

Appellant

Sh. Sham Lal Singla (99141-33001)(Regd. Post)SB-325, Guru Nanak Colony,<br/>Sangrur.VersusPublic Information Officer(Regd. Post)O/o Principal, Prem Sabha<br/>High School, Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority** (Regd. Post) O/o DPI (SE), Sangrur.

Respondent

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This above mentioned case was last heard on 12.02.2019 and on the request of the appellant, matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.04.2019 through Video Conference NIC Facility available at the Office of The Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 15.04.2019 was postponed and accordingly fixed for today.

Appeal Case No.: 3593 of 2018 Heard through Video Conference

2. The above mentioned case was not heard through Video Conference Facility due to nonfunctioning of the Video Conference Facility with the Office of Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.

3. Through telephonic conversation at Office of The Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur, it is found that both the parties (appellant, Sh. Sham Lal Singla and Respondents, Sh. Puran Chand Jindal (Manager), Sh. Pawan Gupta (President-Cum-Advocate), Sh. Amarnath (Accounts Clek)) were present at the DC Office, Sangrur.

4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 19.06.2019 at 11:00 A.M. at Punjab State

### Information Commission, Chandigarh

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered</u>
post.

Chandigarh Date: 29.04.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Mangal Singh (9216913015) (Regd. Post) S/o #296, SJS Avenue, Ajnala Road, Amritsar-143008 Versus

Appellant

Respondents

(Regd. Post) O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** 

(Regd. Post) O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

### Appeal Case No.: 3130 of 2018 Hearing through Video Conference

**Present:** Sh. Mangal Singh, the appellant at DC Office, Amritsar. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This above mentioned case was last heard on 25.02.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.04.2019 through Video Conference NIC Facility available at the Office of The Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 15.04.2019 was postponed and accordingly fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. Mangal Singh states that remaining information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed on the first hearing held on 21.01.2019 Sh. Kewal Krishan was appeared on behalf of the respondent and stated that reply in connection with RTI application has already been sent to the appellant. He handed over reply to the appellant during the hearing. He also mentioned that whatever information was related with his office has already been supplied and rest of the information relates with civil office.



### Appeal Case No.: 3130 of 2018 Hearing through Video Conference

Respondent PIO was directed to collect the requisite information from the concerned office and supply it to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 25.02.2019 through Video Conference.

5. On hearing held on 25.02.2019, respondent PIO was absent and a last and final opportunity was given to him to comply with the order of the Commission dated 21.01.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.04.2019 through Video Conference which was postponed and accordingly fixed for today.

6. <u>In view of the above, the then respondent PIO, O/o The Commissioner, MC,</u> <u>Amritsar will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not</u> <u>imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI</u> <u>applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the</u> <u>provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u>

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him through</u> **registered post** along with RTI request.

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.06.2019 at 11:00 A.M. at Punjab State** Information Commission, Chandigarh.



Appeal Case No.: 3130 of 2018 Hearing through Video Conference

8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered** 

post.

Chandigarh Date: 29.04.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

### Show Cause

(Regd. Post) O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Encl. RTI Request.



Complainant

Respondent

(Regd. Post) Sh. Parveen Kumar Budhia (98552-44878) Atma Niwas, Near DAV College, Seth Hukum Chand Colony, Jalandhar Versus

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer (Negd. Post) O/o Principal, Devi Sahai Sanatan Dharam Sr. Sec. School, Jalandhar

#### Complaint Case No.: 945 of 2018 Hearing through Video Conference

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Sh. Sanjeev Sharma (Principal).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This above mentioned case was last heard on 25.02.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.04.2019 through Video Conference NIC Facility available at the Office of The Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 15.04.2019 was postponed and accordingly fixed for today.

2. Respondent, Sh, Sanjeev Sharma states that complainant has inspected the official record and given his acknowledgement stating that he has inspected the official record on 01.04.2019 as per the order of the Commission dated 25.02.2019.

3. Complainant, Sh. Parveen Kumar Budhia is not present for today's hearing but he intimated the Commission through telephonic message that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment. He added that he has sent an email in this regard.

4. Advocate, Mohan Sachdeva from the respondent side also intimated the bench of undersigned through telephonic message he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that an email dated 15.04.2019 has been received from the Advocate, Mohan Sachdeva received by the bench of undersigned stating that he is going out of country and requested for an adjournment.

It is also observed that email from the complainant has not been received during the hearing time.



<u>Complaint Case No.: 945 of 2018</u> Hearing through Video Conference

6. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative (s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences.

7. Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Sharma also directed to send an email regarding the inspection and acknowledgment as he mentioned stated as per the para 2 of this order.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 19.06.2019 at 11:00 AM at Punjab State

### Information Commission, Chandigarh.

9. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered

post.

Chandigarh Date: 29.04.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner