

# Sh. Parminder Singh (9667005875)

S/o Sh. Harbans Singh R/o E-67, First Floor, Vishnu Garden, New Delhi

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o SSP, Ludhiana

#### Complaint Case No.: 192 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** Sh. Parminder Singh, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: ASI Ramesh Kumar (9915603000).

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 14.11.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint was filed in the Commission on 20.02.2020 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 28.07.2020 in the Commission.

3. Respondent, ASI Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information relates with 29 Police stations (Thana) and each and every Police station have many chowki(s) under jurisdiction. He added that every police station have different PIOs. He further states that reply vide letter no. 4251 dated 19.11.2018 has already been sent to the complainant in this regard that stating that he can collect the requisite information from the concerned police stations after filing RTI application.

4. Complainant, Sh. Parminder Singh attended the hearing through CISCO WEBEX but, he didn't respond to the Commission after many calls to him during the hearing.

5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, <u>it is observed that requisite</u> <u>information is too voluminous as it relates with **29 police stations**. The representative of the respondent states that those 29 police stations are the custodian of the record and list of 29 police stations was supplied to the complainant with the reply (letter no. 4251 dated 19.11.2018).</u>

<u>I am of the considered view that information to be collected, collated, tabulated and</u> rearranged is not within the purview of the RTI Act in accordance with the decision given by the <u>State Information Commission, Punjab in CC No. 2177 of 2010 (DOD 2.8.2010).</u>

Commission is of the view that the Public Information Officer o/o SSP, Ludhiana should not have transferred the RTI applications under Section 6(3) to more than one public authority considering the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 and <u>the instructions issued by the Ministry of Personnel</u>, Public Grievances & Pensions, Department of Personnel & Training vide O.M. No. <u>10/2/2008-IR dated 12.6.2008</u> in this regard. In accordance with these directions, the complainant is advised to make the application afresh to the remaining 29 public authorities in case he wants to seek the information.

6. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant

complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020



#### **Sh. Parminder Singh (9667005875)** S/o Sh. Harbans Singh

R/o E-67, First Floor, Vishnu Garden, New Delhi

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

## Complaint Case No.: 193 of 2020

Present:Sh. Parminder Singh, the complainant in person.For the respondent: ASI Ramesh Kumar (9915603000).

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 23.02.2019 vide which the complainant has sought information

as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint was filed in the Commission on 20.02.2020

under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 28.07.2020 in the Commission.

3. Respondent, ASI Ramesh Kumar states that reply (vide letter no. 787 dated 19.03.2019) was sent to the complainant to contact the RTI branch of the o/o Commissioner of police, Ludhiana but till date he had not contacted the concerned office till date.

4. Complainant, Sh. Parminder Singh attended the hearing through CISCO WEBEX but, he

didn't respond to the Commission after many calls to him during the hearing.

5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO has already been supplied reply to the complainant within the stipulated time period. Neither the complainant had contacted the concerned official to collect the information or nor file reply in this regard to the respondent as well as to the Commission, which means only wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission as well as of the Public Authority.

6. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020



**Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)** Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

Versus

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali

### Appeal Case No.: 556 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Appellant

Present:Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.<br/>Nobody on behalf of the respondent.ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 26.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.01.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 28.07.2020 in the Commission.

3. Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

4. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

5. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the

respondent PIO to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him through

registered post for his ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.08.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** 

(Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020

BIT HUR OF ARTHS UNISSIL

(Regd. Post) Sh. Satinder Pal Singh (9417265263) Near ADC Office, Ferozepur Road, Jagraon, District Ludhiana-142026

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh

#### Appeal Case No.: 785 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mehak Pal Singh (Constable) (7986973371).

### <u>ORDER</u>

Present<sup>.</sup>

1. The RTI application is dated 15.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.02.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Respondent, Sh. Mehak Pal Singh states that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant as it relates with third party. He added that reply has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Satinder Pal Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. An SMS was also sent to his given number (9417265263) through SMS Facility of the Commission and a call was also made by the bench of undersigned to him regarding today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that complete requisite information is not exempted under RTI Act. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the information relates with point no. 1 and 2 to the appellant before the next date of hearing. It is also observed that information relates with 3 and 4 is vague so it could not be entertained as per RTI Act 2005.

