

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Dr. K. K. Jindal (94172-87581, 88473-10898)**

House No. 25883/14089,  
Very Near Gole Chakkar,  
Ganesha Basti, Bathinda-151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3330 of 2018**

**Present:** Dr. K. K. Jindal, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (SA) along with Sh. Ranjot Singh (Clerk)  
(98763-85500).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.03.2019.
2. Both the parties are present for today's hearing to represent this case before the Commission.
3. It is found that that other case (Appeal Case No. 3331 of 2018) of the appellant has already been sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Larger/Division Bench to hear and decide this case.
4. Accordingly, **this case file is also sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Larger/Division Bench** to hear and decide this case as both the parties are same in the present case i.e. AC:3330 of 2018 and in other case i.e. AC:3331 of 2018.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:**

**The Deputy Registrar**  
**(Alongwith Case File)**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Dr. K. K. Jindal (94172-87581, 88473-10898)**

House No. 25883/14089,  
Very Near Gole Chakkar,  
Ganesha Basti, Bathinda-151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,  
SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3331 of 2018**

**Present:** Dr. K. K. Jindal, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (SA) along with Sh. Ranjot Singh (Clerk)  
(98763-85500).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.03.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Ranjot Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant in the year 2009. He added that file relates with RTI application has been traced and Enquiry Officer has been appointed by the department to take the action on the concerned official as per the previous order of the Commission dated 18.03.2019 for the inquiry, which is the departmental process.
3. On this, appellant, Dr. K. K. Jindal states that he is not satisfied with the supplied reply.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that reply as per the RTI application has already been supplied by the respondent. As the appellant is not satisfied, therefore, **this case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to constitute a Larger/Division Bench** to hear and decide this case.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:**

**The Deputy Registrar**  
**(Alongwith Case File)**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Jaswant Singh (94654-77771)**  
S/o Sh. Darbari Lal Sarpal  
#2220/2, Gali Arian I/s Mahan Singh Gate,  
Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

**(Regd. Post)** **Public Information Officer/APIO**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**(Regd. Post)** **First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Parminderjit Singh (PIO-Cum-ATP),**  
O/o MTP, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 2203 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Singh, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Sanjeev Devgan (ATP) (85916-73462)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.03.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Devgan states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant in appeal case no. 2067 of 2017, which was disposed of & closed by the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. N.S. Brar, as per the official record. He added that the then respondent PIO, Sh. Parminderjit Singh was called to appear in person to clear the facts of this case.
3. Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. He added that requisite information is different from the Appeal Case No. 2067 of 2017.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that following hearings have been made in the above mentioned case:
  - i) On the first hearing held on **21.08.2018**, respondent PIO was absent without any intimation to the Commission and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.09.2018.
  - ii) On hearing held on **18.09.2018**, respondent PIO requested for an adjournment in this case through an email dated 18.09.2018. Request of the respondent was granted and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 10.10.2018. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 10.10.2018 was postponed due to Declaration of Public Holiday on the occasion of Maharaja Aggarsen Jayanti and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.11.2018.

