



Sh. D.R Singla,
H No-4961, Sector-38 West,
Chadigarh.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 118 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on **08.05.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information had been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 06.05.2019 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The respondent further pleaded that the complainant was asked vide letter dated 3.01.2019 to submit proof of his identity and thereafter, the department issued notices to the occupants of the unauthorized colonies on 04.01.2019. Due to the time taken in the completion of this process of notices, the information was delayed and the complainant came to the Commission on 22.01.2019.

The complainant was absent to point out any discrepancies or about the information received or not. The complainant was directed to appear on the next date of hearing and plead his case.

The case was last heard on **16.07.2019**. Since both the parties were absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity was given and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

Both the parties are absent. In the hearing of 08.05.2019, the respondent informed that the information has been provided to the complainant. The complainant was absent. The complainant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any and appear before the Commission to plead his case. The complainant is absent on 3rd hearing nor has communicated any discrepancy. It is presumed that the complainant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Regd Post

Sh.Yadwinder Singh, S/o ShSimranjit Singh,
Distt Jail, Roopnagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o commissioner of Plice ,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o commissioner of Plice ,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 156 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Yadvinder Singh, as the Appellant
Sh.Pawandev Singh, ASI for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.08.2018 sought copies of certain documents regarding case No.125/2014 registered at Police Station, Jamalpur. On receiving no information, the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 and subsequently approached the Commission vide second appeal on 03.01.2019.

The case was first heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner. The respondent denied the information stating that the matter is under investigation and challan has been filed in the court which was handed over to the appellant. The respondent also handed over a copy of judgement of the court in their defense to the appellant. The appellant handed over copies of four judgements in his support to the representative of the respondent. Both the parties were directed to furnish their reply to each other with a copy to the Commission.

The case was again heard by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner on 02.04.2019. The appellant submitted a letter which was taken on the record and a copy was handed over to the respondent. The respondent however failed to submit their reply on the judgements handed over to him by the appellant on 26.02.2019. The respondent was directed to file appropriate reply on the judgement and the letter submitted by the appellant.

The case was further heard on 08.07.2019. The respondent pleaded that the accused is in the judicial custody via order of Sh.Arunveer Vashist, Addl. Session Judge, Ludhiana in a double murder case of killing two persons. The respondent further informed that the appellant was working as a reader with Sh.Manjinder Singh, SHO in Police Station Machhiwara. Sh.Yadwinder Singh alongwith two other accused Sh.Manjinder Singh and Sh.Sukhvir Singh went on a raid in a case under the police station Jamalpur where due to heated arguments between both the parties, these accused killed two persons. Sh.Manjinder Singh and Sh.Sukhvir Singh have been declared P.O by the Court of Sh.Arunveer Vashist, Addl. Session Judge, Ludhiana. The statement of the respondent was taken on the file.

The appellant was absent and vide email has sought exemption being in judicial custody. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on **29.07.2019**. The Commission observed that the appellant is a young under trial in a double murder case at Ludhiana, and is at present under custody at District Jail Rupnagar in FIR No. 125 dated 27.09.2014 u/s 302,148,149, 201 IPC PS Jamalpur, Ludhiana. The appellant sought to obtain copies of *Rojnamncha* and all the *Ziminies* of the Police file in the said FIR for his defense in the court. Still further, as per the facts, which are coming out of the file and the pleadings, the challan in the present case has already been filled and thus the Investigations have been completed.

The Commission further observed that in the present case, the respondent has not pleaded or has substantiated in any manner as to how by supplying the entire *zimini* file to the accused the prosecution shall get hampered. They have taken a blanket plea of an absolute bar, which is not available to them under the Law. The Judgments cited by the Appellant are very much applicable to the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the judgment cited by the Respondent pertain to section 24 of the RTI which is a special provision under which only those organizations fall which have been notified so, and admittedly Punjab Police does not enjoy the protection of Section 24 of the RTI Act.

That the "second" part of Section 8 (1) (h) of the Act is subjective and depends upon the facts and circumstances of each case.

Hence, given the circumstances of this particular case, the Commission was of the view that the disclosure of information rather than hampering prosecution may help the appellant to defend himself and in proving his innocence. The PIO was directed to provide all *ziminies* and all other information as sought in the RTI application within 10 days of receipt of this order. Police to ensure that the same set of papers are provided that were referred to by the court during a hearing earlier.

The case was last heard on **13.08.2019**. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent and vide email has sought adjournment stating that all the staff is on duty for maintaining security and peace on the eve of independence day. The case was adjourned. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per earlier order which still stands. Information be provided before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The respondent has brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant has raised objection that the copies of the *ziminies* that have been provided by the PIO, do not carry the signature of JMIC, Ludhiana. The appellant has submitted a document where the Judge has made an observation that file of the investigation of this case has been perused and *ziminies* are also signed.

