**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma,**

**(Regd. Post)** Kothi no. 585, Phase 2,

Mohali (94639-50619) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Director Local Govt., Punjab,

Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35 Chandigarh. Respondent

 **Complaint Case No. 1015 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant.

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sandeep Kumar is present for today’s hearing states that letter as mentioned in the point no. 1 of the RTI application has not been received from the complainant in their office and information regarding point no. 2 relates with trust/corporation.
2. On this, complainant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma handed over his submission to the respondent during the hearing.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the information/reply as per the queries raised by the complainant in his RTI application to the complainant by the next date of hearing positively.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Karun Madan, Assist. Prof.**

**(Regd. Post)** Master Tara Singh College for Women,

Mata Rani Chowk, Ludhiana (90417-55150) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Principal Khalsa College for Women,

Sidhwan Khurd, District - Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Khalsa College for Women,

Sidhwan Khurd, District – Ludhiana. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2576 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Karun Madan, the applicant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

 **ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Karun Madan states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard which shows no regard to the Commission.
3. After going through the case file and discussing with the applicant, Sh. Karun Madan, states that he submitted the record to the college.
4. Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action against him will be initiated.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Rajeev Kumar S/o Sh. Amir Chand**

**(Regd. Post)** VPO Narot Jaimal Singh,

Tehsil & District Pathankot. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Registrar,

Punjabi University, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Vice - Chancellor,

Punjabi University, Patiala. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2577 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
2. Respondent PIO is directed to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action against him will be initiated.
3. Another opportunity is given to the applicant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **16.01.2018 at 11.30 A.M**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Tanveer Singh,**

**(Regd. Post)** H-9, Polytechnic Road, Dashmesh

Avenue, Block B, Near CIPET,

Amritsar – 143004 (99151-06112) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Baba Farid University

of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Registrar, Baba Farid University

of Health Sciences, Faridkot. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2591 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tanveer Singh, the applicant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Tanveer Singh states that information in connection with point no. 1 and 2 has been received. He further added that information in connection with 3, 4 and 5 is still pending from the respondent.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the notice of the Commission.
3. After hearing the applicant and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the pending information relates with point no. 3, 4 and 5 to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively. He is also directed to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
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**Appeal Case No. 2591 of 2017**

1. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over, Ms. Nikita Bansal (on behalf of Advocate, Sh. Nitin Kaushal) from the respondent side appeared and read out the above said order.

She submits a written reply signed and attested by PIO, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot (Pb.) and Registrar, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences (FAA) along with supporting documents dated 20.11.2017, which is taken on record.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu,**

**(Regd. Post)** Ward No. 25, Mohalla Radharka,

Mansa – 151505 (94630-20780) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Punjabi University, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Vice - Chancellor,

Punjabi University, Patiala. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2597 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Advocate, Sh. Vikrant Sharma.

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Vikrant Sharma is present for today’s hearing states that reply regarding the RTI application has already been supplied to the applicant through speed post 22.11.2017.
2. Applicant is not present for today’s hearing but an email has been received from the applicant, Sh. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu in the Commission vide diary no. 26881 dated 27.11.2017 stating that the applicant is unable to attend today’s hearing and requested for an adjournment. It is taken on record.
3. On the request of the applicant, another opportunity is given to the applicant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **16.01.2018 at 11.30 A.M**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Varun Khanna,**

**(Regd. Post)** 1st Floor, No. 13, Mahajan Market,

Gali Acharjan, Near Karmon Deori

Amritsar 143001 (93562-42125) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o The Divisional Commissioner cum

Chairperson, Fee Regulatory Body for regulating

fee of Un-aided Educational Institutions,

Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o The Divisional Commissioner cum

Chairperson, Fee Regulatory Body for regulating

fee of Un-aided Educational Institutions,

Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2607 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Varun Khanna, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Kewal Krishan, Superintendent.

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Kewal Krishan states that requisite information has been supplied to the applicant.

He also submits a written reply letter no. 5576 dated 24.11.2017 signed by himself as Superintendent Grade-I-cum-PIO, O/o Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

1. On this, applicant, Sh. Varun Khanna shows his dissatisfaction and states that information relates with only point no. 4 has been received from the respondent PIO. Information in connection with point no. 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 is still pending from the respondent.
2. After discussing with the respondent, it is observed that he is not aware about the facts of this case and states that, Sh. Viney Kumar, PA to Commissioner, Jalandhar is the concerned respondent PIO.
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**Appeal Case No. 2607 of 2017**

1. Respondent PIO, Sh. Viney Kumar, PA to Commissioner, Jalandhar is directed to appear in person along with point wise requisite information as per RTI application of the applicant on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:**

**Sh. Viney Kumar (PIO cum PA)**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

 Jalandhar
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Harnek Singh S/o Sh. Dhan Singh,**

**(Regd. Post)** R/o Village Kheri Jattan, (94636-11513)

Tehsil Dhuri, District Sangrur. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2618 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Harnek Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Naresh Kumar, Superintendent along with Sh. Nirmal Singh

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Naresh Kumar states that whatever information was available in the official record has already been supplied to the applicant.

He submits a written reply dated 22.11.2017 signed by Public Information Officer cum District Revenue Officer, Sangrur along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

1. On this, applicant, Sh. Harnek Singh shows his dissatisfaction and states that respondent PIO misleading the bench and not providing the requisite information to him deliberately.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to file a proper reply in the shape of an affidavit duly attested by the concerned respondent PIO stating that whatever information is available in the official record has already been supplied to the applicant. No other information is left to be supplied. He is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 2618 of 2017**.