**Appeal Case No.: 2203 of 2018**

- iii) On hearing held on **19.11.2018**, respondent PIO was continuously absent third time without any intimation to the Commission and a **Show Cause** Notice was issued to him and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.12.2018. A copy of this order was also sent to the First Appellate Authority, Office of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post.
- iv) On hearing held on 04.12.2018, respondent PIO was continuously absent fourth time without any intimation to the Commission and **Compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/-** was awarded to the appellant due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, as appellant suffered a lot of detriments for not getting information. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 09.01.2019. A copy of this order was also sent to the First Appellate Authority, Office of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post.
- v) On hearing held on **09.01.2019**, respondent PIO was absent fifth time. **Penalty amounting Rs. 10,000/-** was in the backdrop of aforementioned factual position, initiation of action under Section 20 (1) Right to Information Act 2005, against the concerned defaulting officer are called for. Therefore, left with no option but to decide the question of imposition of Penalty upon the concerned respondent PIO, O/o the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. A copy of this order was also sent to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post to ensure that this amount is deducted from the salary of the concerned defaulting official and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 13.02.2019,
- vi) On hearing held on **13.02.2019**, respondent PIO was absent sixth time and **Bailable Warrant** was issued in the name of **Sh. Sanjeev Devgan** (ATP, MC, Amritsar) as per the telephonic conversation with Sh. Paramjit Singh (Building Inspector-Cum-APIO) (98039-52100), O/o MTP, MC, Amritsar and Sh. Anil Arora (Superintendent) (98886-92011), O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar) intimated the Commission that Sh. Sanjeev Devgan, ATP, MC, Amritsar is the concerned respondent PIO. A copy of this order along with Bailable Warrant was sent to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar through registered post. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 25.03.2019
- vii) On hearing held on **25.03.2019**, respondent, Sh. **Sanjeev Devgan** stated that he is **new joining in his office** and concerned respondent PIO and other staff members have not handed over the previous record to him and same information has already been supplied to the appellant in AC: 2067 of 2017 and AC: 2101 of 2017 which were already decided and closed by the Bench of Ld. SIC Sh. Nidharak Singh Brar. He also mentioned that warrant should be on the name of previous respondent PIO.

**Appeal Case No.: 2203 of 2018**

He added that he has sent his reply through an email to the Bench of undersigned. He requested to call the earlier concerned respondent PIO-cum-ATP, who is **Sh. Parminderjit Singh**. He further added that AC:2204 of 2018 is not concerned with their office as Bailable Warrant is also issued to him in that case and requested to exempt him from the Appeal Case No. 2204 of 2018.

Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date. He added that respondent PIO is different in AC: 2204 of 2018 as that case relates with Office of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. He added that information is not exactly same of the present case and other cases (AC: 2067 of 2017 and AC: 2101 of 2017).

A copy of this order was sent to the then respondent PIO, Sh. Parminderjit Singh through registered post by name to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Devgan was also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing. A copy of RTI application is handed over to him during the hearing. He was exempted in Appeal Case No. 2204 of 2018. A copy of this case was sent to him by name through registered post. An email dated 24.03.2019 was received from him along with supporting documents, which was taken on record. A copy of this order was sent to The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar by name for compliance of this order. A copy of this order was sent to The Commissioner of Police, Amritsar by name for compliance of this order. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 27.05.2019 i.e. today but the respondent PIO, Sh. Parminderjit Singh failed to represent this case.

5. After hearing both the parties in today's hearing and examining the documents placed on record, I have gone through the orders dated 21.08.2018, 18.09.2018, 19.11.2018, 04.12.2018, 09.01.2019, 13.02.2019, and 25.03.2019 passed by the Commission. Neither the concerned respondent/PIO has come present nor did he send any application regarding his absence before the Commission inspite of the directions issued to him.

6. The conduct of the Respondent/PIO is not fair and he is not performing his duties and he has not provided the sought for information to the appellant whereas the appellant had filed the RTI application on 09.03.2018 and till today, the Respondent/PIO has not provided the sought for information to the appellant. As the Respondent/ PIO is not coming personally and deliberately.

So, a **Bailable Warrant of Respondent/PIO-Sh. Parminderjit Singh**, Office of MTP, MC, Amritsar is issued through the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar for his personal presence on 22.07.2019. The Respondent/ PIO is directed to come present before the Commission along with copy of the sought for information and compliance with the previous orders of the Commission.

