

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Janak Singh Shri Ujjagar Singh,
R/o Behlolpur, Block Majri,
Tehsil & Distt Mohali 160014.
M 9417132157

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil & Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Development &
Panchayat Officer(DDPO), Mohali.

.... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1278 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Janak Singh is present.
Sh.Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present
on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant Sh. Janak Singh was present. Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

The Appellant had stated that the information had not been received by him.

On going through the file, it was observed that no information had been supplied to the Appellant and nobody had come present on previous date of hearing. Accordingly, Show Cause was issued to the PIO O/o, Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Majri, Distt. Mohali under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. In addition, the PIO was also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Today, the Appellant Sh.Janak Singh comes present and states that he has not received the information so far.



In view of the fact that in spite of issuance of Show Cause Notice to the Respondent/PIO, the information has not been supplied to the Appellant so far, a penalty of Rs.2500/- (Rupees two thousand five hundred only) is imposed on the concerned PIO/Respondent, o/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Majri, District Mohali. The amount of penalty i.e. Rs.2500/- should be deducted from the salary of the PIO and be deposited in the Govt. Treasury under the head “0070-Other Administrative Services-60-Other Services-800-Other Receipts-86-Fees under the Right to Information Act”.

PIO/Respondent is also directed to submit the proof of having deposited the penalty on the next date of hearing. PIO/Respondent is further directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing to the appellant. Copy of this order be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.

Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing which is fixed for **16.04.2019 at 11.00 A.M.**

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Dated: 27.02.2019

CC to:
(Reg. Post) **Public Information Officer (By Name),**
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Majri, Tehsil & District Mohali.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Janak Singh, Late Shri Ujjagar Singh,
R/o Behlolpur, Block Majri, Tehsil &
Distt Mohali 160014.M 9417132157

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil & Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Development &
Panchayat Officer (DDPO), Mohali.

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1272 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Janak Singh is present.
Sh. Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present
on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant Sh. Janak Singh was present. Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. The Appellant had stated that the information had not been received by him.

On going through the file, it was observed that no information had been supplied to the Appellant and nobody had come present on previous date of hearing. Accordingly, Show Cause was issued to the PIO O/o, Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Majri, Distt. Mohali under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. In addition, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Today, the Appellant Sh. Janak Singh comes present and states that he has not received the information so far.

CC to:
(Reg. Post) **Public Information Officer (By Name),**
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Majri, Tehsil & District Mohali.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Harpal Singh, S/o Sh. Gulzar Singh,
VPO Jittwal Kalan, Tehsil Malerkotla,
Distt. Sangrur.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development &
Panchayat Officer, Malerkotla-2
District Sangrur .

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 438 of 2018

Present: The Complainant Sh. Harpal Singh is absent.
Mr.Mohammad Saleem, V.D.O. O/o BDPO Malerkotla-2 is present
on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This Complainant case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The complainant Sh.Harpal Singh was present. However, none had appeared on behalf of the PIO/respondent were present. However, a letter No.656 dated 04.01.2019 available in the file was perused, as per which, Sh.Mohammad Saleem, VDO Gram Panchayat, Jittwal Kalan had to appear in a case- Amrik Singh vs. Hardev Singh, Gam Panchayat Malakpur, in Civil Court at Malerkotla and as such, had sought exemption from personal appearance on that day.

It was observed that the information had been delayed very much. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the PIO O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Malerkotla-2, Distt. Sangrur under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. In addition, the PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Today, the complainant Sh.Harpal Singh is absent without any intimation. On behalf of PIO/ Respondent, Shri Mohammad Saleem, Village Dev. Organiser (VDO) comes present. He submits an affidavit in the court stating that the appellant Shri Harpal Singh was personally contacted and he has stated that now he does not need the information sought by him. With this affidavit, a letter dated 17.02.2019 given by Shri Harpal Singh, Complainant has also been enclosed. As per this letter, since he does not need this information, so he does not want to pursue this case and as such, has requested for disposal of the complaint.

In view of the above, the complaint is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties

Dated: 27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.
Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120



Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Daya Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Singh,
R/o Village Majher (Nard),
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

....Applicant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development &
Panchayat Officer, Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl. Development Commissioner (Dev.),
Roopnagar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2018

Present: Sh. Daya Singh, the Appellant along with Advocate Harnek Singh.
Sh.Lakhbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 14.01.2019. The Appellant Sh.Daya Singh alongwith Sh.Harnek Singh, Advocate were present. Nobody had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent.

It is observed that since the PIO/Respondent had failed to come present before the Commission for the second consecutive hearing and complete information had also not been supplied to the Appellant, a penalty of Rs.2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) was imposed on the concerned PIO/Respondent, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Anandpur Sahib. PIO/Respondent was also directed to submit the proof of having deposited the penalty on the next date of hearing i.e. today. PIO/Respondent was further directed to supply the remaining information before the next date.