The PIO is directed to provide photostat copies of those *ziminies* that have the signature of JMIC. The information be provided within a week.

To come up for further hearing on **16.09.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated:28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Harmit Singh, C/o Baba Kala Finance Company,
Near Truck Union, Phool Road,
Rampura Phul, Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 182 of 2019

Present: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 10.04.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on **11.06.2019**. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and appear personally or through a representative on the next date of hearing alongwith the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case last came up for hearing on **23.07.2019** through video conference facility available in the office of **Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda**. Due to technical fault, the hearing could not take place and the case was adjourned. The PIO-RTA Sangrur was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands.

A copy of the order was sent to the STC, Punjab, Chandigarh to get the order served to the concerned PIO and to ensure compliance of this order, as well as ensure that the concerned PIO to provide the information and appears personally or through its representative at the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The case has come up for hearing today. Both the parties are absent. The PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and appear personally or through a representative on the next date of hearing alongwith the explanation for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

It is also bring to the notice of the Secretary Transport that the Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur is not attending the court either personally or through its representative and this has been observed in various cases. A notice may be taken of this dereliction and appropriate disciplinary action be taken against the RTA Sangrur.

To come up for further hearing on **11.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

- CC to :**
- 1. State Transport Commissioner, Pb,
Sector 17, Chandigarh.**
 - 2. Secretary, Department of Transport, Punjab
Chandigarh.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Darshan Lal, S/o Sh Karan Chand,
H No-8456, Sector-125, Sunny Enclave,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, PSPCL,
Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SE, PSPCL,
Roopnagar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 522 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Darshan Lal as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **08.05.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that he had asked for total number of electric connections in the name of Poonam Sharma. The PIO was directed to provide total number of electric connections issued in the name of Poonam Sharma w/o Rahul Partap Singh from 01.04.2009 to 31.03.2017 and the copies of documents enclosed at the time of applying for the connection.

The case was last heard on **16.07.2019**. Both the parties were absent. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity was granted and the case was adjourned. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and be present on the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is absent on 2nd hearing nor has complied with the order of the Commission. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time**. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

To come up for further hearing on **11.11.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated 28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Note:Sh.Bachitter Singh, SDO-Operation Sub-Division, PSPCL City Kharar-1 appeared late and pleaded that the information will be provided to the appellant within a week.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasvir Singh,
H No-310, Baba Namdev Road, Jandiala Guru,
Distt Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer,
Technical Audit/ Inspect,
PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
Chief Engineer, Technical Audit Inspection,
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1104 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Deepak Kumar, Sr.EX/Tech-cum-APIO PSPCL Circle Gurdaspur and Sh.Baldev
Singh, Sr Assitant, O/o Chief Engineer, Tech/Audit –PSPCL Patiala for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 24.07.2019. The respondent present from the office of CE-TA/Inspect, PSPCL Patiala pleaded that the information relates to the office of Dy Chief Engineer, Operation Division, PSPCL Gurdaspur and they had asked the concerned office vide letter dated 02.04.2019 to provide the information. However, the office of Dy.CE (Operation Div) Gurdaspur shown their inability to provide the information stating that the record is not available with them. The appellant in his RTI application has sought to inspect the original record which as per respondent lies in the custody of the Dy.Chief Engineer-Operation Division, PSPCL Gurdaspur.

The PIO-Dy.Chief Engineer, Operation Division, PSPCL Gurdaspur was impleaded in the case and directed to allow the inspection of the original record to the appellant and provide the information that he requires. In case, the original record is not traceable, even though it should be in the custody of the Dy. CE-Operation Division, PSPCL Gurdaspur as per document submitted by the respondent-TA/Elec, the Commission will be constrained to order an enquiry into the matter for tracing the original record.

A copy of the order was sent to the Chief Engineer, Border Zone, PSPCL Gurdaspur to look into the matter and ensure that the PIO to allow inspection of the original record to the appellant and provide the relevant information as per the RTI application.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The respondent present from the office of PSPCL Gurdaspur pleaded that the information regarding points A & C relates to the office of PIO-Chief Engineer, TA/Inspection Patiala and the information regarding point-B has already been provided to the appellant. The respondent present from the office of Chief Engineer, PSPCL Patiala informed that the original record is not available.

