Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Jaswinder Singh,

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony, Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751)

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Assistant Public Information Officer O/o SDE, W/Sand Sanitation, Sub-Division Chowgawan at Amritsar.

Public Information Officer O/o XEN, W/S and Sanitation,

Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, W/Sand Sanitation, Circle Amritsar.

Complaint Case No. 929 of 2017 Date of Institution:28.08.2017 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Aashish Tonk (SDE).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk states that an affidavit has already been supplied to

the complainant via post. He submits a copy of page no. 529 of the despatch register, which

is taken on record.

3. On this, complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that he is not satisfied with the supplied affidavit as supplied affidavit is given by designation instead of by name. He submits a reply dated 28.11.2018, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing and discussing with both the parties, respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk mentioned his name in an affidavit, which is supplied to the appellant. I am of the considered view that no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Jaswinder Singh,

R/o 22, Flower Dale Colony, Barewal Raod, Ludhiana (98760-64751)

Appellant

Respondent

Versus **Public Information Officer**

(Regd. Post) O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation, Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation, Circle Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017

Present:Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Aashish Tonk (SDE).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that information only relates with point

no. 1 has been received from the respondent and rest of the information is still pending from

the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that on the first hearing held on 08.11.2017, respondent Sh. Vikas Sharma (Superintendent) was appeared late after the hearing was over. He stated that applicant was intimated through a letter dated 05.04.2017 to inspect the official record as per his RTI application. He further mentioned that requisite information has already been sent to the applicant through registered post dated 06.11.2017 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 11.12.2017.

- 5. On previous hearings:
 - On hearing held on 11.12.2017, it was observed that Sh. Vikas Sharma does not aware about the facts of this case. Respondent PIO, Sh. S. K. Sharma was directed to appear in person along with requisite infomrtaion as per RTI application on the next date of hearing and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 16.01.2018. A copy of order was sent to him through registered post by name.

- On hearing held on 16.01.2018, respondent PIO, Sh. Tejaswi Swaroop Singh handed the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing. Applicant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh requested the Commission for an adjournment to go through the information received. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on records, the appellant was advised to go through the information received during the hearing as said above and point out deficiency if any to the respondent PIO in written and also a copy of it to the Commission by the next date of hearing failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 16.02.2018. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 16.02.2018 was postponed and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.03.2018.
- On hearing held on 19.03.2018, Applicant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh stated that he has intimated regarding the deficiencies in the supplied information to the respondent but deficiencies have not been removed by the respondent. Respondent PIO was absent without any intimation to the Commission. Respondent PIO was directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case along with directions to bring the information as per RTI application of the applicant and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 02.05.2018.
- On hearing held on 02.05.2018, applicant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh intimated the Commission no deficiencies have been removed by the respondent till date. Respondent PIO was absent continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission and nor he/she file any reply in this regard. Show-Cause Notice was issued to him along with directions to appear in person on next date of hearing along with directions to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 28.05.2018.

- On the hearing held on 28.05.2018, Sh. Ashish Tonk APIO cum SDO was appeared on behalf of the respondent and submitted a letter signed by that PIO cum XEN, W/S and Sanitation, Sub-Division, No. -1, Amritsar requesting therein for an adjournment to appear in person on today's hearing due to another hearing before the Addl. Session Judge Amritsar. This letter was also taken on record. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh pointed out deficiencies regarding the supplied information and pending information. One more opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to remove the deficiencies and supply the pending information along with directions to be appearing in person on the next date of hearing and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 02.07.2018.
- On hearing held on 02.07.2018, Respondent, Sh. S.K. Sharma was appeared and stated that he has brought the requisite information to hand over to the complainant but he is absent. He submitted a copy of the requisite information vide Endst. No. 2204-05 dated 29.06.2018, which was taken on record. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh intimated the Bench of undersigned he is unable to attend hearing and requested for an adjournment. He also intimated that he has sent a letter in this regard to the Commission. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, respondent PIO was directed to send the requisite information to the appellant through registered post and request of the appellant was granted; another opportunity was given to the appellant to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s), who is well aware about the facts of this case along with advice to the appellant to go through the requisite information and point out the deficiency, if any, in the supplied information, in written to the respondent PIO along with a copy to the Commission, once he had received the requisite information from the respondent PIO, failing to which case will be decided on merits and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 30.07.2018. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 30.07.2018 was postponed and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 31.07.2018.

Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017

- On hearing held on **31.07.2018**, Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information and handed over written reply regarding deficiencies to the respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk during the hearing. Respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk stated that he is not dealing in this case and requested for an adjournment. Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO, Sh. R.P. Singh (XEN) to remove the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant during the hearing. He was directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order was sent to him through registered post for his ready reference and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 17.09.2018.
- On hearing held on 17.09.2018, both the parties were absent. Respondent PIO was not present for hearing but an email dated 14.09.2018 was received in the Commission stating that all the staff members of the concerned office on Election Duty and unable to represent this case. In that email he further requested for an adjournment. This mail was taken on record. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh was also not present for hearing but he intimated the Commission that he has sent an email stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment. Another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to comply of the previous order dated 31.07.2018 along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case. It was also observed that no email had been received from the appellant as the appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh intimated the Commission through telephonic message. Complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh was advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 15.10.2018.

Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017

- On hearing held on **15.10.2018**, Complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh was not present for hearing but an email dated 14.10.2018 has been received by the Bench of undersigned in connection with Complaint case no. 929/17 and Appeal case no. 2391/17 stating that he has not received reply in both the cases. This mail is taken on record. Respondent, Sh. Aashish Tonk stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Respondent PIO was advised to send the requisite information to the appellant once again through registered post along with directions to represent copy of postal receipt before the Commission on the next date of hearing positively. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh is advised to go through the supplied information and point out, deficiency, if any, in written to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission, once he had received the requisite information. He was also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 12.11.2018.
- On hearing held on 12.11.2018, Respondent, Sh. Sarabjeet Singh was appeared and stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh was absent without any intimation to the Commission. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that respondent; Sh. Sarabjeet Singh does not know anything about this case. It was also found that on the last hearing held on 15.10.2018, Sh. Aashish Tonk was appeared on the behalf of the respondent and stated that he has brought the requisite information to hand it over to the appellant but the complainant was absent. Respondent PIO was advised to send the requisite information to the complainant through registered post along with directions to represent copy of postal receipt before the Commission and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 12.11.2018 i.e. today but the respondent PIO failed to do so.

Appeal Case No. 2391 of 2017

Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 15.10.2018, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. It was also observed that appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh was also absent on the previous hearings held on 17.09.2018 and 15.10.2018. Last opportunity was also given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be closed in his absence and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 28.11.2018 i.e. today but respondent PIO failed to do so.

6. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh.

7. Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Rupees Only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Jaswinder Singh from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

8. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

9. Respondent PIO is also directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to file a reply to the Show Cause notice which was issued vide order dated 02.05.2018. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through</u>

registered post.

10. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM.**

11. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

6/6

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Jasbir Singh, (98882-96107) Village Bholapur Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer O/o SDM, Licensing Authority & Registration Authority, Moga -142001.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DC, Moga -142001.

Appeal Case No.: 725 of 2018 Date of Institution:21.02.2018 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.10.2018.

2. Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information and compensation cheque has been received from the respondent PIO. He requested to close this case.

3. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 27.11.2018 (comprising of copy of letter no. 220 dated 27.11.2018 and a copy of cheque) has been received from the respondent by the Bench of Undersigned stating that compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/- has been supplied to the appellant through cheque no. 800123 and requested to close this case. This mail is taken on record. Another email dated 27.11.2018 has been received from the respondent by the Bench of Undersigned, which is also taken on record.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Navdeep Gupta (84725-30819) S/o Sh. D.C. Gupta K. No. 455, Gillco Valley, Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Punjab)-140301

Complainant

Respondent

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Superintendent Central Jails, Patiala

Complaint Case No.: 762 of 2018 Date of Institution:13.07.2018 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Navdeep Gupta, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (Constable).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.10.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh states that reply in connection with RTI application has already been sent to the complainant. He handed over another copy to the complainant during the hearing. He submits a copy of supplied information vide letter no. 14502 dated 21.11.2018 signed by PIO, Central Jail, Patiala, which is taken on record.

3. After going through the supplied reply, complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta states that supplied reply not as per my RTI application as I have demanded in point no. 1 "Please supply me information as to names and official addresses of Jail Medical officers who attended me right from 26-04-2018 through 04-05-2018 (my date of release).

