



Sh Ram Murti, Sadhu Colony,
Mehta Chowk, Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib,
Amritsar.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer, (Operation),
PSPCL, Border Zone, Amritsar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 352 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Ramesh Kumar, SDO-PSPCL Mehta Chowk Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 09.04.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the order of the DC Amritsar vide No.C-and-EA/CC-1/1884-85 dated 13.12.2017 and and other information concerning the office of Chief Engineer(Operation) PSPCL Boder Zone, Amritsar. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed first complaint in the Commission on 03.04.2019.

The complainant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 21.08.2019 has sought exemption. In the same letter, the complainant has informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent present pleaded that the complainant had earlier sought similar information and the information was provided to the complainant vide letter dated 15.12.2017 and again on 26.08.2019. The respondent has also brought the copy of the information and submitted to the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jasbir Kaur, S/o Sh Surjeet Singh,
VPO Bhorachhi Rajputa Patii Jhiri Nangal,
Tehsil Baba Bakala , Distt Amritsar.

..... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asst, XEN, PSPCL,
Butari (sub Division), Raiya Division,
Distt Amritsar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 332 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jaswant Singh on behalf of the Complainant
Sh.Rajesh Kumar, SDO-PSPCL Butari for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 10.12.2018 has sought information regarding electric connection No.AP-07/0519 of Sh.Balraj Singh s/o Sampuran Singh and other information concerning the office of Asstt.Xen PSPCL Butari(Sub-Division) Amritsar. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 02.04.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The complainant has received the information and is satisfied.

The Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of 8 months in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for further hearing on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Shivraj Singh, S/o Sh Pipal Singh,
R/o village Jhurar Khera, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka. .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 426 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Shivraj Singh as Appellant
Sh.Jaspal Singh Brar, Tehsildar, Abohar and Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib
Tehsildar Abohar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **08.05.2019**. The appellant informed that the PIO had asked to deposit requisite fee of Rs.33000/- for 16500 pages which was willing to deposit, however, the department did not provide the break-up of the amount. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to bring break-up of the fee that has been asked, to the Commission at the next date of hearing. The Tehsildar was also directed to appear personally.

The case was last heard on **16.07.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information on points 3, 4, 7 & 8 has been provided to the appellant. The information regarding points, 1, 2, 5& 6 is voluminous and can be obtained from the concerned patwari by depositing requisite fee. The information regarding point-9 is not available in their record and the SDM Abohar has started departmental enquiry and has called the concerned officers and officials on 19.07.2019 for explanation.

Regarding raising the fee of Rs.33000/-,the respondent pleaded that the fee has been raised wrongly and the same was raised by the earlier PIO Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar. Hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- | | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Points-3,4,7 &8 | - | Information provided. |
| Points-1,2,5 & 6 | - | The appellant to inspect the record as per dated fixed i.e. on 18.07.2019 at 9.00 AM and get the relevant information upto 150 pages. The PIO to allow the inspection to the appellant and provide the information upto 150 paged fee of cost. |
| Point-9 | - | PIO to submit enquiry report which establishes that the record is missing and responsibility has been fixed. |

The earlier PIO Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar was impleaded in the case and directed to be present on the next date of hearing and explain the reasons that why such hefty amount was raised when the information pertaining to this RTI application was of a meager number of pages as proved by the information that has been provided now.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information on points 1,2,5 & 6 has been provided to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the appellant had sought additional information which constituted 667 pages after which they raised Rs.15510/- (10340 plus 5170 for Photostat charges) for 517 pages (667-150) as per Punjab Land Revenue rules which was deposited by the appellant and the information has been provided. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Regarding point-9, the respondent informed that the enquiry is still pending and after recording statement of all concerned and completion of enquiry, necessary action will be taken as per rules and information will be provided to the appellant. The PIO is directed to provide complete enquiry report to the appellant once it is complete, with a copy to the Commission.

