



Smt Shiv Mehra. W/o Sh Lt Sh Surinder Chand,  
R/o Kothi No-N7/15, Albert Road,  
Ratna Giri Avenue, Near Kumar International Hotel,  
Amritsar.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Tehsildar,  
Amritsar-1.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 159 of 2019**

**PRESENT:** Sh.Vivek Singla, Advocate for the Appellant  
None for the Respodent

**ORDER:** The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 27.03.2019. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to supply the information to the complainant before the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard by this bench on **22.08.2019**. The counsel appeared on behalf of the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The Commission received an email from the PIO-Tehsildar, Armtisar-1 stating that they have already sent reply to the complainant vide letter dated 22.07.2019 whereby the PIO sent a copy of jamabandi and informed that there is no record available in their office pertaining to lease of the property. The complainant was also asked to provide the vasika number of the lease and get the copy of lease deed by visiting the office of PIO on any working day.

The counsel present pleaded that vasika number is not available with the appellant. The Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in providing the information and issued a **show cause notice to the PIO under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to** file an affidavit in this regard. The PIO was again directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith entire record of the case.

Sh.Arinder Singh O/o Tehsildar, Amritsar-1 appeared late and informed that the information cannot be provided since the record stands destroyed in fire in 2015. The respondent was directed to submit detailed reply on an affidavit that the entire record stands destroyed, and that there is no other provision to ascertain the availability of the sought documents.

**Hearing dated 25.11.2019:**

The counsel present on behalf of the appellant pleaded that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is absent. The earlier order stands. The PIO is given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **20.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh  
Dated: 25.11.2019

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner



Ms Anju Rani Prashar,  
R/o Ward NO-2, Near Nagar Khara Dharamshala,  
Kurali, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 79 of 2019**

**PRESENT:**                **Ms Anju Rani as the Appellant**  
                                 **Sh.Gurnam Singh Head Constable O/o SSP Mohali for the**  
                                 **Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on 24.06.2019. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission found that the information regarding points 1 to 6 is not covered under the ambit of the information as per the RTI Act. The PIO was directed to look at points 7 to 10 and provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act within 15 days. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act. And be present on the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on **26.08.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that point-wise information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.08.2019 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The respondent again handed over a copy to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The appellant also raised objection on points 1 to 6. Hearing both the parties, following was concluded:

Points 1,2 & 3 -                The PIO-Directorate of Information & Public Relation Punjab is impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information on these points. To provide press releases for advertisement released for emergency phone call number 100 and women helpline phone call number 181.

Point-6                        -                PIO-SSP Mohali to provide number of complaints filed.

Rest of the information stands provided.

**Hearing dated 25.11.2019:**

The respondent present from the office of SSP informed that they have already provided the information relating to them. The appellant has however, pointed out that the document which the respondent had provided during last hearing and the document that the respondent stated to have sent to her on 12.08.2019 is the same. The appellant however, claims that the document which the respondent claims to have got received by the appellant is not signed by the appellant nor by any relative or known people, thus the police is falsely claiming that they received the information.

The PIO-Directorate of Information & Public Relation, Punjab is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 06.11.2019 has asked for copy of RTI application. A copy of the RTI application is enclosed with the order. The PIO-Directorate of information & Public Relation is given one more opportunity to look at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant as per earlier order of the Commission which still stands.

To come up for further hearing on **20.01.2020 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh  
Dated 25.11.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner**

**CC to PIO-Directorate of Information & Public Relation, Punjab,  
Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh**



Sh. Jagbir Singh. s/o Sh Harjinder Singh,  
VPO Fatehpur Rajputan,  
Distt Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SDM,  
Amritsar-1.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SDM,  
Amritsar-1.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 628 of 2019**

**PRESENT:** Sh.Jagbir Singh as the Appeellant  
Sh.Phoolraj O/o SDM Amritsar-1 and Sh.Karan Kapoor O/o Tehsildar for the  
Respondent

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 27.03.2019. The respondent submitted a letter dated 26.03.2019 from APIO-cum-Tehsildar Amritsar-1 stating that the requisite information has been provided. A copy of same was handed over to the appellant and the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, to the PIO and the respondent was directed to send reply to the appellant.

The case was last heard o 22.08.2019 by this bench. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information as per the RTI application. The respondent present from the office of SDM Amritsar-1 informed that the information is in the custody of the PIO-Tehsildar Amritsar-1 and they have already transferred the RTI application to them vide letter dated 27.07.2018. The PIO-Tehsildar Amritsar-1 was absent. The PIO-SDM was exempted. The PIO-Tehsildar Amrtisar was directed to provide the information as per the RTI application within 10 days otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action as per the RTI Act.

Sh.Arinder Singh O/o Tehsildar Amritsar-1 appeared late and submitted a letter from the PIO dated 26.04.2019 stating that the correction in the Fard can only be done with the order of the Civil Court and the appellant has been informed of the same.

**Hearing dated 25.11.2019:**

The respondent present from the office of Tehsildar Amritsar-1 has submitted reply of the PIO dated 20.11.2019 which is taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO has denied the information stating that the information is in question form.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission finds that the appellant has simply asked for the action taken on his application submitted to the Tehsildar on 15.05.2017 for correction in the fard and report of field staff dated 25.05.2017. The PIO-Tehsildar Amritsar-1 is directed to provide action taken report and report of field staff as well as to provide whatever the document is available on record as per the RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **21.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Himmat Singh,  
VPO MauliBaidwan,  
Sector-80, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 733 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on 18.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.06.2019 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO regarding points d & e.

Having gone through the information provided by the PIO and after hearing both the parties, the commission found that the information on points a to c has been provided and the PIO had denied the information on points d & e stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission made it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though it is sought in question form, should be provided. The PIO was directed to provide the information on points d & e within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case was last heard on **21.08.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information on point-d & e has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied. The appellant has also raised objection regarding information on points-a, b & c and is not fully satisfied.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission observed that the information sought on points-d & e does not fall under the ambit of RTI Act. The PIO was directed to relook at points a, b & c of the RTI application and send point-wise reply to the appellant.

**Hearing dated 25.11.2019:**

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 22.11.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1564 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Guruwara Guru Nanak Darbar Property No.11 - Memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**

Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1565 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Army Housing Co-op comprising Memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1566 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Shimla Chandigarh Diocese Society comprising Memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1567 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Citizen Welfare Council comprising Memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1568 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Sarang Sahit Ram Gatham - Memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1569 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information on 13 points regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 comprising land policy, various amendment adopted by GMADA, provision for sale/transfer and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh K.N.S Sodhi,  
# 1634, Sector-70, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1570 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Y.V.Chawla for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2018 has sought information regarding land allotted under Institutional Land Policy by GMADA in Sector 70 to Nari Niketan comprising memorandum of articles, approval of land, site plan and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 30.01.2019 stating that the information sought by the appellant is specified and the appellant was asked to inspect the record and get relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has inspected some of the files produced by the PIO on 01.11.2019 and specified the required documents. The representative has however, sought adjournment for further hearing in the month of Feb.2020.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



Sh Mohit Bindra,  
H No-654, Sector-8,  
Panchkula.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o XEN, GMADA, C-1,  
Sector-62, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
GMADA,  
Sector-62, Mohali

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2649 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on 03.09.2019. The appellant informed that in response to the PIO's letter dated 01.05.2019, he has already specified the information vide letter dated 02.05.2019, but the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to provide the information as per the RTI application and send a compliance report to the Commission.

**Hearing dated 25.11.2019:**

The respondent present informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant is absent nor has pointed out any discrepancy.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 25.11.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**