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Duni Chand S/o Sh. Har Lal,**

**(Regd. Post)** R/o B - 20 -1298, Krishna Nagar,

Ludhiana. (94178-14871) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Faridkot. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2626 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Duni Chand, the applicant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Duni Chand states that supplied information is not attested.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard which shows no regard to the Commission.
3. After hearing the applicant and going through the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the attested copy of requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing. Respondent PIO is also directed to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case positively.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Rohit Sabharwal**

**(Regd. Post)** Kundan Bhawan, 126,

Model Gram, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Additional Chief Secretary,

Department Local Govt., Govt. of Punjab,

Punjab Civil Secretariat -2,

Sector 9, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Additional Chief Secretary,

Department Local Govt., Govt. of Punjab,

Punjab Civil Secretariat -2,

Sector 9, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2637 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant is not present for today’s hearing but an email has been received from the representative of the applicant, Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur in the Commission vide diary no. 26726 dated 24.11.2017 stating that the applicant is unable to attend today’s hearing and requested for an adjournment. In that email she further added that no information has been received from the applicant till date. It is taken on record.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard which shows no regard to the Commission.
3. After going through the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case along with directions to supply the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 2637 of 2017**

1. On the request of the representative of the applicant, matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**. along with advice to the applicant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Parveen Kumar**

**(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Babu Ram,** Arniwala Waziran,

PO – Phull Kherra, Tehsil Malout,

Dist – Shri Mutksar Sahib. (95309-91083) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Principal, MIMIT Malout,

District – Shri Muktsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Principal, MIMIT Malout,

District – Shri Muktsar Sahib. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2644 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 25.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 27.11.2017**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mukesh Saini (Assistant Registrar cum APIO) along with Sh. Karan (on behalf of third party, Ms. Shikha Grover).

 **ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Mukesh Saini is present for today’s hearing states that reply regarding the RTI application has already been supplied to the applicant. He orally states that requisite information relates with third party which can not be supplied to the applicant.
2. Respondent, Sh. Karan submits a copy of an order passed by State Information Commissioner, Sh. A.S. Chandurian in Complaint Case No. 104 of 2016 dated 25.05.2016 stating that respondent stated that the information sought by the complainant related to a third party who has denied to disclose her personal information. Complainant has thus been denied to supply the information under Section 8 (I) (g) of the RTI Act vide letter no. MIMIT/PIO/16/808 dated 13.05.2016.

In view of the above, case was disposed of & closed by SIC, Sh. A.S. Chandurian dated 25.05.2016. It is taken on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 2644 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 25.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 27.11.2017**

1. Neither the applicant, Sh. Parveen Kumar is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.
2. After hearing the respondents and going through the case file, it is found that requisite information relates with third party and it could not be supplied to the applicant. It is also observed that respondent has been denied to supply information under Section 8(I) (g) and (j) of the RTI Act vide letter no. MIMIT/PIO/17/627 dated 13.06.2017.

A similar case (Complaint Case No. 104 of 2016) has already been disposed of & closed by the SIC, Sh. A.S. Chandurian on the same ground that information sought by the complainant related to a third party who was denied to disclose her personal information.

1. In view of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Bharat Bhushan,**

**(Regd. Post)** H. No. 339, Sector -13,

Urban Estate, Kurukshetra, Haryana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Director, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35 Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Director, Local Govt.,

Punjab, Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35 Chandigarh. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2653 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Bharat Bhushan, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sandeep Kumar states that RTI application of the applicant was transferred to the concerned office, who is, Public Information Officer cum Executive Officer, Office of Nagar Council, Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur.

He submits a copy of letter no. 66490 dated 08.11.2017 signed by PIO cum Superintendent along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

1. Applicant, Sh. Bharat Bhushan states that no information has been received from the respondent till date.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that an email has been received from the respondent PIO, Office of Nagar Panchayat, Mahilpur vide diary no. 26936 dated 27.11.2017 stating that he is deputed in Election Duty and requested for an adjournment, which is taken on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 2653 of 2017**

1. On the request of the respondent, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, Office of Nagar Panchayat, Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur to supply the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing. He is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action against him will be initiated.
2. A copy of this order be sent to the respondent PIO, Office of Nagar Panchayat, Mahilpur, District Hoshiarpur **through registered post** for his ready reference.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11.30 A.M**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:**

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Nagar Council,

Mahilpur,

District Hoshiarpur
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Dr. Rajinder Kaur,**

**(Regd. Post)** # B-1 MIMIT, Residential Complex,

MIMIT Malout, (94787-38519)

Shri Muktsar Sahib - 152106 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Punjabi University,

Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Punjabi University,

Patiala. Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2696 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 03.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 27.11.2017**

**Present:** Ms. Rajinder Kaur, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate, Sh. Vikrant Sharma.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Vikrant Sharma states that reply regarding RTI application to the applicant. He also submits a written reply letter no. 9496 dated 22.11.2017 signed by PIO (Registrar), Punjabi University, Patiala along with a copy of letter no. 224 dated 09.01.2017, both are taken on record.
2. On this, the applicant, Ms. Rajinder Kaur states that she is satisfied with the supplied reply to her by the respondent.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that reply supplied by respondent PIO fulfill all the aspects of RTI application of the applicant and no further cause of action is required in this case as applicant, Ms. Rajinder Kaur states that she is satisfied with the supplied information during the hearing. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 27.11.2017 State Information Commissioner**