (EO) is directed to supply point-wise affidavit that to the appellant in this regard with a copy to the Commission within seven days after receipt of this order. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post for his ready reference.
- 5. He adds that matter is still under process which may hamper the matter and reply had already been supplied to the appellant.
- 6. With aforesaid directions, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

# Dated: 29.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Regd. Post

**Sh. Vipan Kumar (PIO-cum-EO)** O/o ADC(Urban Dev), Mansa Versus



Sh. Ravi Parkash(9463990768)

# 738, Sector-41-A, Chandigarh 160036.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Secy., Transport Pb., Chandigarh

## First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secy., Tpt Deptt. Pb., Chandigarh

# Appeal Case No.: 3223 of 2022

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the parties.

## ORDER

- The RTI application dated 03.01.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.01.2022 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.07.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 29.9.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are absent in spite of registered post notice issued to them by the Commission. It is observed that no communication is received from the appellant after filing the instant appeal case in the Commission, which is a sheer wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission.
- 3. With aforesaid observations, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Respondent

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Complainant

### Er. Ranjeev Kumar Bansal(9815105252)

S/o Sh. Surinder Kumar Bansal, # MCB-Z3-00975/5345, RSS Wali Gali, Street No.6, Nai Basti, Bathinda 152001

Versus

(i)	Public Information Officer
(Regd. Post)	O/o Dy. Director Academics,
	Pb. State Board of Technical &
	Indl. Training, Chandigarh

#### (ii) Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post)	O/o Director Technical Education and
	Udyog Supply Department (Industrial Training),
	Sector 36 A, Chandigarh

Encl. RTI application

#### **Remanded Back:**

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director Technical Education and
Udyog Supply Department (Industrial Training), Sector 36 A, Chandigarh

Encl. RTI application

#### Complaint case No.: 442 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

#### Appellant: absent Respondent: Dr. Jasdeep Kaur (Deputy Director, Academics)

#### ORDER

Present:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 17.05.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 06.07.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 3. In today's hearing, respondent states that reply was sent to the complainant dated 27.05.2022 along with original IPO mentioning therein that the RTI application relates with Director Technical Education and Udyog Supply Department (Industrial Training), Sector 36 A, Chandigarh.
- 4. Complainant is absent in spite of registered notice issued to him by the Commission and no communication is received from the complainant after filing the complaint case in the Commission.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

#### Complaint case No.: 442 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005. <u>A copy of this order be sent to the concerned</u> <u>respondent PIO and FAA along with RTI application through registered post.</u>

6. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

Dated: 29.09.2022



**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan (8509580002)** House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

#### Appeal Case No.: 2433 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present: Appellant: Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan Respondent: Sh. Nishant Pahuja (SDO

### ORDER

- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present.
- 3. Appellant states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



**Sh. Harnek Singh (9463611513, 8968329089)** S/o Sh. Dhan Singh R/o Village Kheri Jattan Tehsil Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SDM, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur

### Appeal Case No.: 3923 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present:	Appellant: Absent
	Respondent: Absent.

## ORDER

- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are absent in spite of registered post notices issued to them by the Commission. It is also observed that both the parties were also absent on the previous hearing held on 27.06.2022 before the Bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Sanjiv Garg, which is a sheer wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission. Final opportunity was awarded to both the parties on the previous hearing held on 27.06.2022. It was also observed that appellant had not sent any intimation whether he has received the remaining information or not, even till today no correspondence is received from the appellant after the previous hearing held on 27.06.2022.
- 3. With the aforesaid observations, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



**Sh. Sukhwinder Singh (9417900201)** S/o Sh. Rattan Singh VPO-Rouni, Tehsil Payal Distt. Ludhiana

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

Appeal Case No.: 898 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

### ORDER

- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are absent in spite registered post notices issued to them by the Commission. It is observed that a letter no. 1103 dated 19.07.2022 is received from the APIO-cum-Superintendent (Grade-I), O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur vide diary no. 17458 dated 05.08.2022 assigning/directing duty to attend today's hearing in person through CISCO WEBEX but nobody bothers to join the meeting to represent this case from the concerned office, which is indeed a serious matter.
- 3. It is also observed that appellant was also absent on the previous hearing held on 29.06.2022 and an opportunity was awarded to the appellant to intimate the Commission about his public interest in the disclosure of the information which had been sought for by him through RTI application by the next date of hearing but till date no correspondence is received from the appellant in this regard, which means he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. With the aforesaid observations, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the Court. Copies of this order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana

Public Information Officer O/o SDM, Malerkotla

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla

# Appeal Case No.: 1987 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Versus

Respondent

Present: Appellant: absent Respondent: Sh. Balvir Singh (PIO)

ORDER

- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent PIO is present for today's hearing.
- 3. Appellant intimates the Commission through phone call that he is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022





Sh. Bikarjit Singh (9041435931)

S/o Sh. Ram Dayal R/o Chotia, Tehsil Lehera, Distt. Sangrur

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Tehsildar Lehera,

Distt. Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

Appeal Case No.: 2186 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

## ORDER

- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent PIO is not present but a letter no. 35 dated 28.06.2022 is received from the respondent department vide diary no. 16121 dated 22.07.2022, which is considered and taken on record.
- 3. Appellant is not present for today's hearing in spite being registered post notice issued to him by the Commission and no communication is received from the appellant till yet after filing the instant case in the Commission, which means he has nothing to say in this regard and satisfied with the supplied information. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Announced in the court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



Sh. Balvir (8725040137)

S/o Sh. Jagar Singh Village Bhullan Tehsil Munak, Distt. Sangrur

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur

Appeal Case No.: 3309 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex Respondent

Present: ORDER

- Respondent: Sh. Paramjeet Singh (Superintendent) (9915173977).
- 1. The above mentioned case was allocated to the undersigned Bench vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner dated 08.07.2022 and fixed for hearing on 29.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent states that information as per RTI application had already been supplied to the appellant time and again. He adds that a copy of the supplied information is sent to the Commission via an email dated 28.09.2022, which is received and taken on record.
- 3. Appellant left the meeting without presenting his case.

Appellant: Sh. Balvir Singh.

- 4. After examining the case file, it is observed that no discrepancy/correspondence is received from the respondent PIO after the previous order of the Commission dated 15.06.2022, which means he has nothing to say in this regard. Even today he left the meeting in between. I am of the considered view that respondent supplied sufficient information; respondent PIO is directed to send copy of postal receipt to the Commission as he was directed by the Bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Sanjiv Garg vide order dated 15.06.2022.
- 5. With aforesaid observation, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



Sh. Naresh Kumar (9464622190)

House No. 2190, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh-160047

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Secy., State Board of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Sector-36-A, Chandigarh

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Secy., State Board of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Sector-36-A, Chandigarh

Versus

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 1006 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present:

Appellant: Sh. Naresh Kumar Respondent: Dr. Jasdeep Kaur (Deputy Director-Academics)

### ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.08.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant states that supplied information is satisfactory but unattested.
- 3. Respondent PIO is directed to attest the supplied information within a weeks' time from today.
- 4. As the information stands supplied and with aforesaid directions, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



Sh. Naresh Kumar (9464622190)

House No. 2190, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh-160047

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Secy., State Board of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Sector-36-A, Chandigarh

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Secy., State Board of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Sector-36-A, Chandigarh

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 1007 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Versus

Present:

Appellant: Sh. Naresh Kumar Respondent: Dr. Jasdeep Kaur (Deputy Director-Academics)

### ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.08.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant states that supplied information is satisfactory but unattested.
- 3. Respondent PIO is directed to attest the supplied information within a weeks' time from today.
- 4. As the information stands supplied and with aforesaid directions, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022



Sh. Manjinder Singh (9872015069) # 2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector 125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali 140301.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Secy. Regional Transport Authority, Patiala

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Secy. Regional Transport Authority, Patiala

Respondent

#### Appeal case No.: 596 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present:	Appellant: Sh. Manjinder Singh
	Respondent: Sh. Harjit Singh

Order:

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.08.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Appellant states that he is satisfied with the

supplied information and has no objection to close the case.

3. As the information stands supplied in the instant appeal case, no cause of action is required.

Hence, this instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Dated: 29.09.2022