



Shri Vikram Vaid (M :9855032897)

1527, Gali No 2, Bagh
Rama Nand, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SMO, AMRITSAR

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o CIVIL SURGEON, AMRITSAR

Appeal Case No.: 914 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Dr. Manjit Singh (9872010013)

ORDER:

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 26.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. An email dated 13.08.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned vide which he had mentioned that due to unavailability of multimedia mobile phone he can't attend the and want a adjournment on VC in DC office Amritsar.
3. On the view of above, a telephonic call has been made from the Commission to the appellant, intimating him to join today's (i.e 25.08.2020) hearing on Cisco Webex, **as all the case of the undersigned bench is being heard through Cisco Webex only**. On this, the appellant Sh. Vikram Vaid requested to transfer all his cases to other bench as the matter is very crucial and he is not having any multimedia phone through which he could attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
4. Respondent, Dr. Manjit Singh pleaded that he had already supplied the sought information to the appellant in **AC:1674/2018** (heard by the bench of Ld. SIC Sanjeev Garg vide which the case was disposed of dated 29.05.2019). He further added that the Appellant Sh. Vikram Vaid gave his consent to close the appeal case after receiving affidavit in original .This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
5. The Commission has carefully considered the submissions of the parties and after going through the RTI application, it is observed that the primary contention of the appellant is to compare between the information retrieved from the appeal cases i.e. **AC:1674/2018** (RTI application filed against Civil Hospital Jbmm Ram Bagh Amritsar) and **AC:1678/2018** (RTI application filed against DCP of Amritsar). **Point no. 1** enumerated in RTI application says "*Please provide me the information that **which one** is correct and **how** these records can be obtained from police department*" which is in **question form exempted u/s 2(f), RTI Act, 2005**. Hence, the commission cannot furnish the information appellant had sought for, in this point.

Appeal Case No.: 914 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Further, information pertaining to **point no. 2** the **Commission concurs with the detailed order passed by** Learned SIC Sanjeev Garg **on this same issue in AC:1674/18 & AC: 1673/18** vide which the was disposed of and closed dated 29.05.2019 and 30.09.2019 respectively with the consent of the appellant.

As no cause of action is left in this case, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 25.08.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Vikram Vaid, (9855032897)

#1527, Gali No. 2,
Bagh Rama Nand, Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DGP, PB Police, Headquarter,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner Of Police,
Amritsar

Respondents

First Appellant Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner Of Police,
Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 913 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent
Respondent: Sh. Hira Singh (ASI) 9878091391

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated **16.03.2020** vide which the appellant was absent and the respondent, states that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant dated 15.11.2019. In the reference of previous order dated **14.07.2020** vide which both the parties were absent and an another opportunity was given on the request of appellant to both the parties to represent the case on the next date of hearing, with an adjournment dated 25.08.2020 through Video Conference at DC office Amritsar/ PSIC Chandigarh. But, **due to due to administrative reasons** the case **could not be heard through Video Conference at DC office Amritsar/ PSIC Chandigarh** and further fixed for hearing today i.e. 25.8.2020 through **Cisco Webex**.
2. Appellant is absent today but an email is received by the bench of undersigned dated 24.08.2020, wherein he has requested to attend hearing on VC at DC office Amritsar for all his three appeal cses i.e. **AC: 913/2020, AC: 914/2020, AC:457/2020** . This email is taken on record.
3. On the view of above, a telephonic call has been made from the Commission to the appellant, intimating him to join today's (i.e 25.08.2020) hearing on Cisco Webex, **as all the case of the undersigned bench is being heard through Cisco Webex only**. On this, the appellant Sh. Vikram Vaid requested to transfer all his cases to other bench as the matter is very crucial and he is not having any multimedia phone through which he could attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
4. Respondent, Sh. Hira Singh pleaded that he had already supplied the sought information to the appellant in **AC:1678/2018** (heard by the bench of Ld. SIC Avtar Singh Kaler vide

Appeal Case No.: 913 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

which the case was disposed of dated 11.09.2019) and **AC: 3978/2016** (heard by the bench of Ld. CIC S.S. Channy vide which the case was disposed of dated 30.03.2017). He further adds that no any other information is available in his office record. The bench of undersigned has received an email mentioning the same. This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.

5. The Commission has carefully considered the submissions of the parties and after going through the RTI application, it is observed that the primary contention of the Appellant is to compare between the information retrieved from the appeal cases i.e. **AC:1674/2018** (RTI application filed against Civil Hospital Jbmm Ram Bagh Amritsar) and **AC:1678/2018** (RTI application filed against DCP of Amritsar). **Point no. 1** enumerated in RTI application says "*Please provide me the information that **which one** is correct and **how** these records can be obtained from police department*" which is in **question form exempted u/s 2(f), RTI Act, 2005**. Hence, the commission cannot furnish the information appellant had sought for.

Further, information pertaining to **point no. 2 the Commission concurs with the detailed order passed by** Learned SIC Sanjeev Garg **on this same issue in AC:1674/18** that : "After going through the reply given in affidavit , the Appellant , Sh. Vikram Vaid orally gave his consent to close the instant appeal case", accordingly the case was disposed of and closed dated **29.05.2019**.

As no cause of action is left in this case, the case is disposed of and closed.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 25.08.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJABSTATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Vikram Vaid,
RTI Activist and Whistle Blower,
1527, Gali No 2,
BaghRamanand, Amritsar.

Appellant
Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 457 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent
Respondent: Sh. Hira Singh (ASI),9878091391

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated **21.07.2020** vide which another opportunity was given to the appellant with the direction to point out discrepancies if any in the information so supplied by the respondent. But the **appellant has failed to do so.**
2. An email is received by the bench of undersigned dated 05.08.2020 from the Appellant Sh. Vikram Vaid, Which is attached below:

੨੧੧

Reply of PIO that no such information is available in their record is against the provisions of RTI act 2005 as well as Instruction /guidelines provided by Govt. of Punjab for maintaining records and Punjab Police manual as per Home ministry govt. of india. In fact, PIO and AA has not maintained crucial records what they should have been required under their working duties according to manuals and instruction and their social accountabilities and responsibilities . Lot of corruption is also involved in this practice for which the under signed applicant desire that the very object of RTI act is not defeated by just keeping eyes closed on their reply as no information is available. commission has been empowered to investigate the lapses of every Govt. official for keeping improper or inadequate supply of essential records which is also subject of production on demand of the judiciary. This is well known that Punjab and Haryana High court has ordered to DGP Punjab and Health Department for computerisation of all such records and should be produced in court as and when they are to be shown as evidence to decide a criminal case. Central government has also given Rs 48.2 crores as funds for digitalization of criminal records I request that this matter require your personal attention so that defaulted and derailed system may be improved.

VIKRAM VAID

Scanned with CamScanner

This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.

3. Another email is received by the bench of undersigned dated 24.08.2020, wherein he has requested to attend hearing on VC at DC office Amritsar for all his three appeal cses i.e. **AC: 913/2020, AC: 914/2020, AC:457/2020** . This email is taken on record.
4. In the view of above (i.e email dated 24.08.2020), a telephonic call has been made from the Commission to the appellant, intimating him to join today's (i.e 25.08.2020) hearing on Cisco Webex, **as all the case of the undersigned bench is being heard through Cisco Webex only.** On this, the appellant Sh. Vikram Vaid requested to transfer all his cases to other bench as the matter is very crucial and he is not having any multimedia phone through which he could attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.

Appeal Case No.: 457 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. Appellant, Sh. Vikram Vaid is not present for today's hearing.

6. On perusal of the relevant documents on file and non-compliance of the previous order passed by the bench of undersigned, the Commission finds that appellant has no discrepancy to point out in the information so supplied by the respondent authority.

Moreover, **the Commission concurs with the detailed order passed in AC:1673/2018, AC:1674/2018, AC:1678/18, on this same issue** and all the cases were disposed of and closed accordingly. **Also, in the present case, there is no tangible public purpose** which has been cited by the appellant that would convince the Commission.

Hence, this instant appeal case is **Disposed of and closed**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 25.08.2020

(Dr.PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner



Advocate H.S. Hundal (98785-00082)

#203, Judicial Courts, Sector-76,
Mohali (SAS Nagar)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o – SSP, Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o- IG/DIG, Roopnagar Range,
Roopnagar

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 516 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceeding

Present: Appellant : Absent.
Respondent: Ms. Karamjeet Kaur, Head Constable (9779003132)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 04.08.2020 vide which it was observed that only information **pertaining to point no. 6**, of the RTI Application is required to the appellant and for the remaining part, he is satisfied with the submissions made by the respondent. Further, the appellant was directed/ advised to get the **record inspected**, he may approach respondent PIO .
2. Respondent, Ms. Karamjeet Kaur pleaded that with compliance of previous order dated 04.08.2020 the **information has been supplied**. She further added that, the appellant has visited office, for record inspection dated **05.07.2020 & 06.07.2020**.
3. Appellant Sh. H.S. Hundal **acknowledged having receiving the information pertaining to point no. 6** via email dated 10.08.2020. He has requested to dispose of the case in the email. This email is taken on record.
4. In the view of above, it is transpired that as the information stands supplied, therefore no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 25.08.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Varun Kumar (M :9677103577)

1570, Gali Kakezia, Katra
Baghia, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner
Police, Amritsar

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner
Police, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 1073 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent.

Respondent: Sh. Hira Singh (ASI) 9878091391

ORDER:

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 11.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Hira Singh pleaded that the sought information in aforesaid case is **under investigation**, therefore no information can be provided. He further added, that a **reply** has already been sent to the appellant with letter bearing no. **6251-CPC** dated **23.12.2019**. An email dated 24.08.2020 is received by the bench of undersigned wherein the same is mentioned. This email is taken on record with all its supporting enclosures.
3. Appellant Shri Varun Kumar is absent without any prior intimation.
4. **After hearing the respondent and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found that the information the appellant has solicited, is under investigation.** Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought, u/s 8(1)(H) of the RTI Act 2005. **The replies of respondents upheld. The matter was disposed of accordingly at Commission's end.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 25.08.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner