



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant
Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SSP, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
SSP, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2734 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant) Mob: 9888296107.
(ii) Ajit, ASI(Respondent) Mob. 9779977554.

FINAL ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 13.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 4.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.08.2019.
3. Both Jasbir Singh, Appellant and ASIAjit, representing the respondent, are present.
4. The PIO has stated that identical information was sought by the appellant in earlier RTI and the same was provided to him and the case was disposed of by State Information Commissioner Hon'ble **Preeti Chawla on 24.7.2019.**
5. The Commission takes a serious view of the fact that the appellant has filed second RTI request and pursued it right up to the second appeal stage, while seeking more or less the same information as in the earlier, aforesaid appeal case. The appellant Jasbir Singh is cautioned against filing **repetitive information requests** in future.
6. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is **CLOSED**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864111, Email: - psic21@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana..

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
Secretary, SSSB, Punjab,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority- cum-
Secretary, SSSB, Punjab,
Mohali..

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2735 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant) Mob: 9888296107.
(ii) Mohit, Senior Assistant (Respondent) Mob: 7986240093.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 9.2.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.07.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. Both the Appellant, Jasbir Singh and respondent PIO, represented by Mohit Kumar, Sr. Assistant, are present.
4. This RTI application was filed on 9.2.19, which is 7 months ago and the First Appeal was filed on 13.5.19.
5. The Commission takes a very serious view of the respondent's failure, either to provide the requested information or state reasons in writing for his inability to do so within the stipulated period of 30 days from the date the request landed on his desk.
6. However, taking a lenient view at this stage, one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to respond to the appellant's request for information. Failing this, action could be initiated under the RTI Act.
7. **The next date of hearing is fixed for 25.9.19.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864111, Email: - psic21@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
Chief Administrator, PUDA,
Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority- cum-
Chief Administrator, PUDA,
Mohali.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2736 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant) Mob: 9888296107.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 7.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.08.2019.
3. The Appellant, Jasbir is present.
4. The respondent PIO or his representative are absent.
5. One of the notice either to the PIO or the First Appellate Authority at Mohali has been returned undelivered, therefore another opportunity is granted to the respondent to appear and to state the case on the next hearing.
6. **The case is adjourned to 25.9.19.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Amritsar.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2737 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant) Mob: 9888296107.
(II) Roshandeep Singh (Respondent) Mob: 9815972227.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 23.2.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.08.2019.
3. Both, the appellant Jasbir Singh as well as respondent PIO's representative, Roshandeep Singh, Data Entry Operator, from RTA office, Amritsar are present.
4. The respondent has handed over the requested information and the appellant has stated he is satisfied with the information provided.
5. However, this Commission takes a very serious view of the fact that has taken more than 7 months to furnish information that should have been supplied in 30 days.
6. The respondent PIO is directed to explain, in writing within 15 days, the reasons for this inordinate delay.
7. There will be **NO FURTHER HEARINGS OF THIS APPEAL CASE.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
Secretary, Regional Transport Authority (RTA),
Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
STC, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2738 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant.) Mob: 9888296107.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 9.4.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. The appellant Jasbir Singh is present. The respondent PIO or his representative is absent.
4. In view of the various communication from the PIO including one stating that the information has already been provided in English. The appellant Jasbir Singh has submitted, in writing, that he wishes to withdraw this case.
5. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Faridkot.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
STC, Punjab
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2741 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant.) Mob: 9888296107.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 25.3.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. The appellant Jasbir Singh is present. The respondent PIO or any other representative from the office of RTA, Ludhiana is absent.
4. This RTI application has been pending for 5 months. The Respondent PIO is given one more opportunity to furnish the information requested by the appellant or state in writing reasons for inability to do so.
5. **The next date of hearing is fixed on 25.09.2019.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2742 of 2019

Present: (i) Jasbir Singh (Appellant) Mob: 9888296107.
(ii) Pawandeep Singh, SI (Respondent) Mob: 7888741266.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 2.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. Both, the appellant Jasbir Singh as well as respondent PIO's representative Pawandeep Singh, SI, are present.
4. The respondent has submitted in writing that the requested Action Taken Report is not available as the investigation is still under way. So the requested information cannot be given under this stage.
5. There is no further course of action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Jagtar Singh,
798, Sector 22,
Village Dhanoni, Near Gurdwara Sahib,
Tehsil Dera Bassi,
District SAS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Executive Officer (EO)
Municipal Council, Zirakpur, Punjab.

Appeal Case No. 2739 of 2019

Present: (i) Jagtar Singh (Appellant) Mob:

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated **25.05.18 [PLS CHECK THIS DATE...]** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his personal EPF and ESI from the Municipal Council Zirakpur, where he is working as a clerk. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.08.2019.
3. The appellant Jagtar Singh is present
4. The respondent PIO or his representative from EO Municipal Council, Zirakpur are absent.
5. The appellant has a clear right to seek and receive the information he has requested.
6. But there has thus far been no response either from the PIO or the FAA to whom the appellant made his First Appeal on 13.6.19.
7. One more opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to furnish the requested information.
8. **The case is adjourned to 27.9.19** with directions to the respondent PIO to supply the requested information to the Appellant before that date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Satish Bahadur, Advocate,
Chamber No.121-122, District Court Complex,
Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Tehsildar, Fazilka.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
SDM, Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2740 of 2019

Present: (i) Umesh Kumar (Advocate for Appellant) Mob: 99154-81410.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 20.7.18 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. The appellant Satish Bahadur's Advocate Umesh Kumar is present
4. The RTI application is pending since 23.7.18. In the trail of communication submitted by the appellant, the PIO informed him that the requested record has been transferred and now held with the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.
5. Under the Right to information Action, section 3(2), it was duty of respondent PIO to either collect the requested information from DC Fazilka's office, or, transfer the RTI application to the DC Fazilka with due intimation to the appellant, within five days of receiving the request.
6. **The case is adjourned to 27.9.19** with clear directions to the respondent PIO to collect the requisite record from DC office and supply copies to the appellant before the date of the next hearing.

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19

(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner



Darshan Singh Dhaliwal,
1732/6, Mohalla Sujapurja, Jagraon,
District Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o. DGP, Punjab,
Chandigarh.
First Appellate Authority-cum-
IGPolice (Crime), Punjab Police HQ
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2744 of 2019

Present: (i) Ravi Kumar(for the Appellant) Mob:
(ii) Parshottam Kumar,Head Constable (Respondent) Mob: 94171-31510.

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 2.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.19 under section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act.).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for the first hearing in the Commission on 29.8.19.
3. The appellant's representative Ravi Singh is present .
4. The respondent PIO is represented by Parshottam Kumar, head constable, of the PIO office of AIG(Personnel-I) is present and has brought the written reply wherein it has been stated that the requisite enquiry against Inspector Gurinder Singh Bal is still under way and therefore, the final action report is not available..
5. Under the circumstances there is no further course of action in this case.
6. However, on the request of the appellant's representative Ravi Kumar, the case is adjourned to 27.9.19, when the appellant Darshan Singh Dhaliwal is directed to appear in person.
7. The respondent PIO is excused from the hearing and shall be duly informed if his presence is required in future.

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
Dated: 29.8.19