

## Advocate Puneet K. Sharma

Chamber No. 349, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Patiala-147001

Public Information Officer Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur, Assistant Director (Regd. Post) Establishment Branch 3, O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,

Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062

#### Respondent

# Appeal Case No.: 3188 of 2018

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant is present. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master from O/o GHS, Manjar Kalan (98144-58560) is present along with Gurpreet Singh, SA from O/o DEO (SE) Patiala as respondents.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master sates that requisite information has already been supplied (except information in context with seniority list) and also handed over a copy of it to the appellant during the hearing. He further added that information in context with seniority list (as requisite in RTI application) relates with the Establishment branch 3 O/o DPI (SE), Mohali whose PIO is Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur (Assistant Director). He also requested the Commission for their exemption on further hearings.
- 3. Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant shows his satisfaction with the information received (except information in context with seniority list).
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that PIO cum Assistant Director, Establishment Branch 3, Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur O/o, DPI (SE), Mohali has an important role in this case therefore PIO cum Assistant Director, Establishment Branch 3, Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur O/o DPI (SE), Mohali is impleaded as necessary party in this case and is given one opportunity along with directions provide pending requisite information to the appellant (information in context with seniority list) and also to represent this case in person or through his/her representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.

Appellant



# Appeal Case No.: 3188 of 2018

- A copy of this order along with the copy of RTI request are to be sent to PIO cum Assistant Director, Establishment Branch 3, Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur - O/o DPI (SE), Mohali through registered post for her ready reference.
- 6. Rests of respondent(s) representing this case are exempted from being representing this case at this instant till further orders in this regard.
- Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master also requested the Commission to close two other cases to be heard today by the bench of undersigned (<u>AC: 3226 of 2018 & AC: 3227 of 2018)</u> as similar information (information in context with seniority list) is pending.
- 8. Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant agrees on this i.e. to close other two said cases (AC: 3226 of 2018 & AC: 3227 of 2018), which is considered.
- 9. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 10. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



# Sh. Vinod Kumar (88470-97019)

S/o Sh. Surinder Nath R/o House No. 92, Golden Avenue Pathankot,

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer cum State Project Director O/o D.G.S.E., SSA Authority Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**Public Information Officer** O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 3202 of 2018 Date of Institution: 20.09.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

Present:

Sh. Vinod Kumar, the appellant in person. Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur, Assistant Director, Establishment Branch 3, (98149-16678) is present along with Sh. Ravinder Dogra, Superintendent as respondent(s).

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur, Assistant Director handed over the Cheque of compensation awarded of 1500/- to the appellant during the hearing and states that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant. She also submitted a copy of written reply along with the copy of said cheque in the Commission which is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Vinod Kumar, the appellant is satisfied with and the compensation amount and requisite information received.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



Chamber No. 349, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Informatic

A HAN

ARTHO

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Manjal Kalan, P.O Panjola, Patiala -147001

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062

#### Appeal Case No.: 3226 of 2018 Date of Institution: 24.09.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

Present:

Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant is present. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master from O/o GHS, Manjar Kalan (94170-76700) is present along with Gurpreet Singh, SA from O/o DEO (SE) Patiala as respondents.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master states that requisite information has already been supplied (except information in context with seniority list). He further added that information in context with seniority list (as requisite in RTI application) that relates with the Establishment branch 3 O/o DPI (SE), Mohali, whose PIO is Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur (Assistant Director) and will be provided in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018) by the concerned PIO (which is to be heard by bench of undersigned on 17.07.2019). He also requested the Commission to close this case as rest of information has already been provided to the appellant.
- Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant shows his satisfaction with the requisite information received (except information in context with seniority list) and also agrees to close this case as pending information is already being dealt with in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018), which is considered.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that available requisite information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it and on mutual consent of both parties that rest of pending information will be dealt with in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018), which is to be heard by the bench of undersigned on 17.07.2019, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



Chamber No. 349, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor Yadwindra Complex, Lawyers Chamber, District Court, Patiala-147001

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Informatic

A HAN

ARTHO

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Manjal Kalan, P.O Panjola, Patiala -147001

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Patiala.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Vidya Bhawan, PSEB, Block-E, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, Ajitgarh, Mohali-160062

