Ms. Ramandeep Kaur (95927-11487)

W/o Sh. Ajitpal Singh (S/o Sh.Ganda Singh) R/o Dashmesh Nagar, Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, S.U.S. Adarsh School, Bald Khurd, District Sangrur

Versus

Complainant

a fritte

TH HUR RUNA

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 1045 of 2018

Sh. Ajit Pal Singh on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Sh. Jaspal Singh.

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. After examining the case file, it is observed that the present case i.e. Complaint Case No. 1045 of 2018 was disposed of & closed by the bench of undersigned vide Order dated 13.05.2019 on the assurance of the respondent, Sh. Gagandeep Singh that he will supply the attested copy of requisite information to the appellant within ten days from 13.05.2019 but respondent PIO had not complied with the order of the Commission and complainant approached the Commission through a letter dated 10.06.2019 which was received in the Commission at diary no. 11486 dated 13.06.2019 through which complainant, Ms. Ramandeep Kaur intimated the Commission that attested copy has not been received from the respondent PIO as per the order of the Commission dated 13.05.2019. Accordingly this case was fixed for hearing on 21.08.2019.

On hearing held on 21.08.2019, respondent PIO was absent without any intimation and he was directed to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 13.05.2019 and compensation amounting Rs. 1,000/- was awarded to the complainant and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 11.09.2019

On hearing held on 11.09.2019, respondent PIO was absent continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission and compensation was enhanced to Rs. 1,500/- from Rs. 1,000/- and matter was adjourned for further hearing today on 22.10.2019

On 22.10.2019, respondent PIO failed to represent this case as well as he failed to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 13.05.2019. Due to continuous absence of the PIO despite of due notice(s) and directions under RTI Act, Bailable Warrant was issued to the respondent PIO, on the name of **Sh. Jaspal Singh, PIO, O/o S.U.S. Adarsh Sen. Sec. School, Balad Khurd (Sangrur)** through <u>The Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur</u> and matter was adjourned for further hearing today i.e. 26.11.2019.

Complaint Case No.: 1045 of 2018

3. In today's hearing, Respondent, Sh. Jaspal Singh states that he was having charge of the concerned school from 19.08.2019 to 29.10.2019 and now he is posted as Principal, G.S.S.School, Bhati Wala Kalan. He added that concerned official is the Deputy DEO (SE), Sangrur who is Sh. Om Parkash Setia.

4. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Ajit Pal Singh states that requisite information along with Compensation cheque is still pending from the respondent PIO.

5. After hearing both the parties, <u>I here by direct Sh. Om Parkash Setia, Deputy DEO</u> (SE), Sangrur to look into the matter and ensure that directions dated 13.05.2019 of the Commission are complied with and concerned official who is well conversant with the facts of the case appears in the Commission to represent this case on the next date of hearing. This case was earlier decided and closed on 13.05.2019 on the assurance of the respondent, Sh. Gagandeep Singh (Admin Officer) but it has been brought to the Notice of the Bench that he failed to supply the attested copy of the requisite information as promised during the hearing. Therefore, the case was fixed for hearings 21.08.2019, 11.09.2019, 22.10.2019 and today itself and it has been observed that attested copy of the requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through <u>registered post.</u>

6. Respondent, Sh. Jaspal Singh is also directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively to make his submission.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.**

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Regd. Post:

Sh. Om Parkash Setia (By Name) O/o Deputy DEO, Sangrur



Appellant

Sh. Mangal Singh (9216913015)

S/o #296, SJS Avenue, Ajnala Road, Amritsar-143008

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

> Appeal Case No.: 3130 of 2018 Nobody present.

Respondents

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent consecutively second time without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 22.10.2019, a last and final opportunity was given to both the parties to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis and matter was adjourned for today. It is presumed that appellant does not want to pursue this case. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of &**

closed.

4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

Sh. Nikhil Saraf (98726-32670)

51-52, Kansal Enclave, Near Kansal Village, Chandigarh-160001

Versus

Complainant

Informatic

a for the

Public Information Officer (By name)

O/o Director General of Police, Headquarters, Punjab, Chandigarh

Public Information Officer (By name)

O/o Assistant Inspector General of Police, Crime Branch, Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 251 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Sh. Ram Parshad (SA, O/o Crime Branch, Punjab, Chandigarh), Sh. Purshotam Kumar (SI, O/o DGP, HQ) along with Sh. Nirbhay Singh(O/o DGP, HQ).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ram Parshad states that requisite information has already been supplied to

the complainant as per the official record. He submits a point-wise reply dated 26.11.2019 signed by

AIG-cum-PIO, Bureau of Investigation Punjab, Chandihgarh along with supporting documents, which

are taken on record.

3. Complainant, Sh. Nikhil Saraf is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondents and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed

that sufficient information has already been supplied to the complainant to the best extent.

Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of &

closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

Sh. Mehr Chand (98157-99011)

House No. 128, Gali Number-3/3, Green Avenue, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda-151001, Punjab

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Magistrate, Mini Secretariat, Bathinda-151001

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda

Public Information Officer

O/o The Deputy Commissioner Bathinda

Appeal Case No.: 1377 of 2019

Sh. Madan Lal on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Maghi Ram (SA).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram handed over information relates with point no. 4 to the

appellant during the hearing.

After gone through the supplied information, representative of the appellant, Sh. Madan Lal 3. states that respondent; Sh. Maghi Ram supplied same information i.e. statement only of Sh. Mehr Chand. He added that conclusion which was supplied by the respondent PIO is incomplete and misprint. He requested to supply the complete conclusion along with statements of all persons are pending in a readable form.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram misleading the Commission. A last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO is directed to supply the complete conclusion along with statements of all persons to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 03.12.2019 at 11:30 AM.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**





Appellant

Present:

Sh. Sewa Ram S/o Sh. Uggar Sain House No. 351, Model Town, Phase II, Bathinda -151001 (70098-27603)

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda.

Appeal Case No.: 1886 of 2019

Present: Sh. Madan Lal on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Maghi Ram (SA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.11.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram handed over information relates with point no. 4 to the appellant during the hearing.

3. After gone through the supplied information, representative of the appellant, Sh. Madan Lal states that respondent supplied irrelevant information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that both the cases (present case i.e. AC:1886 of 2019 and other case i.e. AC:1377 of 2019) have different RTI applications and respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram misguiding the Commission. A last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Pargat Masih

S/o Sh. Balkar Masih, C/o Sh. Ankit Thakur House No. 6, Pratap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana-141002

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal Secretary, Education Dept., Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, Education Dept., Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1934 of 2019Present:Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: Paramjeet Singh (SA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Paramjeet Singh states that he has brought the pending information in connection with point no. 3 to hand it over to the appellant. He submits a copy of supplied information vide letter 17/80-2018-Amla-1(5) dated 26.11.2019 signed by the Superintendent (Amla-

I). This reply along with supplied information is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Pragat Masih is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's

hearing.

4. After examining the documents placed on record, respondent PIO is directed to send the brought information to the appellant through registered post under the intimation to the Commission.

On the assurance of the appellant, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal

case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

•



Sh. Ranvir Singh (98724-02354)

S/o Sh. Sukhdev Singh House No. 25, Street No. 19, Bhakhra Enclave, Patiala

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur

First Appellate Authority (By Name) O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o DPI (SE),Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2069 of 2019

Present: Sh. Ranvir Singh, the appellant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Ranvir Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is consecutively absent second time without ant intimation to the Commission. Previous order of the Commission dated 22.10.2019 was sent to him by name through registered post.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO, O/o DEO(SE), Sangrur was directed by the Nodal Officer(RTI), O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali to attend previous hearing dated 30.09.2019 and today i.e. 26.11.2019 but he has not attended any hearing even not filed single reply in this regard.

5. In view of the above, the then respondent PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him through **registered post**.</u>

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

A copy of this order is also sent to the respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali 6. through registered post to look into the matter as respondent PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur not responding as per the directions of the DPI (SE) and the Commission. He is also advised to make sure that concerned official attend the next hearing to represent this case before the Commission.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.

8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Show Cause:

Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur



Sh. Deepak Kumar (98556-06471)

S/o Sh. Parmod Krishan Sharan Wala Bazaar, Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib-140412

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Appeal Case No.: 2081 of 2019

Respondent

Appellant

 Present:
 Sh. Deepak Kumar, the appellant in person.

 For the respondent:
 Ms. Jaskirat Singh (Assistant Director) along with Ms. Sandeep Kaur (SA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Deepak Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied to

him and he is satisfied with it.

- 3. Respondent, Ms. Jaskirat Singh and Ms. Sandeep Kaur is present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant

appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019



Sh. Raj Kumar

(Retd. Principal GSSS, Mandi Phul) House No. 52, Ward No. 1, Preet Nurse Wali Gali Bhucho Mandi, Bathinda

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda

Appeal Case No.: 2103 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Maghi Ram (SA).

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.09.2019. 1.

2. Respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram states that requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant as he has not submitted his service book to any official. He presented documents showing that signature of the appellant dated 05.12.2018 along with remarks: service book along with case has been received". He submits a reply vide letter no. 9531 dated 20.11.2019 signed by Superintendent-cum-PIO, Bathinda along with supporting documents, which are taken on record

Appellant, Sh. Raj Kumar agreed with the documents presented by the respondent during 3. the hearing.

4. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant

appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019



Sh. Harbinder Singh (82848-17878) S/o Lal Singh Village Phide Khurd, PO Wandar Jatan, Tehsil Kotakpura, District Faridkot

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o PSEB, Punjab, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o Secretary, PSEB, Punjab, Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 2212 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Harpal Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Arvind Kumar (SA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Harpal Singh states that requisite information after removing the deficiencies has already been sent to the appellant. He added that appellant sent another letter for different information that was also sent to the appellant. He submits a reply dated 25.11.2019 along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Harbinder Singh is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondents and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed

that sufficient information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best extent. Therefore,

no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

Sh. Jagshir Singh (81958-00345) S/o Sh. Gian Singh 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana-141101

Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)

O/o BDPO, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 2256 of 2019

Sh. Jagshir Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Gurmeet Singh (PS).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Gurmeet Singh handed over the requisite information to the appellant

during the hearing.

3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant states that jamabandi is pending from

the respondent PIO.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that sufficient information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best extent and if appellant required jamabandi, then he can collect after depositing the prescribed Government fee.

Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of &

closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Jagshir Singh (81958-00345) S/o Sh. Gian Singh 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana-141101

Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)

O/o BDPO, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 2257 of 2019

Sh. Jagshir Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Gurmeet Singh (PS).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.10.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh.Jagshir Singh states that demanded information is still pending from the

respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Gurmeet Singh states that previous record has not been handed over to

him by the earlier incharge.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents, another opportunity is given to

the respondent PIO to supply the proper reply/information as per queries raised by the appellant in

his RTI application before the next date of hearing.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to

which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.**

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

A BIT HID CONTRACT Punjab Chate Information

Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Kuljit Singh (84270-00652)

S/o Sh. Harcharan Singh VPO Mahiraj Patti, Karam Chand, Tehsil Rampura Phul, District Bathinda

Appellant

Respondent

Versus Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o DPI (SE), Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 2365 of 2019 Sh. Kuljit Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Maghi Ram (SA).

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.10.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Kuljit Singh states that information relates with point no. 1 to 6 has been received from the office of DEO (SE), Bathinda but information relates with point no. 7, 8 and 9 is still pending from the office of DPI (SE), Mohali.

3. Respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram submits a reply vide letter no. 2019/2144 dated 19.11.2019, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents, another opportunity is given to

the respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to supply the information relates

with point no. 7, 8 and 9 before the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case.

A copy of this order to be sent to him through registered post.

5. Respondent, Sh. Maghi Ram is exempted in this case till further orders.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM.

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 7.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019



Sh. Narinder Singh (98555-34326) #7113, Sector-125, New Sunny Enclave, Greater Mohali-160055

Versus

Public Information Officer(Regd. Post)O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka

First Appellate Authority

O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka

ORDER

Appeal Case No.: 2511 of 2019

Present:Sh. Jagshir Singh, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Atul Arora (Clerk).

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.10.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Atul Arora states that reply has already been sent to the appellant. He

submits a copy of the same vide letter no. 400552 dated 25.11.2019, which is taken on record

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Narinder Singh demanded the information regarding the NOC.

4. Respondent, Sh. Atul Arora intimated that no NOC is required and it is mentioned in the supplied reply.

5. After hearing the respondents and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed

that sufficient information has already been supplied to the appellant to the best extent. Therefore,

no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Respondent

Appellant



Appellant

Sh. Sachin Kumar (97000-02371) S/o Sh. Rakesh Kumar

R/o Ward No. 4, Gaushala Road, Budlada, District Mansa-151502

Public Information Officer

Versus

O/o Director General School Education, Punjab, Vidya Bhawan (PSEB), Block-E, 5th floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-160062

Public Information Officer O/o Director General School Education, Punjab, **Elementary Education** Vidya Bhawan (PSEB), Block-E, 5th floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-160062

First Appellate Authority O/o Director General School Education, Punjab, Vidya Bhawan (PSEB), Block-E, 5th floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-160062

Respondent

Present:

Appeal Case No.: 2544 of 2019

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent:

Ms. Veena Verma (Nodal Officer), Ms. Jaswinder Kaur (SA) Sh. Varun Kumar (Clerk), Ms. Neeru (Assistant)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.10.2019. 1.

Respondents intimated that the Commission that information relates with the Principal and 2. Master Cadre has already been sent to the appellant. Ms. Veena Verma added that they have brought information relates with Headmaster and non-teaching.

3. Appellant, Sh. Sachin Kumar is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondents are advised to send the brought information relates with Headmaster and non-teaching to the appellant through registered post.

5. Respondent, Ms. Neeru (in connection with Elementary Education) is directed to send the requisite information relates with Elementary Education to the appellant under the intimation to the Commission.

6. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the appellant to go through the supplied information and point out the deficiency, if any, in writing to the respondent PIOs along with a copy to the Commission along with advice to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decide on the merit basis.

- Appeal Case No.: 2544 of 2019 Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 07.01.2020 at 11:30 AM. 7.
- 8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 26.11.2019