The appellant is absent. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. PIO- Dy.Chief Engineer, Operation Division,
PSPCL Gurdaspur
2. Chief Engineer, Border Zone, PSPCL Gurdaspur



Sh Jasvir Singh,
H No-310, Baba Namdev Road, Jandiala Guru,
Distt Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer,
Enforcement, PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
Chief Engineer, Enforcement,
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1105 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the the Appellant
Sh.Deepak Kumar, Sr.Xen, Circle Gurdaspur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 24.07.2019. The respondent present from the office of Sr Xen, PSPCL Circle Gurdaspur brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant had received the information. However, the appellant was not satisfied with the information regarding point-1 and stated that the PIO has not provided the copy of the reply received from the circle office as sought in point-1.

The PIO was directed to provide the 2nd part of the information regarding point-1, i.e. the reply received from the circle office. The information be provided within 10 days.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that as per order of the Commission, the remaining information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 30.07.2019 and a copy submitted to the Commission.

I have gone through the information and find that the information has been sent to the appellant to the best possible extent. A copy of the information is being enclosed with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Encl:Copy of information

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt.Sukhvinder Kaur, W/o Lt. Sh.Baldev Singh,
VPO HardoJhande, Tehsil Batala.
Distt.Gurdaspur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
SDO, PSPCL,
Batala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1143 of 2018

Present: Sh.Harvinder Singh for the Complainant
Sh.Amrik Singh, Sr.Assistant, O/o Xen-PSPCL City Batala for the
Respondent

Order:

The case was first heard on 04.02.2019. The Complainant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in providing the information, the PIO was issued a **show cause notice** and directed to file reply on an affidavit. Further If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO was directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission alongwith the written replies. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days.

The case was again heard on 19.03.2019. The complainant informed that no information has been provided. The respondent was again absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and be present on the next date of hearing alongwith the reply to the show cause notice on an affidavit.

The case came up for hearing again on 15.05.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing alongwith reply to the show cause notice . A copy of the order was sent to the Xen-PSPCL, Batala to determine the PIO under whose custody the information exists and to direct the concerned PIO to provide the information and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on 17.07.2019. The PIO however in spite of the orders of the Commission to be personally present had not turned up nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice.

Keeping the above facts of the case in mind, the PIO-SDO, PSPCL was held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, which is within 30 days of the receipt of the request, and for repeated and willful defiance of the Punjab State Information Commission's orders. A penalty of **Rs.25,000/-** was imposed upon the PIO-SDO PSPCL, Batala and the PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan justifying the deposition of the penalty in the Govt Treasury. The PIO was again directed to provide the information within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Complaint Case No. 1143 of 2018

The PIO-SDO PSPCL, Batala was also directed to pay an amount of **Rs.5000/-** via demand draft drawn through Govt. Treasury as compensation to the appellant for the loss and detriment suffered by him of having to file the appeals and not getting information in time. The PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the order and submit proof of having compensated the complainant.

A copy of the order was sent to the Xen, PSPCL Batala to get the order served to the concerned PIO and to ensure compliance of this order, as well as ensure that the concerned PIO to provide the information and appears personally at the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The respondent present from the office of Xen-City PSPCL Batala informed that there are six SDOs of PSPCL in Batala and no RTI application has been received by them. According to the complainant, Sh.Baldev Singh was working as work charge employee in the office of SDO-Grid, PSPCL- Gurdaspur Road, Batala. A copy of the RTI application has been handed over to the respondent.

The Chief Engineer, Border Zone, PSPCL Amritsar is impleaded in the case and directed to get the order served to the concerned PIO-SDO Batala under whose custody the information lies. The concerned PIO is directed to provide the information and to appear personally on the next date of hearing. The matter of penalty and compensation will be taken at the next date of hearing.

To come up for further hearing on **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 28.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

- CC to 1. Chief Engineer, Border Zone,
PSPCL Amritsar.**
- 2. The Xen, PSPCL, Batala.**
- 3. Sr. Xen, PSPCL Batala**



Sh. Harbans Singh, S/o Sh.Chunni Lal,
Kothi No-1, Ward No-1, Near SD School,
FatehgarhChurian, Distt.Gurdaspur.

...Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
Commissioner, Nagar Nigam,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
Director, Local Govt,
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1397 of 2018

Present: None for the Appellant
Ms.Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector-APIO (earlier deemed PIO-MC Amritsar) and Sh.D.P.Verma, Sr.Assistant /o Director Local Govt for the Respondent

ORDER: The case was first heard on 27.06.2018. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on 25.07.2018. The appellant informed that he has not received the information. Sh.D.P.Verma, Sr.Assistant from the office of Director, Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh was present. The PIO was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information within 10 days and explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application in accordance with the RTI Act.

The case came up for hearing again on 28.08.2018. Ms.Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector-cum-APIO (deemed PIO as per her letter dated 03.10.2017 sent to the appellant) appeared and pleaded that since the appellant had not submitted a valid identity proof, which was mandatory, she had filed the RTI application. Regarding the deemed PIO's claim that the information is third party, the PIO was directed to send a notice to the third party for their submission as well as explain the reasons for the delay in handling the RTI application.

On the next date of hearing which was held on 26.09.2018, the PIO was absent nor had provided the information to the appellant who was present at the hearing. The PIO, Ms.Harpreet Kaur was finally '**Show Caused**' under section 20 of the RTI Act and directed to file an affidavit in this regard. The PIO was also directed to provide part information i.e. the names of the property holders but not the maps as asked in the application within seven days.

The case was again heard on 19.11.2018. The deemed PIO was again absent. The PIO was again provided with an opportunity to reply to the show cause notice and directed to be present personally before the Commission for the next date of hearing. On the same day, the Chief Commissioner, Nagar Nigam Amritsar was also directed to ensure compliance of the order of the Commission and also to ensure the presence of the PIO before the Commission alongwith reply to the show cause.

On the next date of hearing on **15.01.2019**, the appellant was absent and vide email informed that the information had not been provided. The APIO-cum-deemed PIO Ms.Harpreet Kaur was again absent. She was given one more opportunity to be present before the Commission on the next date of hearing along with a reply to the show cause.

The case came up for hearing again on **11.03.2019**. The appellant vide email informed that the information has not been provided. The PIO was absent on 4th consecutive hearing and nor replied to the show cause.

Keeping all the facts of the case in mind, the APIO-Harpreet Kaur (deemed PIO in this case) was found guilty for not providing the information on time and for repeated and willful defiance of the Punjab State Information Commission's orders, a penalty of **Rs.25, 000/-** was imposed upon Ms. Harpreet Kaur, APIO-cum-deemed PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar and PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan justifying the deposition of the penalty in the Govt Treasury. The PIO was again directed to provide part of the information i.e. the names of the property holders only to the appellant within a week.

The case was further heard on **06.05.2019**. The PIO was again absent nor had sent any compliance report to the Commission. The PIO was granted one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue warrants under section 18(3) of the RTI Act.

The case last came up for hearing on 02.07.2019. The PIO was again absent nor had sent any compliance report to the Commission. Sh.D.P.Verma, Sr.Assistant appeared from the office of Director, Local Govt. P.b informed that Ms. Harpreet Kaur has been transferred from Amritsar and now posted at Moga and that they have already directed the Commissioner, MC Moga and Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (Technical), MC Moga vide letter dated 30.05.2019 for compliance of the Commission's order.

To secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission the Information Commission, a bailable Warrant of Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (Technical), MC Moga (earlier APIO-cum-deemed PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar) was issued through Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga for his presence before the Commission on 28.08.2019.

The present PIO-MC Amritsar was also directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

Ms. Harpreet Kaur is present and informed that she never got the order. However, she has assured to comply with the order of Commission before the next date of hearing. One more opportunity is granted to Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector (Technical), MC Moga (earlier APIO-cum-deemed PIO, O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar) and directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and submit proof of having deposited the amount of penalty in the Govt. Treasury.

The appellant is absent. The appellant vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The present PIO is again absent. The present PIO-MC Amritsar is given one last opportunity to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission; otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action as per RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 28.08.2019.

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

- CC to :**
- 1. The Chief Commissioner,
Nagar Nigam, Amritsar**
 - 2. Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Building Inspector,
Municipal Corporation, Moga**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Tejinder Singh,
R/o Village Bholapur, P.O Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oSDM,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 4278 of 2018

Present: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **18.03.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information available with them has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 06.07.2018 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The respondent also provided the information regarding point-4 to the appellant at the hearing. The PIO in his letter further mentioned that the information regarding point 3 relates to the RTA Ferozepur and the information regarding point -7 relates to the office of STC Punjab, Chandigarh.

The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and the PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information concerning them. However, if the information is in the custody of any other department, the PIO-STC, Punjab Chandigarh was directed to procure the information from that department and send it to the appellant. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and the PIO-STC were also directed to appear personally or through their representative on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **15.05.2019**. The representative present on behalf the appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were given one more opportunity and directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and appear personally on the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on **17.07.2019**. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The respondent was also absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were given one last opportunity and directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and appear personally on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action as per the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 28.08.2019:

The appellant informed that the information regarding points 3,4 & 7 is not received. The PIO-RTA Ferozpur and PIO-STC are given one more opportunity to provide the information concerning them as per earlier order and appear personally on the next date of hearing. A copy of RTI application is being enclosed with the order for PIO-RTA Ferozpur.

To come up for further hearing on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 28.08.2019.

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. PIO-Regional Transport Authority, Ferozpur
2. PIO-State Transport Commissioner, Pb
Sector 17, Chandigarh.