Besides, due to wastage of valuable time of the Court as well as of the Appellant, a compensation of Rs.2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) was also awarded. Respondent/ PIO was also directed to produce the copy of the crossed cheque/demand draft in the Commission on the next date of hearing to establish the fact that the order of the Commission has been complied with.



Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2018

Today, the Appellant Sh.Daya Singh alongwith Sh.Harneek Singh, Advocate comes present. Shri Lakhbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary comes present. He produces letter dated 30.01.2014 from the PIO/Respondent, BDPO Sri Anandpur Sahib. As per this letter, Shri Lakhbir Singh has been directed to appear before the Commission and to supply the information to the Appellant.

From the perusal of the above letter, it is observed that the contents of the order dated 14.01.2019 have not been thoroughly perused as it contains only the direction to deposit penalty. It is made clear that an amount of Rs.2000/- is to be deposited in the relevant head of account of the Govt. as mentioned in the order dated 14.01.2019, which has to be deducted from the salary of the PIO/Respondent. Apart from this, Rs.2000/- is to be paid to the Appellant as compensation by way of crossed cheque/demand draft to be paid from the bank account of the Public Authority.

PIO/Respondent is directed to deposit the amount of penalty imposed in the relevant Head of Account within 2-3 days as also to pay the compensation amount awarded in favour of the Appellant on the next date. He is also directed to supply the information sought by the Appellant by registered post and produce postal receipt besides the proof of having deposited the penalty amount.

The case is adjourned to **16.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Dated: 27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ranjit Singh (Advocate),
Chamber No 122, MG Complex,
Distt. Court, Patiala.
M : 7696340224

....Applicant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Education, SGPC,
Panth Rattan Jathedar Gurcharan Singh Tohra
Sikh Institute, Bahadargarh,
District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Education, SGPC,
Panth Rattan Jathedar Gurcharan Singh Tohra
Sikh Institute, Bahadargarh,
District Patiala.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2006 of 2018

Present: Sh.Gaurav Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the Appellant.
None is present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 14.01.2019. The Appellant Sh.Ranjit Singh was absent, but an e-mail dated 12.01.2019 had been received from the Appellant whereby he had informed that the information received was incomplete as he had sought an attested copy of the selection proforma. None was present on the last hearing on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

Today, Shri Gaurav Gupta, Advocate comes present on behalf of the Appellant. Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. However, the PIO/Respondent has already supplied information to the Appellant vide letter dated 27.11.2018, a copy of which is handed over to the Advocate Sh.Gaurav Gupta, who is satisfied with the information supplied and also given in writing to this effect.

The appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Dated: 27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Navdeep Gupta

Kothi No.455, Gilco Velley, Kharar,
Distt. Mohali (M-8054098586)

....Applicant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development & Panchayat
Officer, Kot Isse Khan, Distt. Moga.

..Respondents

Complaint Case No. 442 of 2018

Present: Complainant Sh. Navdeep Gupta is absent.
Sh.Jagdeep Singh, Addl.Programme Officer is present
on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 14.01.2019, when the complainant Sh.Navdeep Gupta had come present and nobody had come present on behalf of Respondent/PIO. Sh. Navdeep Gupta comes present and states that no information has been supplied to him.

It was observed that in spite of the show cause notice, neither the information has been supplied to the complainant nor the PIO/Respondent has come present in today's hearing. In view of this, a penalty of Rs.2000/- (Rupees two thousand only) was imposed on the concerned PIO/Respondent, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Kot Isse Khan, District Moga which was to be deducted from the salary of the PIO and deposited in the Govt. Treasury under the relevant head mentioned in the order.

PIO/Respondent was directed to submit the proof of having deposited the penalty on the next date of hearing. PIO/Respondent was also directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing.

Today, though the Complainant is absent, but an e-mail has been received from him that he has received that complete information and that no further action is required from his side.

.....1/2



Complaint Case No. 442 of 2018

Shri Jagdeep Singh, Addl. Programme Officer, comes present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. He states that the information has been supplied, but on enquiring regarding the deposit of penalty, he does not produce any proof of having deposited the penalty of Rs.2000/- and prays for one or two days' time to deposit the same and produce the proof. As such, PIO is directed to deposit the penalty imposed within a week and send proof of the deposit of penalty.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **22.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Dated: 27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Vikas Garg

S/o Sh.Jawahar Lal,

#B-10-375, Near Old Gaushala

Barnala-148101(M-94176-77322)

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, Barnala

First Appellate Authority-cum-

Regional Deputy Director,

Local Govt. Deptt., Patiala..

.....Respondent.

Appeal Case No.1341 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Vikas Garg is present
Sh.Parvinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Barnala,
Respondent/PIO is present.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant was absent on the last date of hearing and had sought adjournment.