Regarding raising of hefty amount, Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar is present and has explained the reasons that why such hefty amount was raised. The Commission is satisfied with the reasons.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information regarding point-9 is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh
Dated 27.08.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**

CC to :Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar Abohar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Shivraj Singh, S/o Sh Pipal Singh,
R/o village Jhurar Khera, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka. .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 427 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Shivraj Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Jaspal Singh Brar, Tehsildar, Abohar and Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib
Tehsildar Abohar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **08.05.2019**. The appellant stated that the PIO raised a fee of Rs.33000/- for 16500/- pages which he was willing to deposit. However, the department did not provide the break up of the amount. The respondent was absent and vide email sought exemption being on election duty. The PIO was directed to bring break-up of the fee that has been asked, to the Commission at the next date of hearing. The Tehsildar was directed to appear personally.

The case was last heard on **16.07.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information regarding points 2,3 & 6 has been provided to the appellant. The information regarding points 1, 4 & 5 is voluminous and can be obtained from the concerned patwari by depositing requisite fee.

Regarding raising the fee of Rs.33000/-,the respondent pleaded that the fee has been raised wrongly and the same was raised by the earlier PIO Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar. Hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

Points-2, 3 &6 - Information stands provided.
Points-1,4 &5 - The appellant to inspect the record as per dated fixed i.e. on 18.07.2019 at 9.00 AM and get the relevant information upto 150 pages. The PIO to allow the inspection to the appellant and provide the information upto 150 paged fee of cost.

The earlier PIO Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar was impleaded in the case and directed to be present on the next date of hearing and explain the reasons that why such hefty amount was raised when the information pertaining to this RTI application was of a meager number of pages as proved by the information that has been provided now.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information on points 1,4 & 5 has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Regarding raising of hefty amount, Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Abohar is present and has explained the reasons that why such hefty amount was raised. The Commission is satisfied with the reasons.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh
Dated.27.08.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner**

CC to :Sh.Baljinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar Abohar.



Sh Gurdeep Singh,
319/J, Rerd. Inspector, Majitha,
H No 72, Street No-14, Kot Harnam Dass,
Sultanwind Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DGP,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
DGP,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 618 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Gurdeep Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 02.08.2018 has sought information regarding certain promotions in respect of officials promoted from 2012 to 2018 and other information concerning the office of DGP Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner on 27.03.2019. The appellant was absent. The respondent submitted a memo dated 12.03.2019 from AIGP/Pers-1 –cum-PIO stating that the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.11.2018. The appellant was directed to send his observations, if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent in his reply dated 01.11.2018 has denied the information citing decision No.216/IC(A)/2006 dated 31.08.2006 of the Central Information Commission.

Having gone through the record and hearing both the parties, I direct the PIO to provide information to the appellant from 01.01.2014 to 31.12.2016 and send a compliance report to the Commission.

To come up for compliance on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Azad Kumar, S/o Sh B.N Sharma,
49-B, Partap Nagar, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer/ HR & Admin,
The Mall, Opp Kali Devi Mandir,
Shakti Sadan, PSTCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/TS. PSTCL,
Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1069 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Azad Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Paramjit Singh, Dy.Chief Engineer, PSTCL Patiala and Sh.Pal Chand
Gupta O/o SE Personal-PSPCL Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 27.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and claimed that the information provided by the PIO is incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to look into point-1 and provide the information.

The information on points-2 & 3 stands provided. Regarding information on point-4, the respondent pleaded that since the information relates to the office of SE-Personnel, PSPCL Patiala and they have already transferred the RTI application to them vide letter dated 21.09.2018. The PIO-SE Personnel, PSPCL, Patiala was impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information on point-4.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that as per order of the Commission, the remaining information has been provided to the appellant and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observes that the information has been provided to the best possible extent and no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : PIO-SE Personnel, PSPCL, Patiala

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Azad Kumar, S/o Sh B.N Sharma,
49-B, Partap Nagar, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer/ HR & Admin,
The Mall, Opp Kali Devi Mandir,
Shakti Sadan, PSTCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/TS. PSTCL,
Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1070 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh. Azad Kumar as the Appellant
Sh. Paramjit Singh, SE Planning, PSTCL Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 27.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the respondent and hearing both the parties, following was concluded:

- Regarding point-1 & 4, the respondent pleaded that the information is not available since the record is not traceable. However, the Commission will not consider the record missing or destroyed until an enquiry is conducted which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed. The respondent to conduct an enquiry and submit complete enquiry report on an affidavit.
- The information on points 2 & 3 stands provided to the best possible extent.
- Regarding points 5 to 8, the appellant to inspect the record on the date fixed i.e. on 16.07.2019 at 11.00 AM. The PIO to allow the inspection and provided the information.
- Regarding point-9, the PIO to provide the information.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded they have done correspondence with the concerned officer but the record is not traceable. However, the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied. The Commission has also received a letter diary No.15729 on 19.08.2019 of the PIO whereby the PIO has given point-wise reply which is taken on the file of the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Tej Mohan Singh, S/o Sh.Makhan Singh,
R/o H NO-139-140, Raja Garden, Basti Bawa Khel,
Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o JDA,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o JDA,
Jalandhar

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1094 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Tej Mohan Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Sanjeev Sharma, PIO-JDA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 27.06.2019. The appellant claimed that the PIO has provided information only on 3 points which is also incomplete.

The respondent was absent. There had been an enormous delay in providing the information. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on** an affidavit in this regard. The PIO was again directed to send complete information to the appellant within 15 days.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 31.07.2019 and 13.08.2019. The appellant stated that the information is incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

Points-1 to 4, 6 to 11 and 13 - Replied/information provided to the best possible extent.
Point-5 - Relates to APIO-DE, Electrical, PSPCL Jalandhar
Point-12 - Relates to APIO-Estate Officer, JDA Jalandhar

The APIO-DE, Electrical, PSPCL, Jalandhar and APIO-Estate Officer, JDA Jalandhar are impleaded in the case and directed to provide information to the appellant concerning them and be present on the next date of hearing. A copy of the RTI application is being enclosed with the order.

I have further gone through the record and find that the respondent has replied to the RTI application within 30 days and there is no malafide on the part of the PIO. The show cause is dropped.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing **on 02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to:1.APIO-DE-Electrical,PSPCL Jalandhar

2. APIO-Estate Officer, JDA Jalandhar

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Rohit Sabharwal,
Kundan Bhawan, 126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, GLADA,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl, Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1121 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 24.07.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 03.07.2019 and again on 23.07.2019. The respondent again brought the certified copies of the information as sought by the appellant.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the appellant has filed written statement with the First Appellate Authority on 29.01.2019 claiming that the information is incomplete and uncertified. The PIO was directed to consider the written statement of the appellant which was submitted to the First Appellate Authority and provide the information accordingly within 10 days.

Hearing dated 27.08.2019:

Both the parties are absent. The Commission has received an email from the counsel of appellant stating that the PIO has not removed the discrepancies as per order of the Commission.

The PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and be present on the next date of hearing.

To come up for further hearing on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Sh Gurjant Singh,
VPO Patii Sadiq, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1348 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaspal Singh Brar, Tehsildar Abohar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 09.01.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint forwarded by DC office to SDM Abohar for action against Patwari Ashok Kumar and Kanungo Mohal Lal and other information concerning the office of SDM Abohar. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has received the same. The respondent has also submitted a letter of the appellant vide which the appellant has acknowledged having received the information and informed that he does not want to pursue his case further.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Sh Gurjant Singh,
VPO Patii Sadiq, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1349 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jaspal Singh Brar, Tehsildar Abohar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 09.01.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint submitte in the office of DC Fazilka having regn No.RP740984205IN on 17.09.2018 to release the cheque amount of Rs.16000/- and other information concerning the office of DC Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has received the same.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought adjournment. In the same email, the appellant has informed that the PIO has not provided the requisite information and requested for next hearing through video conferencing at District Administrative Complex, Fazilka.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.11.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of **Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka**. Copy of order be sent to both the parties **through registered post**.

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Karamjeet Singh, S/o Sh Maghar Singh,
1169, Khanna Nagar, Road Bye Pass,
Lehra Gaga, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/ HRD,
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1380 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Karamjeet Singh as the Appellant
Mrs.Amarjit Kaur, Dy.Secretary O/o Dy.CE/Tech PSPCL and Sh.Sanjiv
Sharma, AAO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.08.2018 has sought information regarding registered notice dated 11.06.2018 for release of gratuity as per guidelines of Supreme Court and action taken on the notice and other information concerning the office of CMD PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 13.09.2018 after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.11.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 17.12.2018 with the direction to the PIO to provide information on point-8 and the appellant was given an opportunity to inspect the case file after taking prior appointment from Respondent PIO cum-Dy.CE/Tech PSPCL Patiala.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.09.2018 and 01.10.2018. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, I find that the First Appellant Authority has ordered the PIO to provide information on point-8 but the reply sent by the PIO is incomplete. The information on all other points' stands provided to the best possible extent. The PIO is directed to provide information on point-8 before the next date of hearing.

To come up for compliance on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocmpunjab.com



Sh Deepak Khurana,
264 Street NO-16, Navi Abadi, Chotti Pori,
Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1386 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Dalip Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.12.2018 has sought information regarding enquiry in complaint No.192 CPF Spl 29.03.18, IPC IVC 24.4.18 of Deepak Khurana complaint No.1864 PC 14.05.18,907 Spl PC 2/7/18 and other information concerning the office of SSP Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the RTI application was not received by their office. However, after receipt of letter dated 13.02.2019 from the office of IGP Ferozepur Range, the information has been provided to the appellant on 05.07.2019 (21 pages regarding points 1 to 6 - duly acknowledged by the appellant) and remaining information regarding point-7 was sent to the appellant vide registered letter dated 20.07.2019. The respondent claims that the appellant had visited the office of SSP where he acknowledged the receipt of the information and stated that he is satisfied. A copy of information is being enclosed for the appellant.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Balkar Singh, S/o Sh Jarnail Singh,
Ward No-8, Mahavir Nagar, Street NO-3,
Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Paramjit Singh for the Appellant
Sh.Nachhattar Singh, Excise and Taxation Inspector, Malout for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 09.01.2019 has sought information regarding copy of sale register maintained for alcohol and other information concerning the office of DC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 07.02.2019 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied. The respondent further informed that the information regarding points 1 & 2 is not available with them and the sale register is maintained by the concerned contactor. Regarding point-3, the information has been provided.

Having gone through the reply of the PIO, I find that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Parmjit Singh, S/o Sh Jarnail Singh,
H No-816, Street No-11, Ward No-21, Guru Nanak Nagri,
Davindra Road, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1394 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Paramjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Mukesh Kumar Reader/Naib Tehsildar, Malout for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 07.01.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on complaint dated 29.11.2018 regarding dual ration card of Navjot Kaur w/o Jagdish Singh and other information concerning the office of SDM Malout. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the enquiry was conducted by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer and complete enquiry report has been provided to the appellant on 04.07.2019. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the enquiry is not as per his complaint. The PIO is directed to relook at the complaint and take necessary action as per rules.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Smt Neena Gupta,
H No-1410, Phase-1, Urban Estate,
Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Registrar/Tehsildar (West),
Humbran Road, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1163 of 2017

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 29.03.2017 has sought information regarding ownership of certain plot and other information concerning the office of Sub Registrar/Tehsildar(West) Ludhiana. The complaint was not provided the information after which she filed complaint in the Commission on 22.10.2017.

The case was earlier heard by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner on 03.01.2018, 20.02.2018, 02.07.2018, 12.09.2018, 20.11.2018, 11.12.2018, 14.01.2019, 20.02.2019 and last on 27.03.2019.

In the hearing of 20.02.2019, the respondent submitted a letter of the PIO vide which the complainant was asked to specify the khasra/khata number and get the information by depositing requisite fee under the rules. After hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide a copy of latest jamabandi to the complainant.

On the next date of hearing on 27.03.2019, the complainant was absent. The representative of the respondent brought a copy of the jamabandi for handing over to the complainant. The respondent was directed to send the same to the complainant through registered post and the complainant was directed to send his observation to the PIO. Regarding supply of other revenue record, the complainant was advised to obtain the relevant revenue record from the office of PIO after depositing the prescribed fee.

The case has come up for hearing today. Both the parties are absent. Same order stands.

To come up for further hearing on **02.12.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:27.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner