

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Shivani Jaswal, (M: 94646-47051),
Municipal Councilor,
H.No. 306, Phase-1-B, Shivalik Avenue,
Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o M.C. Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt.
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3300 of 2019

Present:- Advocate Manish Mehra is present on behalf of Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant. Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, O/o EO, Nangal is present along with Dr. S.S. Dhariwal, Advocate.

O R D E R

Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.03.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o M.C., Nangal, Distt. Ropar.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 15.03.2019 filed by Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant and as stated by her counsel, she is the sitting councilor in the Municipal Council, Nangal. She has filed RTI application for getting some information from the office of the PIO.

The PIO wrote letter no.1221 dated 12.04.2019 to the appellant and asked her to visit the office of the PIO for making inspection of the relevant record as the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in size, but the appellant has not visited the office of the PIO for making inspection of the record.

I have gone through the Order dated 29.10.2019 and as per the Order a direction was issued to the appellant to visit the office of the PIO on 04.11.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, M.C. Nangal will be responsible to provide the relevant record to the appellant for inspection and provide attested copies of sought for information, specifically para-wise to the appellant within 3 days from the date of inspection.

Appeal Case No. 3300 of 2019

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO which was received in the office of the Commission on 22.11.2019 and diarized vide no.22575 and it is a copy of letter no.4468 dated 09.11.2019. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the PIO that as per the Order passed by the Commission, Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant has not visited the office of the PIO on 04.11.2019 at 10:00, rather she has visited the office of the PIO on the same date at 3:30 P.M. along with her counsel and the relevant record was presented for inspection.

Letter no.4431 dated 06.11.2019 was sent to Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant by the PIO regarding the above said submissions.

Today at the time of hearing the PIO has submitted another letter no.4587 dated 25.11.2019 and in addition to the above said submissions and facts it is submitted in the letter that after 04.11.2019 till 25.11.2019 the appellant has not visited the office of the PIO regarding her claim.

As it is brought to the notice of the Commission that the appellant, Smt. Shivani Jaswal is the elected Municipal Councilor in the said Council and instead of the directions issued by the Commission she has not visited the office of the PIO on the said time and date given to her for the inspection rather she has visited the office of the PIO on same date at 3:30 P.M and the relevant record was presented to her for inspection and after that date till 25.11.2019 she has not visited the office of the PIO neither she submitted any application. It seems the appellant is not interested in getting the information that is why she has not complied with the Order of the Commission. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Shivani Jaswal, Municipal Councilor, (M: 94646-47051),
H.No. 306, Phase-1-B, Shivalik Avenue,
Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o M.C. Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3301 of 2019

Present:- Advocate Manish Mehra is present on behalf of Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant.
Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, O/o EO, Nangal is present along with Dr.
S.S. Dhariwal, Advocate.

O R D E R

Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.03.2019 and she sought some information regarding the appointment of Shri Yudhvir Singh, JE/SDO who remained posted at office of M.C. Nangal from time to time.

I have gone through the Order dated 29.10.2019. The information was denied by the PIO, but I being not consented with the reply of the PIO directed the PIO to provide the sought for information to the appellant.

In compliance to the Order passed by the Commission the PIO submitted a copy of letter no.4496 dated 13.11.2019 through which the required information from annexure 1 to 10 was provided to the appellant, Smt. Shivani Jaswal. The information provided through annexures are a copy of Transfer Order dated 25.10.1999, copy of Transfer Order dated 20.06.2005, copy of Transfer Order dated 29.05.2009, copy of Transfer Order dated 22.06.2011, copy of Transfer Order dated 16.05.2012, copy of Transfer Order dated 01.01.2019, copy of Transfer Order dated 15.07.2014 and copy of Transfer Order dated 31.12.2014 and these transfer orders are related with the transfers of Shri Yudhvir Singh, JE/SDO transferred at Municipal Council, Nangal.

Advocate Manish Mehra, who is present on behalf of the appellant, Smt. Shivani Jaswal admitted that all these transfer orders were provided to the appellant by the PIO. Shri Manish Mehra, Advocate is claiming that though the appellant has received the transfer orders

Contd..p2..

but it has not been provided to the appellant that on which date Shri Yudhvir Singh, JE/SDO joined and on which date he was relieved.

The definition of information as defined U/s 2(f) of the RTI Act is very much clear which is as under :

“ ‘Information’ means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force.”

So, as per the definition of ‘information’ the documents related with the information which was available in the office of the PIO-cum-EO, M.C. Nangal regarding the transfers of Shri Yudhvir Singh, JE/SDO had already been provided and beyond this Advocate Manish Mehra, who appeared on behalf of the appellant is asking the answers to the queries which does not come within the ambit of the definition of information.

So, I am of the view that the information which was sought by the appellant regarding transfer of Shri Yudhvir Singh, JE/SDO had already been provided to the appellant by the PIO and he willfully provided the information as was sought by the appellant through her RTI application dated 12.03.2019. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Shivani Jaswal, (M: 94646-47051),
Municipal Councilor,
H.No. 306, Phase-1-B, Shivalik Avenue,
Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o M.C. Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt.
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3302 of 2019

Present:- Advocate Manish Mehra is present on behalf of Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant.
Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, O/o EO, Nangal is present along with Dr.
S.S. Dhariwal, Advocate.

O R D E R

Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.03.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o M.C., Nangal, Distt. Ropar.

Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO stated that the entire information sought by the appellant through her RTI application dated 27.03.2019 has been provided to her vide letter dated 13.11.2019. The appellant has made a noting "received 2 pages of reply plus 46 pages of information on 15.11.2019" on the said letter. Even then the Advocate who appeared on behalf of the appellant stated that the information is not complete, but the appellant had not brought any deficiency to the notice of the PIO.

In the interest of justice another opportunity is granted to the appellant Smt. Shivani Jaswal that in case she is not satisfied with the information provided to her by the PIO then she should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 2 days and in case the appellant submits the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, then the PIO should provide the remaining information to the appellant, if any, within 5 days from the receipt of list of deficiencies.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Shivani Jaswal, (M: 94646-47051),
Municipal Councilor,
H.No. 306, Phase-1-B, Shivalik Avenue,
Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o M.C. Nangal,
Distt. Ropar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt.
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3303 of 2019

Present:- Advocate Manish Mehra is present on behalf of Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant.
Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, O/o EO, Nangal is present along with Dr.
S.S. Dhariwal, Advocate.

O R D E R

Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o M.C., Nangal, Distt. Ropar.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 01.04.2019 filed by Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant and as stated by her counsel, she is the sitting councilor in the Municipal Council, Nangal. She has filed RTI application for getting some information from the office of the PIO.

I have gone through the Order dated 29.10.2019 and as per the Order a direction was issued to the appellant to visit the office of the PIO on 04.11.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and Shri Manjinder Singh, PIO-cum-EO, M.C. Nangal will be responsible to provide the relevant record to the appellant for inspection and provide attested copies of sought for information, specifically para-wise to the appellant within 3 days from the date of inspection.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO which was received in the office of the Commission on 22.11.2019 and diarized vide no.22575 and it is a copy of letter no.4468 dated 09.11.2019. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the PIO that as per the Order passed by the Commission, Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant has not visited

Appeal Case No. 3303 of 2019

the office of the PIO on 04.11.2019 at 10:00, rather she has visited the office of the PIO on the same date at 3:30 P.M. along with her counsel and the relevant record was presented for inspection.

Letter no.4431 dated 06.11.2019 was sent to Smt. Shivani Jaswal, appellant by the PIO regarding the above said submissions.

Today at the time of hearing the PIO has submitted another letter no.4587 dated 25.11.2019 and in addition to the above said submissions and facts it is submitted in the letter that after 04.11.2019 till 25.11.2019 the appellant has not visited the office of the PIO regarding her claim.

As it is brought to the notice of the Commission that the appellant, Smt. Shivani Jaswal is the elected Municipal Councilor in the said Council and instead of the directions issued by the Commission she has not visited the office of the PIO on the said time and date given to her for the inspection rather she has visited the office of the PIO on same date at 3:30 P.M and the relevant record was presented to her for inspection and after that date till 25.11.2019 she has not visited the office of the PIO neither she submitted any application. It seems the appellant is not interested in getting the information that is why she has not complied with the Order of the Commission. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jatinder Pal Singh, (M: 89680-01313),
S/o Shri Manjeet Singh,
1886/56, Inder Nagri,
Jalalabad (West),
Distt. Fazilka.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, Eastern Canal Division Colony,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SE, Ferozepur Canal Circle,
Canal Colony, Ferozepur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3786 of 2019

Present:- Shri Jatinder Pal Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Sunil Kumar, APIO-cum-SDO is present on behalf of Shri Jagtar Singh, PIO-cum-XEN, Eastern Canal Division Colony, Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Shri Jatinder Pal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o XEN, Eastern Canal Division Colony, Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.09.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant though is absent, but he sent an e-mail that his wife is suffering from Cancer and she is getting treatment from Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Hospital, New Delhi and on 26.11.2019 she has an appointment at the said Hospital. That is why he is unable to appear before the Bench in the present case for today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 25.07.2019 and discussed about the sought for information with Shri Sunil Kumar, APIO. The reply was sent to the appellant by the PIO vide letter no. 944 dated 09.09.2019. The Respondent has submitted a copy of letter no. 944 dated 09.09.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and the reply sent by the PIO. The appellant has sought certified copy of complaint register and it is replied by the PIO that no

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 3786 of 2019

complaint register is maintained in the office of the PIO for registering the complaints sent by the public. Rest of the information sought through para nos. 2 to 4 of the RTI application are not specific, rather it is vague information. Reply was rightly been sent to the appellant. So, I think there is no need to proceed the appeal case further. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Nand Kishore,
S/o Shri Sardari Lal,
716, Hargobind Nagar,
Phagwara.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o E.O., Improvement Trust,
Phagwara.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Bodies,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3813 of 2019

Present:- Shri Nand Kishore, appellant is absent.
Shri Sandeep Mitter, APIO-cum-Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Rajesh Chaudhary, PIO-cum-EO, Improvement Trust, Phagwara.

O R D E R

Shri Nand Kishore, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.04.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o E.O., Improvement Trust, Phagwara. When no information was provided to the appellant by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.06.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 14.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the APIO has come present on behalf of the PIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.04.2019 and discussed the matter regarding the sought for information with the respondent who has come present on behalf of the PIO. He has submitted a copy of letter no. 492 dated 07.10.2019, which was written by the APIO to Shri Nand Kishore, appellant and asked him to come present in the office of the PIO on 09.10.2019 for the disposal of RTI application. It is further stated by the respondent that the appellant has not come present in the office of the PIO as was asked by the APIO.

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 3813 of 2019

So, the appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 09.12.2019 at 10.00 AM and meet the PIO for the inspection of records and in case the appellant comes to the office of the PIO on the said date and time then the PIO should provide him the relevant record for inspection and provide him the attested copies of documents which will be claimed by him, but that should be within the purview of the RTI application and after providing the information to the appellant get receipt from the appellant that he has received the information and he is satisfied.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Gurjit Singh,
S/o Shri Yadwinder Singh,
R/o Behbal Kalan,
Tehsil Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Canal Patwari,
Kotakpura, Distt. Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o XEN, Canal Colony,
Faridkot.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3857 of 2019

Present:- Shri Gurjit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Baldev Singh, Canal Patwar, Kotakpura is present.

O R D E R

Shri Gurjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Canal Patwari, Kotakpura, Distt. Faridkot. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.08.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 06.07.2019. The appellant sought information from the PIO-cum-Canal Patwari, Kotkapura regarding his complaint.

Shri Baldev Singh, Canal Patwari submitted a report that the information sought by the appellant is not available with his office, rather, it is available with the office of A.C. Branch Faridkot. During the course of hearing the appellant shows a copy of complaint dated 20.06.2019 filed before the XEN, Canal Colony, Faridkot regarding his redresses of grievances. The present RTI application is filed before the Canal Patwari, who is not the PIO. So, technically RTI application as well as the appeal filed by the appellant does not lie.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with a advise to the appellant that he should file fresh application before the XEN, Canal Colony, Faridkot that what action has been taken upon his complaint dated 20.06.2019.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Dilbagh Mohammad, (M: 79864-14095),
H.No. 154/A, Janjhar Back,
Garha, Jalandhar-144022.



..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o President,
Satguru Kabir Sabha (Regd.),
Satguru Kabir Bhawan, Near Labour Colony, Garha,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 909 of 2019

Present:- Shri Dilbagh Mohammad, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of Shri Satpal, PIO-cum-President, Satguru Kabir Sabha (Regd.), Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Dilbagh Mohammad, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 29.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o President, Satguru Kabir Sabha (Regd.), Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the PIO.

The notice sent to the PIO has been received back in the office of the Commission with the observation "addressee refused".

Shri Satpal, PIO-cum-President is directed to come present personally on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant-applicant. In case he would not come present and would not file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant, then the Commission will taken strict action against him under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri S.P. Goyal,
2-C, Sarabha Nagar,
Gurudwara Road,
Ludhiana-141001.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Prosecution & Litigation Department, Punjab,
SCO 194-95, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 912 of 2019

Present:- Shri Davinderpal Sood, Advocate is present on behalf of Shri S.P. Goyal, complainant/applicant
Shri Arvind Markan, APIO-cum-Asstt. District Attorney, is present on behalf of Shri Satnam Singh Kaler, PIO-cum-Joint Director, O/o Prosecution and Litigation Department, PB.

O R D E R

Shri S.P. Goyal, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Director, Prosecution & Litigation Department, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The present case is heard through Video Conference.

The complainant/applicant, Shri S.P. Goyal has requested that he be heard through Video Conferencing at the office of D.C., Ludhiana and upon his request the case is today listed for hearing through Video Conference at 2:30 P.M. and during the course of hearing Advocate Davinderpal Sood is come present on behalf of Shri S.P. Goyal, complainant/applicant and Shri Arvind Markan, APIO has come present on behalf of the PIO.

I have heard Advocate Davinderpal Sood and he stated that the complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and no information has been provided to the complainant/applicant by the PIO.

On the other hand Shri Arvind Markan, APIO stated that the RTI application dated 19.06.2019 filed by the complainant/applicant was received in the office of the PIO on 21.06.2019 and attested copies of 87 pages of information was sent to the complainant/applicant through RTI.6(33)18/32 dated 09.07.2019 through registered post.

Contd..p2..

Complaint Case No. 912 of 2019

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO on 15.11.2019 and diarized vide no.22044, which is a copy of letter no.73 dated 15.11.2019 along with copies of information.

I have gone through the above said letter and it is submitted in the letter that the copy of information sent and postal receipt as proof are attached herewith as Annexure R-2 and R-3. The registered postal letter is not returned back to the office of the PIO. So, as per the presumption the registered letter is drawn in favour of the respondent.

On the other hand Advocate Davinderpal Sood stated that the complainant/applicant has not received any reply to his RTI application dated 19.06.2019, which is incorrect. It is further submitted in para no.5 of the reply sent by Shri Satnam Singh Kaler, PIO-cum-Joint Director that the complainant/applicant, Shri S.P. Goyal had also filed a RTI application dated 16.04.2018 and the same information which he sought through the present RTI application dated 19.06.2019 was supplied to the complainant/applicant on 04.05.2018. It is further submitted that the information as available in the record of the answering respondent has already been supplied to him twice, so the present complaint case is not maintainable.

During the course of hearing through Video Conferencing a query was put up to Advocate Davinderpal Sood, who appeared on behalf of Shri S.P. Goyal that whether you know that the information was sent to the complainant/applicant through letter No.RTI.6(33)/18/32 dated 09.07.2019 and he replied that he personally has not knowledge, but Shri S.P. Goyal informed him that he has not received any information. Secondly it is brought to the notice of the Advocate, who appeared on behalf of the complainant/applicant that the information consisting of 87 pages was sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. RTI.6(33)/18/32 dated 09.07.2019 and the said registered letter has not received back in the office of the PIO. So, presumption is that the registered letter sent to the RTI.6(33)/18/32 dated 09.07.2019 is presumed to be delivered if a period of 30 days has been passed.

The Commission is of the view that as the RTI application dated 19.06.2019 which was received in the office of the PIO on 21.06.2019 and the information consisting of 87 pages was sent by the office of the PIO to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 09.07.2019. So, when the PIO has sent the sought for information to the complainant/applicant through registered post within the stipulated period of 30 days, then no complaint lies against the PIO.

Complaint Case No. 912 of 2019

Under the facts involved in the present complaint case, the present complaint case is disposed of and closed with a direction to the PIO that as the advocate of the complainant/applicant requested that once again the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post at the address of the complainant/applicant given on his RTI application.

With this observation the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Shakti Kapoor, (M: 96463-49002),
H.No.28, Town No.1,
New Naraingarh, Chhehratta,
Distt. Amritsar.

Vs

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 913 of 2019

Present:- Shri Shakri Kapoor, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Gurwinder Singh, Range Officer is present on behalf of Shri Surjit Singh Sahota, PIO-cum-DFO, Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri Shakti Kapoor, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 21.05.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Divisional Forest Officer, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have heard both the parties on the RTI application.

The appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 02.12.2019 at 10.00 AM and the PIO is further directed that he should provide the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant and after providing the information get receipt from the appellant and he has received the information and he is satisfied.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Shakti Kapoor, (M: 96463-49002),
H.No.28, Town No.1,
New Naraingarh, Chhehratta,
Distt. Amritsar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,
Jalandhar at Phillaur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 914 of 2019

Present:- Shri Shakri Kapoor, complainant/applicant is present.
Shri Naib Singh, APIO-cum-Suptd. is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-DFO,
Jalandhar at Phillaur.

O R D E R

Shri Shakti Kapoor, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 12.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Divisional Forest Officer, Jalandhar at Phillaur. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. Shri Naib Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent has come present along with attested copies of information and he has provided 168 pages of attested copies of information to the complainant/applicant today before the Bench. The appellant has received the information and after going through the information he stated that he is satisfied. He has submitted a duly signed written submission dated 26.11.2019 that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied.

As the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the sought for information to the complainant/applicant and the complainant/applicant is satisfied, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 76-77, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3833 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Jatinder Singh, Data Entry Operator is present on behalf of Ms. Ambika,
PIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o RTA, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. Shri Jatinder Singh has submitted a copy of letter no. 8705 dated 25.11.2019 and it is submitted in the letter that the information has been sent to the appellant through letter no. 5639/RTA.Jalandhar dated 26.07.2019 and the copy of the said letter is also annexed with. Both the letters are taken in the file of the Commission.

The appellant stated that he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the PIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 76-77, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3834 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o RTA, Pathankot.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Pathankot. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO.

The PIO, O/o RTA, Pathankot is directed that attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission strict action will be taken against the PIO under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing, otherwise, a Show Cause Notice will be issued to him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **19.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 76-77, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3835 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Gurpreet Singh, Data Entry Operator is present on behalf of Shri Tarlochan Singh Sahota, PIO-cum-RTA, Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. The Respondent submitted a copy of letter no.1368 dated 22.11.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. He has provided the copies of information to the appellant. The appellant sought some time to go through the information. His request is heard and allowed with a direction to him that in case he is not satisfied with the information provided to him then he should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days and in case the appellant submits the list of deficiencies then the PIO should provide the remaining information to the appellant within a week from the receipt of list of deficiencies.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **19.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 76-77, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3836 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o RTA, Sangrur.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.07.2019. Then she filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.10.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO.

The PIO, O/o RTA, Sangrur is directed that attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission strict action will be taken against the PIO under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing, otherwise, a Show Cause Notice will be issued to him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **19.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rohit Sabharwal (President),
Kundan Bhawan,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Town Planner,
Zone-C, M.C.,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3422 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Satish Kumar, PIO-cum-Head Draftsman-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-C, MC,
Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Town Planner, Zone-C, M.C., Ludhiana.

The PIO is present and he stated that the sought for information has been provided to the authorized person of the appellant, Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate on 19.11.2019 vide letter no.308/PIO/DRG-C/D dated 15.11.2019. He has submitted a copy of the said letter bearing a duly signed noting made by Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, authorized person of the appellant.

The appellant is absent today, however Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur Advocate, authorized person of the appellant, Shri Rohit Sabharwal sent an e-mail on behalf of appellant that the complete sought for information on the matter has been received by the appellant vide letter no. 308/PIO/DRG-C/D dated 15.11.2019 and the information stands to be satisfactory and also requested to disposed of the case.

So, as per the e-mail sent by the authorized person of the appellant that the sought for information has been received and it stands to be satisfactory, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rohit Sabharwal (President),
Kundan Bhawan,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Town Planner,
Zone-A, M.C., Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3423 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Satbir Singh, APIO-cum-JDM, is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-A, MC, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Town Planner, Zone-A, M.C., Ludhiana.

Shri Satbir Singh APIO submitted a copy of letter no. 78/ATP/A/RTI/D dated 4.11.2019 through which the sought for information was sent to the appellant.

The appellant is absent but an email has been sent by Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate, authorized person of the appellant that the information provided by the PIO is incomplete and she also mentioned the deficiencies in the said e-mail. A copy of the deficiency is handed over to the respondent with a direction that in case any information is still remaining that be sent to the appellant through registered post or be provided by hand to him within 10 days.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rohit Sabharwal (President),
Kundan Bhawan,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Town Planner,
Zone-A, M.C.,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3424 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Satish Kumar, PIO-cum-Head Draftsman-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-C, MC,
Ludhiana is present.
Shri Satbir Singh, APIO-cum-JDM, is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-ATP, O/o
Zone-A, MC, Ludhiana.
Shri Ravinder Singh Wallia, Draftsman is present on behalf of Shri Mohan Singh,
PIO-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-B, MC, Ludhiana.
Shri Satish Kumar, PIO-cum-Head Draftsman-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-C, MC,
Ludhiana is present on behalf of Shri Vijay Kumar, PIO-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-D,
MC, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Town Planner, Zone-A, M.C., Ludhiana.

Shri Satish Kumar PIO Zone-C stated that the sought for information has been provided to the authorized person of the appellant, Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate vide letter no.305/PIO/DRG-C/D dated 15.11.2019 and after receiving the information Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur made a duly signed noting on the said letter that she has received the information.

The respondent who is present on behalf of the PIO, Zone-A stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.96/ATP/A/RTI/D dated 22.11.2019.

The respondent who is present on behalf of the PIO, Zone-B stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.714/ATP-B/RTI/D dated 22.11.2019.

Contd.P/2.....

Appeal Case No. 3424 of 2019

Shri Satish Kumar PIO Zone-C who is representing the PIO Zone-D submitted copy of letter no. 352/ATP-D/RTI-D dated 22.11.2019 through which the sought for information was provided to Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur authorized person of the appellant.

Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur after receiving the information made a noting on the said letter that the "information received not satisfactory". She has simply mentioned that the information is not satisfactory but she has not mentioned any mode or instance to the notice of the PIO that how the information is not satisfactory neither brought any deficiencies.

As the PIOs has provided the sought for information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rohit Sabharwal (President),
Kundan Bhawan,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

Vs

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Town Planner,
Zone-A, M.C.,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3425 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Satbir Singh, APIO-cum-JDM, is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-A, MC, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Town Planner, Zone-A, M.C., Ludhiana.

Shri Satbir Singh APIO submitted a copy of letter no. 76/ATP/A/RTI/D dated 4.11.2019 through which the sought for information was sent to the appellant.

The appellant is absent but an email has been sent by Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate, authorized person of the appellant that the information provided by the PIO is incomplete and she also mentioned the deficiencies in the said e-mail. A copy of the deficiency is handed over to the respondent with a direction that in case any information is still remaining that be sent to the appellant through registered post or be provided by hand to him within 10 days.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rohit Sabharwal (President),
Kundan Bhawan,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Town Planner,
Zone-C, M.C.,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3426 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Satish Kumar, PIO-cum-Head Draftsman-cum-ATP, O/o Zone-C, MC,
Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Town Planner, Zone-C, M.C., Ludhiana.

The PIO is present and he stated that the sought for information has been provided to the authorized person of the appellant, Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate on 19.11.2019 vide letter no.306/PIO/DRG-C/D dated 15.11.2019. He has submitted a copy of the said letter bearing a duly signed noting made by Smt. Sukhjinder Kaur, authorized person of the appellant.

The appellant is absent today, however Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur Advocate, authorized person of the appellant, Shri Rohit Sabharwal sent an e-mail on behalf of appellant that the complete sought for information on the matter has been received by the appellant vide letter no. 306/PIO/DRG-C/D dated 15.11.2019 and the information stands to be satisfactory and also requested to disposed of the case.

So, as per the e-mail sent by the authorized person of the appellant that the sought for information has been received and it stands to be satisfactory, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Manjit Singh, (M: 76962-82813),
S/o Shri Sohan Singh,
H.No. 388/3, Dhandholian Road,
Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2053 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO, O/o RTA, Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Manjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.02.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

Both the parties are present today. I have discussed the matter with both the parties.

The PIO come present along with copies of information but the appellant is not satisfied with the information.

Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO, O/o RTA, Patiala is directed that attested copies of complete information regarding para no. 5 of the RTI application be provided to the appellant within 3 days and come present personally on the next date of hearing along with copy of information.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Manjit Singh, (M: 76962-82813),
S/o Shri Sohan Singh,
H.No. 388/3, Dhandholian Road,
Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2055 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO, O/o RTA, Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Manjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 5.03.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

Both the parties are present today. I have discussed the matter with both the parties.

The PIO come present along with copies of information but the appellant is not satisfied with the information.

Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO, O/o RTA, Patiala is directed that attested copies of complete information regarding para no.12 of the RTI application be provided to the appellant within 3 days and come present personally on the next date of hearing along with copy of information.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **17.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 26.11.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.