The PIO/ Respondent Sh.Parminder Singh, Executive Officer had come present and had brought with him the DD of Rs.1000/- as compensation awarded in favour of the appellant. He had been directed to contact the Appellant to hand over the said demand draft. The PIO/Respondent had also informed that the entire information comprising 348 pages against Receipt No.140 dated 27.12.2017, as per his Affidavit dated 15.09.2018, had been supplied to the Appellant vide letter dated 11.04.2018. It had also been affirmed in that affidavit that no other information was available with their office.

Today, the Appellant comes present. Respondent/PIO Sh.Parminder Singh comes present and hands over the compensation DD of Rs.1000/- to the Appellant in the court. He reiterates his version made during the last hearing regarding the supply of information to the appellant, as per their affidavit dated 15.09.2018. On enquiring from the Appellant whether he is satisfied with the information supplied, he expresses his satisfaction to the information supplied and also gives in writing to this effect

In view of the above, the appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to both the parties.

Dated:27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Vikas Garg (RTI Activist)

S/o Sh. Jawahar Lal,

#B-10-375, Near Old Gaushala

Barnala-148101(M-94176-77322)

..... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, Barnala

First Appellate Authority-cum-

Regional Deputy Director,

Local Govt. Deptt., Patiala..

.....Respondent.

Appeal Case No.1342 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh. Vikas Garg is present.
Sh. Parvinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Barnala,
Respondent/PIO is present.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant was absent on the last date of hearing and had sought adjournment.

The PIO/ Respondent Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer had come present and had brought with him the DD of Rs.1000/- as compensation awarded in favour of the appellant. He had been directed to contact the Appellant to hand over the said demand draft. The PIO/Respondent had also informed that the information as asked for by him vide Receipt No.635 dated 29.11.2017, had been supplied to the Appellant, as per his Affidavit dated 15.09.2018, vide letter dated 29.11.2017. It had also been affirmed in that affidavit that no other information was available with their office.

Today, the Appellant comes present. Respondent/PIO Sh. Parminder Singh comes present and hands over the compensation DD of Rs.1000/- to the Appellant in the court. He reiterates his version made during the last hearing regarding the supply of information to the appellant, as per their affidavit dated 15.09.2018.



Appeal Case No.1342 of 2018

On enquiring from the Appellant whether he is satisfied with the information supplied, he enquires from the PIO/Respondent regarding the map of 1955 and regarding Sh. Mehar Chand Mehta, Overseer, as at Sr.No.1 of RTI application. The PIO replies that no original record of the map of 1955 is available in their office and he is also unaware as to whether Sh. Mehar Chand Mehta was an employee of the Municipal Council, Barnala or not.

On being enquired regarding the answers given by the PIO/Respondent to his queries, he expresses satisfaction to the information supplied and also gives in writing to this effect

In view of the above, the appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to both the parties.

Dated:27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Vikas Garg (RTI Activist)
S/o Sh.Jawahar Lal,
#B-10-375, Near Old Gaushala
Barnala-148101(M-94176-77322)

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Barnala

First Appellate Authority-cum-
Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Deptt., Patiala.

.....Respondent.

Appeal Case No.1343 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Vikas Garg is present.
Sh.Parvinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Barnala,
Respondent/PIO is present.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant was absent on the last date of hearing and had sought adjournment.

The PIO/ Respondent Sh.Parminder Singh, Executive Officer had come present and had brought with him the DD of Rs.1000/- as compensation awarded in favour of the appellant. He had been directed to contact the Appellant to hand over the said demand draft. The PIO/Respondent had also informed that the information (comprising 2226 pages), as asked for by him vide Receipt No.107 dated 18.09.2017, had been supplied to the Appellant, as per his Affidavit dated 15.09.2018, vide letter dated 23.08.2018. It had also been affirmed in that affidavit that no other information was available with their office.

Today, the Appellant comes present. He states that the information for the period 08.11.2004 to 23.10.2007 is missing. The PIO/Respondents, who is present today, states that 2226 pages have been given to the Appellant and there is no other information available with them. PIO is given one last date to supply this information if it is available with them.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **16.04.2019 at 11.00 AM.**
Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing.
Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Dated:27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Vikas Garg (RTI Activist)
S/o Sh. Jawahar Lal,
#B-10-375, Near Old Gaushala
Barnala-148101(M-94176-77322)

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Barnala

First Appellate Authority-cum-
Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Deptt., Patiala..

.....Respondent.

Appeal Case No.1340 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh. Vikas Garg is present.
Sh. Parvinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Barnala,
Respondent/PIO is present.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant was absent on the last date of hearing and had sought adjournment.