4. After querying from the respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh states those three medical officers Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Sh. Jorawar Singh and Sh. Randeep Singh, who attended the complainant as per point no. 1 of his RTI application and the same is mentioned in the supplied reply.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant and no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Navdeep Gupta (84725-30819)

S/o Sh. D.C. Gupta K. No. 455, Gillco Valley, Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Punjab)-140301

Complainant

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Superintendent Central Jails, Patiala

Complaint Case No.: 764 of 2018

Versus

Present: Sh. Navdeep Gupta, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (Constable).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.10.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh states that requisite information has already been

supplied to the complainant.

3. On this complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta states that incomplete information has

been received from the respondent PIO as only numbers have been supplied to him and rest

of the information is still pending.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is advised

to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing

positively along with advice to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM**.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Versus

Ms. Rajinder Kaur

H.No. 1174/A, Street No. 9/R, Dogar Basti, Faridkot (Pb.)-151203

Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o The Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot (Punjab)

Appeal Case No.: 1553 of 2018 Date of Institution:01.05.2018 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Rajiv Devgan on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Baljeet Kaur (DDPO, Faridkot) (89681-55300) along with Ms. NAvjot Kaur (Accountant).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Ms. Baljeet Kaur handed over the requisite information to the

representative of the appellant, Sh. Rajiv Devgan during the hearing. She submits a reply

vide letter no. 1298 dated 27.11.2018 signed by her as PIO cum DDPO, Faridkot along with

supporting documents, which are taken on record.

3. On this, representative of the appellant, Sh. Rajiv Devgan pointed out deficiencies regarding the supplied information.

4. After querying from the respondent, Ms. Baljeet Kaur removes the deficiencies during the hearing.

5. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Anwar Ali (94650-82004)

S/o Sh. Jamaldeen R/o Village Sarwarpur, PO-Khanpur Malerkotla, Distt. Sangrur

Versus

Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 1967 of 2018 Date of Institution:06.06.2018 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Anwar Ali, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Vikram Sood (Project Director)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Vikram Sood states that requisite information has already been

supplied to the appellant via post. He handed over another copy to the appellant during the

hearing.

3. Appellant, Sh. Anwar Ali states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and

does not want to pursue this case further.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125 Visit us @ <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Email-ID <u>psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Sh. Amar Dass Maan S/o Sh. Sampooran Singh

(Regd. Post) R/o Village Dharampura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka (80544-11287) Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o DEO (SE), Education Department of Punjab, Fazilka

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), PSEB, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2357 of 2018

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Mohan Lal (Principal) along with Sh. A.S. Brar (Lecturer-Political Science) (98752-50417).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Mohan Lal states that requisite information has already been

supplied to the appellant. He submits a reply dated 22.11.2018 signed by him as the Principal, G.S.S.S., Dharampura, Tehsil Abohar (Distt. Fazilka) along with supporting documents, which are taken on record. He also mentioned that reply has sent to the Commission through an email.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh.Amar Dass is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Amar Dass Maan to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case along with advice to point out the deficiency, if any, in the supplied infomrtaion, in written to the respondent with a copy to the Commission , failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered** post for his ready reference.</u>

1/2

Appeal Case No.: 2357 of 2018

It is also intimated by the staff working under the Bench of Undersigned that an email dated 22.11.2018 has been received from the respondent from email ID <u>gsss.dharampurafzk@gmail.com</u> but due to technical issues print out has not been taken.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM.**

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Atma Singh (98550-34143)

S/o Sh. Ram Chand R/o Mohalla Kanugo, Near Old Hospital, Sunam Udham Singh District Sangrur

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o Chairman, Selection Committee cum ADGP Jails, Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General of Police, Jails Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 2392 of 2018

Respondent

Present: Sh. Atma Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Davinder Kumar (Clerk) (94633-39954)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Davinder Singh states that respondent PIO and APIO is unable to

attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

- 3. Appellant, Sh. Atma Singh is present for today's hearing.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that on the previous hearing held on 31.10.2018, respondent PIO was directed to file a written reply in the form of an affidavit satisfying the RTI application and matter was adjourned for further

hearing on 28.11.2018 i.e. today but the respondent PIO failed to do so.

5. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 31.10.2018, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. He is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM.**

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)

Sector-16, Chandigarh

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125 Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o Commissioner, MC, Hoshiarpur.

Appeal Case No.: 2584 of 2018

Present: Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sunil Kumar (ATP) (78884-30988).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.10.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Sunil Kumar states that requisite information in connection with

point no. 1, 2, 3, 5, has already been supplied to the appellant and requested for an

adjournment to supply the information in connection with Point No. 4.

3. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present for today's hearing.

4. On the request of the respondent, Sh. Sunil Kumar, another opportunity is given to

the respondent PIO to supply the pending information in connection with point no. 4 to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with advice to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2019 at 11:30 AM.**

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Versus

Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies Govt., Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2586 of 2018

Present: Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Karaminder Pal Singh (EO, MC Kartarpur) (98144-24002)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.10.2018.

2. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO. He menioend that he is not satisfied with the supplied information relates with point no. 2, 3 and 5. He submits a copy of an email dated 25.07.2018 addressed to PIO, O/o Municipal Council, Kartarpur, which is taken on record.

3. Respondent, Sh. Karaminder Pal Singh states that information in connection with point no. 2 is voluminous in nature. He also mentioned that documentation fee of Rs. 10,000/- regarding information relates with point no. 2 and it may be vary but till date no documentation fee has been deposited by the appellant. He requested to specify the particular required information in connection with point no. 2 so that it could be supplied to the appellant. He added that number of employees has already been supplied to the appellant in connection with point no. 3 and reply relates with point n. 5 has also been supplied to the appellant.

4. On this, appellant states that no letter regarding the documentation fee has been received from the respondent. He added that names are pending to be supplied relates with point no. 3 and he is not satisfied with the supplied reply in connection with point no.5.

1/2

Appeal Case No.: 2586 of 2018

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is advised to specify the particular required information in connection with point no. 2. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the pending information relates with point no. 3 and 5 as discussed during the hearing and supply the information relates with point no. 2 to the appellant after receiving the specified information from the appellant.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2019 at 11:30 AM.**

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)

Sector-16, Chandigarh

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125 Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Versus

Public Information Officer

versu

Appellant

Respondent

O/o Sub Registrar, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o SDM, Hoshiarpur.

Appeal Case No.: 2683 of 2018 Date of Institution:08.08.2018 Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Present: Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.10.2018.

2. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that requisite information has already been

received from the respondent.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in

this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.

4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)

R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o Sub Registrar, Jalandhar – 1, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o SDM -1, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 2715 of 2018

Present: Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he has visited the respondent's office as per

the previous order of the Commission dated 30.10.2018 but no response from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in

this regard, which shows no regard to the Order of the Commission.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the order of the Commission dated 30.10.2018 along with advice to appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him through

registered post.

- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2019 at 11:30 AM.**
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondent

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125 Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Anil Sandhir (94639-00199)

(Regd. Post) H. No. 2994, HIG, Phase -1, Dugri, District Ludhiana.

Appellant

Respondent

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Circle Education Officer, Nabha, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o Circle Education Officer, Nabha, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No.: 2739 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.10.2018.

2. Appellant, Sh. Anil Sandhir is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, it is found that notice which was issued to the respondent PIO and First Appellate Authority returned back to the Commission vide diary number 20621 dated 09.10.2018 and 21100 dated 16.10.2018 with the remarks "department of this name has been closed". It is also found that copy of the order of the Commission dated 30.10.2018 sent to the respondent PIO and First Appellate Authority also returned back to the Commission vide diary number 23818 dated 27.11.2018 and 23816 dated 27.11.2018 with the same remarks "department of this name has been closed". Both are on record.

4. Another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Anil Sandhir to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.

- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Email-ID <u>psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Sh. Anil Sandhir (94639-00199)

(Regd. Post) H. No. 2994, HIG, Phase -1, Dugri, District Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o District Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2740 of 2018

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Jagdish Singh (Clerk) (94170-15406)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.11.2018.

2. Respondent, Sh. Jagdish Singh states that requisite information relates with point no.

1 and 2 has been supplied to the appellant and information in connection Point No. 3 and 4 $\,$

does not relate with their office and requested for an adjournment to supply the information

relates with Point No. 5 and 6. He submits a reply vide letter o. 1778-1779 dated 27.11.2018

signed by PIO, O/o DEO(SE), Ludhiana along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Anil Sandhir is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the pending infomrtaion to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively along with advice to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Anil Sandhir to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.</u>

- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.12.2018 at 11:30 AM**.
- 7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 28.11.2018