**Appeal Case No.: 2203 of 2018**

7. Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Devgan is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.
8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **22.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Sanjeev Devgan (PIO-Cum-ATP)**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

# **BAILABLE WARRANT**

**BEFORE DR. PAWAN KUMAR SINGLA, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,  
PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH**

**IN THE CASE TITLED AS**

**Sh. Jaswant Sarpal**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer, O/o the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar**

**Appeal Case No. 2203 of 2018**

**UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005**

**Next Date of Hearing 22.07.2019**

**To**

**The Senior Superintendent of Police,**

**Amritsar**

**Whereas Shri Parminderjit Singh, Public Information Officer, O/o the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notices/summon in the above mentioned Appeal Case. Therefore, you are, hereby directed to serve this Bailable Warrant on Shri Parminderjit Singh (PIO), O/o the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh on 22.07.2019 to produce the record and give evidence pertaining to the RTI queries dated 09.03.2018.**

**Chandigarh  
Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Jaswant Sarpal (94654-77771)**  
S/o Sh. Darbari Lal Sarpal  
#2220/2, Gali Arian I/s Mahan Singh Gate,  
Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

**(Regd. Post)** **Public Information Officer/APIO**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**(Regd. Post)** **First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 2204 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Sarpal, the appellant in person.  
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.03.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.
4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that following hearings have been made in the above mentioned case:
  - i) On the first hearing held on **21.08.2018**, respondent PIO was absent without any intimation to the Commission and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.09.2018.
  - ii) On hearing held on **18.09.2018**, respondent PIO requested for an adjournment in this case through an email dated 18.09.2018. Request of the respondent was granted and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 10.10.2018. Hearing which was earlier fixed for 10.10.2018 was postponed due to Declaration of Public Holiday on the occasion of Maharaja Aggarsen Jayanti and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.11.2018.
  - iii) On hearing held on **19.11.2018**, respondent PIO was continuously absent third time without any intimation to the Commission and a **Show Cause** Notice was issued to him and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.12.2018. A copy of this order was also sent to the First Appellate Authority, Office of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post.



- iv) On hearing held on **04.12.2018** respondent PIO was continuously absent fourth time without any intimation to the Commission and **Compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/-** was awarded to the appellant due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, as appellant suffered a lot of detriments for not getting information. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 09.01.2019. A copy of this order was also sent to the First Appellate Authority, Office of the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post.
- v) On hearing held on **09.01.2019**, respondent PIO was absent fifth time. **Penalty amounting Rs. 10,000/-** was in the backdrop of aforementioned factual position, initiation of action under Section 20 (1) Right to Information Act 2005, against the concerned defaulting officer are called for. Therefore, left with no option but to decide the question of imposition of Penalty upon the concerned respondent PIO, O/o the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. A copy of this order was also sent to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post to ensure that this amount is deducted from the salary of the concerned defaulting official and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 13.02.2019,
- vi) On hearing held on **13.02.2019**, respondent PIO was absent sixth time and **Bailable Warrant** was issued in the name of **Sh. Sanjeev Devgan** (ATP, MC, Amritsar) as per the telephonic conversation with Sh. Paramjit Singh (Building Inspector-Cum-APIO) (98039-52100), O/o MTP, MC, Amritsar and Sh. Anil Arora (Superintendent) (98886-92011), O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar) intimated the Commission that Sh. Sanjeev Devgan, ATP, MC, Amritsar is the concerned respondent PIO. A copy of this order along with Bailable Warrant was sent to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar through registered post. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 25.03.2019
- vii) On hearing held on **25.03.2019**, respondent, Sh. **Sanjeev Devgan** stated that he is **new joining in his office** and the above mentioned case is not concerned with his office and Bailable warrant is issued to him in the present case i.e. AC:2204 of 2018 and in other case (AC:2203 of 2018). He requested to exempt him from the present Appeal Case No. 2204 of 2018.
- Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal is also mentioned that the present case i.e. AC: 2204 of 2018 relates with the respondent PIO, Office of the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

A copy of this order was sent to the respondent PIO, Office of the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar through registered post by name on appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. Respondent, Sh. Sanjeev Devgan was exempted in this case. A copy of this order was sent to The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar by name for compliance of this order. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 27.05.2019 i.e. today but the concerned respondent PIO, O/o the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar failed to represent this case.

5. It is also observed that following emails have been received from the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh by the bench of undersigned :

- An email dated 21.08.2018 from email ID [mtpamritsar@gmail.com](mailto:mtpamritsar@gmail.com) comprising a letter dated 21.08.2018, signed by Sh. Paramjit Singh (PIO), Building Inspector, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar through which he requested for an adjournment in this case.
- An email dated 18.09.2018 from email ID [mtpamritsar@gmail.com](mailto:mtpamritsar@gmail.com) comprising a letter dated 17.09.2018, signed by Sh. Paramjit Singh (PIO), Building Inspector, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar through which he requested for an adjournment in this case.
- An email dated 19.11.2018 from email ID [mtpamritsar@gmail.com](mailto:mtpamritsar@gmail.com) comprising a letter dated 19.11.2018, signed by Sh. Paramjit Singh (PIO), Building Inspector, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar through which he requested for an adjournment in this case.
- An email dated 04.12.2018 from email ID [mtpamritsar@gmail.com](mailto:mtpamritsar@gmail.com) comprising a letter dated 04.12.2018, signed by Sh. Paramjit Singh (PIO), Building Inspector, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar through which he requested for an adjournment in this case.

6. After hearing the appellant in today's hearing and examining the documents placed on record, I have gone through the orders dated 21.08.2018, 18.09.2018, 19.11.2018, 04.12.2018, 09.01.2019, 13.02.2019, and 25.03.2019 passed by the Commission. Respondent/PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh failed to represent this case before the Commission inspite of the directions issued to him.

7. A last and final opportunity is given to the Respondent/PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, Building Inspector O/o Nagar Nigam, Amritsar to appear in person along with copy of sought for information. He is also directed for the compliance with the previous orders of the Commission. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through **registered post** for his ready reference, failing to which warrant will be issued to him.

8. A Copy of this order be sent to the First Appellate Authority by name through **registered post** for the compliance of this order.

9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **22.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Paramjit Singh (Building Inspector-Cum-PIO)**  
O/o Nagar Nigam,  
Amritsar

**(Regd. Post)** **First Appellate Authority (By Name)**  
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Jaswant Sarpal (94654-77771)**

S/o Sh. Darbari Lal Sarpal  
#2220/2, Gali Arian I/s Mahan Singh Gate,  
Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3951 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 19.11.2018**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Sarpal, the appellant in person  
For the respondent: Sh. Arun Malhotra (JA)(98889-78655)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.03.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Arun Malhotra states that whatever information was available in the official record has already been supplied to the appellant and he presented a copy of the supplied reply during the hearing.
3. Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal is present for today's hearing.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of view that supplied reply fulfills all the aspect of the RTI application. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Jaswant Sarpal (94654-77771)**

S/o Sh. Darbari Lal Sarpal  
#2220/2, Gali Arian I/s Mahan Singh Gate,  
Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3952 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 19.11.2018**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Sarpal, the appellant in person  
For the respondent: Sh. Arun Malhotra (JA)(98889-78655)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.03.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Arun Malhotra handed over to the appellant during the hearing.
3. Appellant, Sh. Jaswant Sarpal is present for today's hearing states that he is not satisfied with the supplied information.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of view that supplied reply fulfills all the aspect of the RTI application. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Sumesh Kumar (7009621218)**

S/o Sh. Hakim Rai,  
Ward No. 10, Jain Mohalla,  
Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o The Principal,  
National Model Middle School,  
Patti, District Tarn Taran, Punjab

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.: 28 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 02.01.2019**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra on behalf of the complainant.  
For the respondent: Advocate, Gaurav Tripathi

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Advocate, Gaurav Tripathi handed over reply dated 27.05.2019 along with an affidavit in original to the representative of the complainant, Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra during the hearing. He submits a copy of same to the Commission, which is taken on record.
3. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra states that RTI application was sent to the respondent through registered post dated 05.12.2018, which was not accepted by the respondent PIO.

After going through the supplied reply and affidavit, representative of the complainant, Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra demanded the copy of DDR.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a copy of DDR is handed over to the representative of the complainant, Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra during the hearing from the case file as respondent submitted on the previous hearing held on 09.04.2019. As the information stands supplied. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Surinder Kumar Bajaj**

S/o Sh. Hari Chand Bajaj,  
Street No. 1, W.No. 2,  
House No. 4/126, Gobind Nagari, Malout-152107,  
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sec. School (Boys),  
Tehsil Road, Malout  
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal, Govt. Sen. Sec. School (Boys),  
Tehsil Road, Malout  
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 358 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 15.01.2019**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Advocate, Prem Singh

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Surinder Kumar Bajaj is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 26.05.2019 has been received from email ID [aimsedu85@gmail.com](mailto:aimsedu85@gmail.com) by the bench of undersigned stating that information relates with point no. 2 is still pending from the respondent. This mail is taken on record.
3. Respondent, Advocate, Prem Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and same has been submitted to the Commission on the previous hearing held on 09.04.2019. He added that that priority basis is mentioned in the supplied information.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that requisite information relates with point no. 2 has already been supplied to the appellant. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Jonny Singla (94789-85098)**

267, Street No. 4,  
Green Avaneew, Bibi Wala Road,  
Bathinda (Punjab)-151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DEO (SE),  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Mini Secretariat (Near Bharat Nagar Chowk),  
Ludhiana-141001

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE),  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Mini Secretariat (Near Bharat Nagar Chowk),  
Ludhiana-141001

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 370 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 15.01.2019**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Rana Bahadur Singh (JA)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jonny Singla is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 16.05.2019 has been received from email ID [jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com](mailto:jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com) by the bench of undersigned stating that he has received the complete information from the office of DEO (SE), Ludhiana and satisfied with the supplied information. This mail is taken on record.
3. Respondent, Sh. Rana Bahadur Singh is present for today's hearing.
4. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Jonny Singla (94789-85098)**

267, Street No. 4,  
Green Avaneew, Bibi Wala Road,  
Bathinda (Punjab)-151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DEO (SE),  
Tarn Taran-143401

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE),  
Tarn Taran-143401

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 371 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 15.01.2019**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Naresh Kumar (SA)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Naresh Kumar states that requisite information is not compiled at one place as it relates with approximately 272 schools and each and every school has his own PIO. He added that RTI application was transferred to the schools. He further added that deputation authority is Office of DPI. He submits his reply signed by him dated 27.05.2019, which is taken on record.
3. Appellant, Sh. Jonny Singla is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 26.05.2019 has been received from email ID [jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com](mailto:jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com) by the bench of undersigned stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. He added that requisite information is still pending from the respondent. This mail is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information is voluminous in nature. Appellant, Sh. Jonny Singla is advised to file a fresh RTI to the concerned office to collect the requisite information. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Jonny Singla (94789-85098)**

267, Street No. 4,  
Green Avaneew, Bibi Wala Road,  
Bathinda (Punjab)-151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DEO (SE),  
Tarn Taran-143401

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE),  
Tarn Taran-143401

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 372 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 15.01.2019**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Naresh Kumar (SA)

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Naresh Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. He submits his reply signed by him dated 27.05.2019 along with copy of letter no. 19427 dated 04.06.2018, which is taken on record.
3. Appellant, Sh. Jonny Singla is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 26.05.2019 has been received from email ID [jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com](mailto:jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com) by the bench of undersigned stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. He added that requisite information is still pending from the respondent. This mail is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Amir Chand**

S/o Sh. Beli Ram,  
House No. 589, Street No. 2,  
Ram Vihar, PO Basti,  
Jodhewal, Raho Road, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DSP (Local) (Rural),  
Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SSP, Jalandhar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 382 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. Amir Chand, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Ashwani Kumar (SI).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Amir Chand states that incomplete information has been supplied to him as certificates are still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. On this, respondent, Sh. Ashwani Kumar states that certificates cannot be supplied as it exempted under Section 8 and rest requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, certificates cannot be supplied to the appellant as it relate with third party and rest of the information has already been supplied to the appellant. Hence, no cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Amir Chand**  
S/o Sh. Beli Ram,  
House No. 589, Street No. 2,  
Ram Vihar, PO Basti,  
Jodhewal, Raho Road, Ludhiana

Versus

Appellant

**(Regd. Post)** **Public Information Officer**  
Cum Superintendent,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Jalandhar

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o DDPO,  
Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Jalandhar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 383 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. Amir Chand, the appellant in person.  
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Amir Chand states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. Respondent PIO is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission.
4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed following letters and emails have been received by the bench of undersigned:
  - a) A letter no. 173 dated 27.03.2019 signed by the District Revenue Officer-Cum-Public Information Officer, O/o the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar along with supporting documents, was received in the Commission at diary no. 6976 dated 02.04.2019 stating that requisite information does not relate with their office and concerned First Appellate Authority is the DDPO and RTI application was sent to the DDPO-Cum-FAA. In that letter he assigned duty of the DDPO to represent this case.
  - b) An email dated 08.04.2019 from email ID [bdpo.phillaur@yahoo.in](mailto:bdpo.phillaur@yahoo.in) comprising a letter no. 5700 dated 25.09.2018 signed by the DDPO, Jalandhar through which he transferred RTI application under Section 6(3). This email comprising two letters
    - i) A letter no. 135 dated 16.11.2018 addressed to the DDPO, Jalandhar, signed by the BDPO, Phillaur stating that RTI application is returned as it relate with the Revenue Office.

- ii) A letter no. 15 dated 05.04.2019 signed by the BDPO addressed to the Commission stating that RTI application was returned to the DDPO Office through letter no. 135-36 dated 16.11.2018 as requisite information does not relate with the Office of BDPO.

5. It is also observed that letter no. 15 dated 05.04.2019 along with supporting documents, an email dated 10.05.2019 from BDPO and letter no. 41 dated 10.05.2019 have been received from the BDPO, Phillaur stating the same RTI application not related with the Office of BDPO, Phillaur.

6. I am of the view that notice of hearing fixed for 09.04.2019 and copy of previous order were sent to the respondent PIO, O/o DDPO, Jalandhar through registered post but nobody appeared today from the office of DDPO, which shows no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.

7. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, Office of DDPO, Jalandhar to appear in person to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)**      **Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
O/o DDPO,  
Jalandhar

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**(Regd. Post)** **Sh. Amir Chand**  
S/o Sh. Beli Ram,  
House No. 589, Street No. 2,  
Ram Vihar, PO Basti,  
Jodhewal, Raho Road, Ludhiana  
Versus

Appellant

**(Regd. Post)** **Public Information Officer**  
O/o XEN, Nagar Council,  
Philalur, Distt. Jalandhar

**(Regd. Post)** **First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Deputy Director Local Govt. Department,  
Punjab Govt., Jalandhar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 386 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. Amrit Pal Singh on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Jaswant Rai (JA) (94640-78933).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.04.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Jaswant Rai states that time period is not mentioned in the RTI application so requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant and reply has already been sent to the appellant.
3. Appellant, Sh. Amir Chand states that information of one year 2018-19 is required in connection with point no. ਓ, ਅ and ਏ.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information in connection with point no. ਓ, ਅ and ਏ of one year 2018-19 to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively.
5. Appellant, Sh. Amir Chand is advised to file an RTI application with time period in future to the concerned offices to collect the requisite information.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.05.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**