5. Another opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.08.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** 

(Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



#### Ms. Ritu (7888414575)

W/o Sh. Parmodh Kumar alias Pawan Kumar R/o Street No. 2, Lalheri Road, Gurbachan Colony, Khanna, **District Ludhiana** 

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o Astt. Inspector General of Police/Crime, Punjab, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Bureau of Investigation, H.Q. Sector-9, Chandigarh

#### Appeal Case No.: 786 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Ms. Ritu, the appellant in person.

Present: For the respondent: Sh. Lovepreet Singh (Senior Constable) (9501656466).

## ORDER

The RTI application is dated 20.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as 1. enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.02.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Appellant, Ms. Ritu states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Lovepreet Singh states that report was received from the o/o SSP,

Gurdaspur but after going through the report, it was sent back by the Head Quarter to the SSP, Gurdaspur and still under process.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant as matter is under investigation as per RTI Act 2005. Respondent PIO is advised to finish the investigation within three months and supply it to the appellant. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal

case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner** 

Respondent



**Sh. Gurinder Singh (6239871477)** S/o Sh. Harnek Singh R/o Bhagta Bhai, Tehsil Phul, District Bathinda

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o ADGP, NRI Wing, Punjab, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority** O/o ADGP, NRI Wing, Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 842 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:Sh. Gurinder Singh, the appellant in person.For the respondent: ASI, Joginder Singh.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 05.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.02.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Respondent, ASI Joginder Singh states that RTI application was transferred to the office of Bathinda and reply has already been sent to the appellant as requisite information relates with Bathinda office.

3. On this, appellant states that no reply has been received from the respondent PIO. He added that partial information relates with o/o ADGP, NRI Wing, Punjab Chandigarh. He further states that he has contacted the earlier RTI concerned official four times but no response has been received from the respondent PIO.

4. Respondent, ASI Joginder Singh states that appellant can visit the respondent's office on working day and contact the official Sh. Sukhdeep Singh (Senior Constable) (9855680456) to inspect the record which relates to the respondent's office.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, both the parties are advised to co-ordinate and respondent PIO is directed to make sure appellant has inspected the relevant available record as per RTI application on his visit. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 31.08.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020



**Sh. Angrej Singh (9855429620)** S/o Sh. Thakur Singh R/o Village Lakhuwal, PO Ramdass, Tehsil Ajnala, District Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o Supdt. Head Quarter (Jails), Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Supdt. Head Quarter (Jails), Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 1005 of 2020

Present: ORDER Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Harbans Singh (APIO) (9855000179).

1. The RTI application is dated 26.07.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 04.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Respondent, Sh. Harbans Singh states that record relates with year 1964 and not available in the official record. He added that letters were also sent to the Patiala and Muktsar Jails but record is not available. He added that reply was sent to the appellant through letter no. 599 dated 16.01.2019 and letter no. 5599 of 26.06.2019.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing and nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that no deficiency

has been pointed out by the appellant in the supplied reply. It is also observed that sufficient reply supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107) Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Appellant

## **Public Information Officer**

O/o Incharge, State Cyber Crime Wing (Punjab Police), Phase-4, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

# **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Incharge, State Cyber Crime Wing (Punjab Police), Phase-4, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Appeal Case No.: 1071 of 2020

Respondent

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Ms. Manisha Verma (Sub-Inspector) (7508800423)

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 14.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 28.07.2020 in the Commission.

3. Respondent, Ms. Manisha Verma states that requisite information has already been sent to

the appellant. She added that appellant was called and record has also been checked by the appellant.

4. On this, appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant 5.

appeal case is disposed of & closed.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 28.07.2020