

Shri Chander Mohan Arora, r/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana. -----Complainant

-----Respondent

Vs

Complaint Case No. 142 of 2019

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the Complainant. None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Shri Chander Mohan Arora, Complainant, vide his RTI application dated 24.12.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding his complaint No. CR-1281941, dated 23.02.2018. On receiving no information, he filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 05.02.2019, which was received in the Commission on 05.02.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, the Counsel for the complainant informs that no information has been supplied to the Complainant as yet. None is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

3. To come up on 23.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Smt. Meenkshi Arora w/o Shri Chander Mohan Arora, r/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

-----Complainant

-----Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 141 of 2019

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the Complainant. None on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Smt. Meenakshi Arora, Complainant, vide her RTI application dated 24.12.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding her complaint No. CR-1196075, dated 20.09.2017 and No. 1201012, dated 29.09.2017. On receiving no information, she filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 05.02.2019, which was received in the Commission on 05.02.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, the Counsel for the complainant informs that the RTI application has been sent back to the complainant by the respondent PIO. Viewing this lapse on the part of the PIO seriously, he is directed to deal with the RTI application of the complainant and supply the requisite information to her before the next date of hearing. Besides, the complainant is directed to again send/hand over RTI application to the PIO. However, a copy of RTI application received in the Commission is also sent to the PIO along with this order.

3. To come up on 23.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

Dated : 26.03.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Encl. Copy of RTI application Dated 24.12.2018



Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, #947, HIG, PHB Colony, Jamalpur, Ludhiana.

-----Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 140 of 2019

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of the Complainant. Shri Pawandev Singh, S.I., on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, Complainant, vide his RTI application dated 01.11.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought Action Taken Report on his Complaint UID No. 1431828, dated 21.09.2018. On receiving no information, he filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 04.02.2019, which was received in the Commission on 04.02.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, the Counsel for the complainant submits that the requisite information has been provided and the case may be closed.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated : 26.03.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

١



Advocate Tejinder Singh r/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. -----Complainant

Vs

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 136 of 2019

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Complainant, in person.

Shri Gagandeep Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Shri Tejinder Singh, Complainant, vide his RTI application dated 03.01.2019, addressed to the PIO, sought a copy of Inquiry Report regarding Complaint No. 3730/11/2018, dated 15.11.2018 sent by Punjab Human Rights Commission. On receiving no information, he filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 04.02.2019, which was received in the Commission on 04.02.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondent submits a letter No. 1594/CEA/2/RTI, dated 15.03.2019 from Assistant Commissioner(Grievances), enclosing a copy of provided information, vide which it has been informed that the requisite information has been supplied to the complainant vide letter No. 425/CEA/2/RTI, dated 25.01.2019. A copy of this letter is handed over to the appellant, who after perusing the same, expresses satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Ram Murti (Senior Citizen), Sadhu Colony, Mehta Chowk, Amritsar.

Public Information Officer o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar.

Complaint Case No. 133 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant as well as the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Shri Ram Murti, Complainant, vide his RTI application dated 15.12.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought Action Taken Report on his application dated 13.11.2018. On receiving no information, he filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 22.01.2019, which was received in the Commission on 25.01.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, none is present on behalf of the complainant as well as the respondent without any intimation. However, a letter No. Steno/579, dated 20.03.2019 has been received from APIO of the office of SDM, Baba Bakala, District: Amritsar vide which reply has been furnished stating that the sought for information does not relate to their office. Accordingly, a copy of this letter is sent to the complainant for furnishing his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **29.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

Dated : 26.03.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Encl. Copy of letter No. Steno/579, Dated 20.03.2019 from the APIO -----Complainant

-----Respondent

Vs



Shri Gursewak Singh s/o Shri Karam Singh, Village Saidpura, Tehsil Khamano, P.O. Badhi, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

-----Complainant

-----Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Tehsildar, Khanna, District: Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 132 of 2019

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, complainant, in person. None on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, Shri Gursewak Singh, Complainant, vide his RTI application dated 12.03.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding Jamabandi 2011-12, No. 223, Khewat No. 3155, Khatouni No. 4539 to 4550. On receiving no information, he filed a complainant with the Commission vide application dated 25.01.2019, which was received in the Commission on 30.01.2019. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for today.

2. Today, the complainant informs that no information has been supplied to him as yet. None is present on behalf of the respondent-PIO without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing and submit status report, in person, on the next date of hearing.

3. To come up on **29.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Ram Kumar s/o Shri Chanan Ram, r/o Near Andarla Dera, Tappa District Barnala.

-----Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala.

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Tappa, Distt. Barnala.

Complaint Case No. 1231 of 2018

-----Respondents

Present:- Shri Ram Kumar, complainant, in person.

Shri Pritpal Singh, S.I. and Shri Harpal Singh ASI, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the PIO of the office of Chief Minister, Punjab, was exempted from further appearance in this case as he had provided information relating to his office. Besides, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala and Station House Officer, Tappa were impleaded as necessary parties with the direction to bring original record for the perusal of the Commission on the next date of hearing.

2. Today, the representatives of the respondents inform that the matter has been investigated thrice and cancellation report has been filed. They further inform that now legal advice is being sought as to whether inquiry can again be conducted or not. Accordingly, the respondent PIO is directed to take necessary action after obtaining the legal advice and submit status report on the next date of hearing.

3. To come up on **30.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further hearing.**

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Vijay Hans, Advocate, H.No.31, Satya Niwas, Silver City, Zirakpur-140603.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer O/o Department of General Administration (Estt. 4 Branch), Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o Department of General Administration (Estt. 4 Branch), Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4096 of 2018

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Deepak Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant was not present.

The respondent-PIO informed that they had sent their reply to the Commission with a copy to the appellant vide their letter dated 01.02.2019, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

ਵਿਸਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵਿਜੈ ਈਸ, ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ ਵਲ਼ੋਂ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਕੋਲ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਦਸਣਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਸਿਵਲ ਅਪੀਲ 22 ਆਫ 2009 ਅਤੇ ਐਸ.ਐਲ.ਪੀ. 27734 ਆਫ਼ 2012 ਵਿਚ ਹੋਏ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪਰਸੋਨਲ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਣ ਅਤੇ ਨਾਇਬ ਚੰਦ, ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ (ਜਿਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਹੈ) ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਅਸਿਹਮਤੀ ਦੇ ਸਨਮੁਖ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹਈਆਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ।

2. After hearing the respondent-PIO and going through the record available on the Contd......p/2



case file, the appellant was advised to file an affidavit mentioning that this information is required in larger public interest and the respondents were directed to decide whether the information could be shared or not. They were further directed that If the information could be shared, then supply to the appellant or if not then pass a speaking order and send to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the appellant informs that as per the directions of the Commission the appellant has not filed an affidavit. Accordingly, It is directed that in case an affidavit is filed by the appellant then appropriate action/decision be taken and the appellant be intimated.

4. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Vijay Hans, Advocate, H.No.31, Satya Niwas, Silver City, Zirakpur-140603.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Department of General Administration (Estt. 4 Branch), Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority o/o Department of General Administration (Estt. 4 Branch), Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4097 of 2018

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Deepak Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant was not present.

The respondent-PIO informed that they had sent their reply to the Commission with a copy to the appellant vide their letter dated 01.02.2019, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

ਵਿਸਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਵਿਜੈ ਹੰਸ, ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ ਵਲ਼ੋਂ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਕੋਲ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਦਸਣਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਸਿਵਲ ਅਪੀਲ 22 ਆਫ 2009 ਅਤੇ ਐਸ.ਐਲ.ਪੀ. 27734 ਆਫ਼ 2012 ਵਿਚ ਹੋਏ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪਰਸੋਨਲ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਣ ਅਤੇ ਨਾਇਬ ਚੰਦ, ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ (ਜਿਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਹੈ) ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਅਸਿਹਮਤੀ ਦੇ ਸਨਮੁਖ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹਈਆਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ।

2. After hearing the respondent-PIO and going through the record available on the case file, the appellant was advised to file an affidavit mentioning that this information is

Contd.....p/2



required in larger public interest and the respondents were directed to see whether property returns are available in their office or available on website of the Government. On receipt of the affidavit from the appellant, the respondents were directed to decide whether the information could be shared or not. They were further directed that If the information could be shared, then supply to the appellant or if not then pass a speaking order and send to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the appellant informs that as per the directions of the Commission the appellant has not filed an affidavit. Accordingly, It is directed that in case an affidavit is filed by the appellant then appropriate action/decision be taken and the appellant be intimated.

4. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Harnek Singh s/o Shri Joginder Singh, Village Gurhe, Tehsil Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer Senior Superintendent of Rural Ludhiana (Rural) at Jagraon.

First Appellate Authority o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4089 of 2018

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Harjinder Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant was not

present. The representative of the respondents filed reply in the annotated form, necessary point wise contents are reproduced below:-

- (1) ਜ਼ਿਮਨੀ ਤਫਤੀਸੀ ਅਫਸਰ ਦੀ ਯਾਦ ਦਸਤ ਡਾਇਰੀ ਹੈ, ਜ਼ੋ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।
- (2) ਇਸ ਮੁਕਦਮਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਤਫਤੀਸੀ ਅਫਸਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਤਫਤੀਸ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਆਪਣਾ ਖੁਦ ਦਾ ਵਹੀਕਲ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਵਿਚ ਪ੍ਰਾਈਵੇਟ ਵਹੀਕਲ ਕਿਰਾਏ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਗਿਆ।
- (3) ਮੁਕੱਦਮੇ ਦੀ ਤਫਤੀਸ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਈਵੇਟ ਵਹੀਕਲ ਕਿਰਾਏ ਪਰ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਵਰਤਿਆ,
 ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਕੇ ਮਨਜੁਰੀ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਜਰੂਰਤ ਹੋਵੇ।
- (4) ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਸੁਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।

Contd.....p/2



Accordingly, the respondents were directed to send reply to the appellant and the appellant was advised to go through the same and revert back to the authorities, in case of any deficiency, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant is not present without any intimation. The representative of the respondents reiterates that Jimnies cannot be provided to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant is again directed to send his observations, on the reply received from the respondents, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **29.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Sucha Singh s/o Shri Rajinder Singh, VPO Jasraur, Block Chogwan, Tehsil Ajnala, District Amritsar.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Chogawan, District Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority o/o Director Rural Development and Panchayats Department, Punjab, Sector 62, Mohali.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4087 of 2018

Present:- Shri S.M. Bhanot on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Chogawan, District: Amritsar was impleaed as a necessary party and he was directed to supply the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, none is present on behalf of the respondents. However, a letter No. 914, dated 20.02.2019 has been received from PIO-cum-BDPO, Chogawan, District: Amritsar informing that requisite information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter No. 243, dated 04.02.2019. The representative of the appellant informs that the appellant has not received the information as yet. Accordingly, the respondent PIO is directed to send one more copy of the information to the appellant and the appellant is directed to send his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **29.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta #8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141101.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Inspector General of Police, NRI & Women Wing, Phase-7, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority o/o Inspector General of Police, NRI & Women Wing, Phase-7, Mohali.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4048 of 2018

Present:- None for the appellant. Shri Amandeep Singh, ASI, NRI Wing, Phase-7, Mohali, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant informed that partial information had been provided to him. Accordingly, the appellant was advised to send deficiencies in the provided information and the respondents were directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a letter No. 10410/NRI, dated 25.03.2019 from Additional Director General of Police, N.R.I. Wing, Mohali vide which it has been informed that the requisite information running into 14 pages has been provided to the appellant vide letter No. 6513/NRI, dated 27.02.2019 which has been duly received by him on 05.03.2019 expressing satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Raj Kumar Ghai s/o Late Shri Banarsi Dass Ghai, #5912, St. No.14, New Shimlapuri, Daba Road, Ludhiana.

----- Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1088 of 2018

(Through Video Conference)

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Rahul Dabral, District Technical Coordinator, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the complainant was not present. The representative of the respondent informed that one copy of the letter dated 26.11.2018 had been sent to the complainant vide which information/reply had been furnished to the complainant and no observations had been received from him. Accordingly, final opportunity was afforded to the complainant to furnish specific deficiencies/observations in the information/reply sent to him on 26.11.2018 otherwise the case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents available on record. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondent informs that complete information has been sent to the complainant after removing the deficiencies furnished by him. Accordingly, last opportunity is afforded to the complainant to send his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case will be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record.

3. To come up on **30.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings through** Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Rattan Lal Gupta r/o 539/193/36-37, Ganeshpuri Street No.8,m Shivpuri Road, Near Umeshwar Mahadev Mandir, Ludhiana.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhaina.

First Appellate Authority o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3471 of 2018

(Through Video Conference)

Present:- Shri Rattan Lal Gupta, appellant, at Ludhiana.

Shri Pawandev Singh, S.I., on behalf of the respondents, in the office of the Commission.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the respondents were directed to

furnish status report of CR No.664234, in writing, to the appellant and finalize the inquiry before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the inquiry in the matter is complete and he has brought Inquiry Report for handing over the same to the appellant. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to send Inquiry Report to the appellant by registered post.

3. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated : 26.03.2019



Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma Advocate, Chamber No.702/2, District Courts, Ludhiana.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3877 of 2018

(Through Video Conference)

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Bhupinder Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma, appellant, vide an RTI application dated 15.11.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information under Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005 regarding FIR No.83, dated 28.02.2017.

2. The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant informed that he had inspected the record and received information pertaining to his 5 complaints/emails out of 8 complaints/emails sent to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. Accordingly, the respondents were directed to supply the information pertaining to his remaining three complaints. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a letter No. 5A, dated 13.03.2019 from Incharge, Police Post, Kochar Market, Police Station Division No. 5, Ludhiana, vide which remaining information has been supplied to the appellant. Accordingly, final opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **30.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. through Video Conference Facility** available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma Advocate, Chamber No.702/2, District Courts, Ludhiana.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3878 of 2018

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Bhupinder Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma, appellant, vide an RTI application dated 15.11.2018, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information under Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005 regarding FIR No. 194/2017, P.S. Division NO.5, FIR No. 25/2017, P.S. Focal Point and FIR No.236/2014, P. S. Division No.5.

2. The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the appellant informed that he had inspected the record and received information pertaining to his 3 complaints/emails out of 12 complaints/emails sent to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. Accordingly, the respondents were directed to supply the remaining information relating to his nine complaints/emails. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a letter No. 5A, dated 13.03.2019 from Incharge, Police Post, Kochar Market, Police Station Division No. 5, Ludhiana, vide which remaining information has been supplied to the appellant. Accordingly, final opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **30.04.2019 at 11.30 A.M. through Video Conference Facility** available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

> Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Smt. Rachna Sharma #1706/12, Street Chhaju Mishar, Chowk Farid, Amritsar.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West), Ludhiana. Appeal Case No. 4135 of 2018 -----Respondents

Present:- Ms. Rachna Sharma, appellant, in person.

Shri Lalit Sharma, Junior Assistant, office of Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West), on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 12.02.2019, when the representative of the respondents informed that PIO of the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana had transferred the application of the appellant only on 8.2.2019 under Section 6(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 to Tehsildar, Ludhiana (West). He requested that some more time might be given to them to provide the information. The request of the respondent was acceded to with the directions to the PIO to provide complete information to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the requisite information is not available in their office and the same is being collected from field staff. He seeks more time to enable them to supply the requisite information to the appellant. Accordingly, the respondent PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

3. Since my term is expiring on 04.05.2019, I am not in a position to fix next date for hearing in this case. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for taking further necessary action to get it re-allocated to some other Bench of the Commission.

Dated : 26.03.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: D.R.(alongwith original file)