Respondent/PIO had come present and had stated that he had brought the compensation DD of Rs.1000/- with him. He was directed to contact the Appellant to hand over the said demand draft to him personally. Regarding the information, he had stated that the entire information, as per his affidavit dated 15.09.2018, had been supplied to the Appellant. He had further stated that information asked for vide Receipt No.106 dated 15.09.2017 had been supplied vide letter dated 23.10.2017, as mentioned in the affidavit. It had also been mentioned that the roads about which the appellant had sought information, the plotting of those roads had been done by Colonization Department and only the record of road history was available in their office which had been supplied.

The Appellant was directed to send deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent by registered post. Last opportunity was given to him to come in person before the Commission; otherwise the case will be decided in his absence.

Appeal Case No.1340 of 2018



The Appellant comes present today and states that he has not received the complete information. The PIO/Respondent Sh.Parvinder Singh states that the requisite information has already been supplied. He states that it has already been clarified in the affidavit dated 15.09.2018 that the plotting of roads about which the appellant seeks information, has been done by the Colonisation Department and they have already sent copy of road history registered relating to these roads in another RTI.

On the asking of the Court, the Appellant expresses satisfaction to the information supplied to him and also gives in writing to this effect.

The appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Dated:27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Chamkaur Singh S/o Sh.Bahadur Singh
VPO Chak Bhai Ka, Tehsil Raikot,
District Ludhiana (M-8727923270)

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Distt. Social Security Officer
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
Director, Social Security and Women &
Child Development Department,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No.1351 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Chamkaur Singh is absent.
Nobody is present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 09.01.2019. The appellant was absent without any intimation. Nobody had come present on behalf of PIO/Respondent. However, an e-mail dated 08.01.2019 had been received along with letter No.2565 dated 08.01.2019, as per which the information had been supplied to the Appellant. It had also been informed that they have received e.mail from the Appellant for having received the information.

Since this e.mail had been sent from E.mail address of the PIO/Respondent, confirmation regarding receipt of information from the Appellant was required to be received. As such, last opportunity had been given to the Appellant to appear before the Commission to affirm the receipt of information, otherwise the case would be decided on merits.

Nobody has come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. The Appellant is also absent today. On going through the file, it is observed that the Appellant had been absent on the last two consecutive hearings and today, he is again absent in spite of having been given last opportunity to the appellant to affirm the receipt of information. It is presumed that he is satisfied with the information supplied to him.

In view of the above, the appeal is disposed of and the case is closed..

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Dated: 27.02.2019

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh.Puneet K.Shamra, Advocate

Ch.No.349, 2nd Floor,
Yadwindra Complex,
District Courts, Patiala
(M-98724-08553)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Superintending Engineer
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
O/o Superintending Engineer
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle
Jalandhar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.1377 of 2018

Present:

The Appellant Sh.Puneet K.Sharma is absent.
Sh.Alok Arora, SDO and Sh.Vikas Malhotra, Clerk present
on behalf of PIO/Respondent

ORDER:

The case last heard on 09.01.2019. The Appellant was absent on the last hearing. Sh.Gauravdeep Singh, Junior Engineer had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. He had produced postal receipt vide which they have sent the informant to the Appellant by registered post. However, he does not have copy of the information which had been sent to the appellant. The same was taken on record.

On the last hearing, it had been observed that the Appellant had been absent for the 3rd consecutive hearing. As such, last opportunity was given to the Appellant to inform if he was satisfied with the information supplied, failing which the case would be decided on merits. The PIO/Respondent was also directed to come present on the next date.

Today, the Appellant is absent from the hearing for the 4th consecutive time without any intimation. It appears that he is satisfied with the information supplied and he is no longer interested in pursuing the matter.

As such, the appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Both the parties be sent copies of the orders.

Dated: 27.02.2019

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gurdeep Singh Dhingi,

S/o Shri. Harnam Singh, R/o Block No 1,
House No. 390, Dharampura Mohalla, Dhuri,
Distt. Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Divisional Forest Officer, Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Conservator, Forest Department,
Shivalik Circle, PB, Mohali.

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1385 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Gurdeep Singh Dhingi is absent.
Sh. Taranjit Singh, Jr.Asstt. is present on behalf of
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 09.01.201. The Appellant Sh.Gurdeep Singh Dhingi was absent. Sh.Taranjit Singh, Junior Assistant had appeared on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the information had been supplied, but the Appellant had stated that he was satisfied with information regarding Point No.2 & 3 but he was not satisfied with the information on Point No.1 relating to list of workers with tree numbers for the year 2007. Representative/PIO had been directed to supply the same to the satisfaction of Appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing, failing which penalty would be imposed.

Today, the Appellant Sh.Gurdeep Singh Dhingi is absent. Sh.Taranjit Singh comes present on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He states that he has brought the information with him for handing over to the appellant. Since the Appellant is absent today, PIO/Respondent is directed to supply this information to the Appellant by registered post and produce the postal receipt for having sent the information, on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to **22.04.2019 at 11.00 A.M.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Dated:27.02.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab