



Sh. Jatinder Pal Singh, S/o Sh Manjeet Singh,  
# 1886/56, Inder Nagri, Jalalabad (West),  
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDM,  
Jalalabad.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SDM,  
Jalalabad.

... Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 481 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.08.2020 has sought information regarding details of funds received relating to Covid-19 from District office – Punjab Govt./WHO or other institution/authority – utilization of the funds along with bills and copy of rules as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Department of SDM Jalalabad. The appellant was not provided information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing last on 23.06.2021. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 26.10.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. Both the parties are absent.

The respondent is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor is represented. There is nothing on file which shows that the PIO has supplied the information. Further, there has been an enormous delay of more than one year and two months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **09.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :26.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Prem Singh S/o Sh Jaspal Singh,  
VPO Amarpura, Tehsil Abohar,  
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o District Social Security Officer,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director, Deptt. of Social Security and  
Women & Child Development, Pb  
102-103, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 478 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Prem Singh as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 29.09.2020 has sought information regarding Govt policy to recover un-disbursed amount of old-age pension, handicap and widow pension from Sarpanch/panchayat secretary through CDPO from 2008 onwards – a copy of the procedure adopted by department of social security to recover un-disbursed amount – guidelines issued to all DSSOs by Director for recovery of un-disbursed amount – name & designation of officer deputed at the block level and district level liable for recovery as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Social Security Officer, Bathinda. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 23.06.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the appellant, the PIO had not provided the information.

The respondent was absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence. The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant if exists in the record within 15 days and send a compliance report to the commission. Failure to comply with the order would attract penal action under section 20 of the RTI Act.

**Hearing dated 26.10.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Bathinda. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor is represented. There has been an enormous delay or more than one year in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

**Appeal Case No. 478 of 2021**

The PIO is again directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **09.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Bathinda.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :26.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Mohan Lal Punia, S/o Sh Nathu Ram,  
R/o village Taja Patti, Tehsil Abohar,  
Distt Fazilka..

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o CDPO, Abohar,  
Block Abohar Office, Distt Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o District Social Security Officer,  
DC Complex, Fazilka.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 468 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Mohan Lal Punia as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 25.02.2020 has sought information regarding copies of applications along with attached documents submitted by Lakshmi Devi w/o Satish Kumar and Priyanka d/o Satish Kumar for availing pension benefits – a copy of the order of approval of pension – bank branch and bank account number in which the pension is deposited monthly from 2019 as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of CDPO Abohar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 23.06.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent. The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 26.10.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor is represented. There has been an enormous delay or more than ten months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

The PIO is again directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **09.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :26.10.2021**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**