#### Appeal Case No.: 3227 of 2018 Date of Institution: 24.09.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

Present:

Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant is present. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master from O/o GHS, Manjar Kalan (94170-76700) is present along with Gurpreet Singh, SA from O/o DEO (SE) Patiala as respondents.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Math Master states that requisite information has already been supplied (except information in context with seniority list). He further added that information in context with seniority list (as requisite in RTI application) that relates with the Establishment branch 3 O/o DPI (SE), Mohali, whose PIO is Ms. Kamaljeet Kaur (Assistant Director) and will be provided in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018) by the concerned PIO (which is to be heard by bench of undersigned on 17.07.2019). He also requested the Commission to close this case as rest of information has already been provided to the appellant.
- 3. Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the representative of appellant shows his satisfaction with the information received (except information in context with seniority list) and also agrees to close this case as pending information is already being dealt with in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018), which is considered.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that available requisite information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it and on mutual consent of both parties that rest of pending information will be dealt with in another appeal case (i.e. AC: 3188 of 2018), which is to be heard by the bench of undersigned on 17.07.2019, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



#### **Sh. Tejinder Singh (9041004313)** R/o Vill. Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

# First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

# Appeal Case No.: 3990 of 2018

Present:Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant present in person.<br/>Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant present states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent continuously third time without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she filed any reply in this regard, despite of a notice issued to show cause to the respondent PIO on previous hearing dated 10.04.2019, which shows no regard to the notice/order of the Commission.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents on record, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information and/or getting it in time, therefore, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh.
- 5. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Tejinder Singh** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.
- 6. The respondent PIO is also directed to provide requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant by the next date of hearing and <u>represent this case in person</u>, failing to which further action under section 20(i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 7. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.05.2019

Appellant

Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107) Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana-123455

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SDM, License and Registration Authority, Tehsil GarhShankar, District Hoshiarpur

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Deputy Commissioner, **District Hoshiarpur** 

# Appeal Case No.: 4043 of 2018

Present<sup>.</sup> Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant present in person. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

# ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 10.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant present states that requisite information provided was comprised of two pages and respondent had demanded documentation fee of Rs 2086/which he had paid through cheque. He also submitted proof in this regard which is taken on record. A letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 10600 dated 28.05.2019 in this regard, which is taken on record.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she filed any reply in this regard.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents on record, it is found that the appellant has deposited the documentation fee of Rs 2086/- to the respondent's which is found to be excess and the respondent PIO is given last opportunity to either file a written reply to quash the appellant's appeal or refund the documentation fee of Rs 2086/- from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account, failing to which action under RTI Act would be enhanced against the Respondent PIO.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner** 

Chandigarh 29.05.2019

Appellant





# Sh. Paramjit Singh (94172-46907)

Ward No. 3, Gali No. 4, Banta Singh Colony, PO Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur-144205

Versus

Complainant

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o Govt. Sr. Sec. School Sargan, District Hoshiarpur

# Complaint Case No.: 2 of 2019

Respondent

Present:Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.<br/>Ms. Poonam Pandhi, Principal (81466-72006) present as respondent PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she filed any reply in this regard
- 3. Ms. Poonam Pandhi, Principal is present with copy of requisite information and/or written reply to hand it over to the appellant but the appellant is absent for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents on record, it is found as the appellant is absent for today's hearing the respondent is directed to send the said requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant through registered post and present a copy of it before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



# Sh. Gaurav Bhandari (92562-47760)

S/o Sh. Prem Parshad Bhandari, R/o 492, Durgaina Mandir Abadi, Amritsar-143001

Versus

Date of Institution: 27.12.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019 Complainant

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

# Complaint Case No.: 11 of 2019

Present: Nobody present.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- Respondent is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>vineetagg79@gmail.com</u> on 28.05.2019 mentioning therein that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he filed any reply is this regard.
- 4. After going through the documents placed on record, it is assumed that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant has lost the opportunity to say anything in this case, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



**Sh. Anil Kumar Verma (95920-82000)** SCO-5, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Saini Building, Near SD College, Jalandhar City

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Building Branch, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Addl. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

#### Appeal Case No.: 82 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.12.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

**Present:** Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant in person. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector is present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed over the requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant, after going through the information, pointed out deficiencies in the information provided by the respondent.
- 4. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed removed the deficiencies by providing written reply and/or justifying reply/information provided to the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant satisfies and requests the Commission to close this case.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.05.2019 Appellant



Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Addl. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

#### Appeal Case No.: 84 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.12.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

**Present:** Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant in person.

**ORDER** 

Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector is present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed over the requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant, after going through the information, pointed out deficiencies in the information provided by the respondent.
- 4. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed removed the deficiencies by providing written reply and/or justifying reply/information provided to the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant satisfies and requests the Commission to close this case.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.05.2019

Appellant

Respondent

BAR HUNAN COLORISE



**Sh. Anil Kumar Verma (95920-82000)** SCO-5, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Saini Building, Near SD College, Jalandhar City

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Building Branch, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Addl. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar

## Appeal Case No.: 85 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.12.2018 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

**Present:** Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant in person. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector is present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed over the requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant, after going through the information, pointed out deficiencies in the information provided by the respondent.
- 4. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector handed removed the deficiencies by providing written reply and/or justifying reply/information provided to the appellant during the hearing.
- 5. Sh. Anil Kumar Verma, the appellant satisfies and requests the Commission to close this case.
- 6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.05.2019 Appellant



**Gurmeet Singh Sekhon (94648-14123)** Village Khappian Wali, Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (Sen. Sec.), Faridkot

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Circle Education Officer, Faridkot

Appeal Case No.: 155 of 2019

Respondent

Appellant

 Present:
 Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

 Ms.
 Sukhwant Kaur Superintendent is present along with Ms. Kamla Devi (SA) and Sh. Pritpal Singh TGT – Social Studies, as respondents.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she filed any reply in this regard
- 3. Ms. Sukhwant Kaur Superintendent states that point-wise requisite information and/or written reply has been provided to the appellant through registered post on 23.05.2019. A covering letter in this regard vide diary no. 10537 dated 27.05.2019 along with supporting documents has been received in the Commission mentioning therein that requisite information has been provided to the appellant. She also submitted a copy of said covering letter during the hearing. All the above said documents are taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents on record, the appellant is advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in written to respondent PIO by the next date hearing, failing to which the case would decided on merit basis. The respondent is also directed to remove the deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the appellant as mentioned above.
- Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 29.05.2019

# HIT HER OF ARTHING

#### Sh. Vishal Joshi (99140-59768) 1697/12 Street Chhaiu Mishar

1697/12, Street Chhaju Mishar, Chowk Farid, Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, MC, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

# Appeal Case No.: 219 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody present.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>ghrovishaljoshi@gmail.com</u> through email id <u>dr.psic@punjabmail.com</u> on 28.05.2019 requesting therein for an adjournment, which is considered and taken on record.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing.
- 4. After going through the documents on record, the appellant is given one more opportunity with an advice to point out deficiencies, if any, in written to respondent PIO by the next date hearing, failing to which the case would decided on merit basis. The respondent is also directed to remove the deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the appellant as mentioned above along with directions to bring the evidence(s) justifying that requisite information is sent to appellant.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 22.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019



**Sh. Vikram Sharma** S/o Sh. Bhupinder Kumar R/o Navi Abadi, Gali No. 11, House No. 4929, Vadi Podi, Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Mohali

#### Appeal Case No.: 251 of 2019 Date of Institution: 04.01.2019 Date of Decision: 29.05.2019

Present:Sh. Nalin Sharma, the representative of appellant.<br/>Ms. Dimpy Dheer Assistant Director cum APIO is present along with Sh. Varinder<br/>Singh, JA (94631-55122) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.04.2019.
- 2. Sh. Nalin Sharma, the representative of appellant states that requisite information has not been provided and respondent has denied providing requisite information.
- 3. Sh. Varinder Singh, JA states that file is in process with regards to requisite information and this has already been intimated to appellant. He also stated that a similar case Appeal case no. 862 of 2019 is to be heard by the bench of undersigned on 03.07.2019 and requested the Commission to close this case as similar information is requisite in that case.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that representative of appellant is not aware of the case and it is found that a similar case Appeal case no. 862 of 2019 is to be heard by the bench of undersigned on 03.07.2019 and therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 29.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner