

Shri Rakesh Kumar s/o Shri Naresh Kumar, Village Maluka, Tehsil Rampura Phul, District Bathinda-151206.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of General Administration, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 8

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Satwinder Singh, Superintendent alongwith Shri Kesar Singh, APIO on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.11.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint case was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2018 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the respondent informs that requisite information has been supplied to the

complainant, which has been duly received by him. A letter dated 04.02.2019 through e-mail

has been received from the complainant submitting that he has received the information and the case may be closed.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Health Minister, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 4 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 29.09.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint case was filed in the Commission on 17.12.2018 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the appellant is absent without intimation.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Ms. Sangita Rani, H.No.601, Sector 14, West Old Milk Colony, Chandigarh.	Vs	• •	ellant
Public Information Officer o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.	V3	5	
First Appellate Authority o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.		Responde	ents.

Appeal Case .No. 1 of 2019

Present:- Shri Gian Chand on behalf of the appellant. Shri Roor Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 27.07.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 08.09.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 28.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. The representative of the appellant states that he will be satisfied if salary slip for the month of September, 2018 is provided to him as sought by her daughter in the application for seeking information under the Right to Information Act,

3. The representative of the respondents states that information has been provided to him vide their letter 23.02.2019 except salary slip for the month of September, 2018. The



Appeal Case .No. 1 of 2019

-2-

representatives of the respondents supplies a copy of salary slip for the month of September, 2019 to the appellant during the hearing. He further states that with the supply of salary slip, nothing is kept pending, which could be supplied to the appellant according to her RTI application for seeking information.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is revealed that complete information has been provided to the appellant to her satisfaction. Accordingly, the present case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 25.02.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Tejinder Singh r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

.....Appellant Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 12 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 12.09.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint case was filed in the Commission on 17.12.2018 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the appellant is absent without intimation.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864100, Email: - pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in



Shri Harmanpreet Singh s/o Shri Avtar Singh, VPO Hoshiarpur, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali.

...... Complainant. Vs

Complaint Case No. 41 of 2019

Present:- Shri Harmanpreet Singh, complainant, in person..

Shri Gurnam Singh, Superintendent alongwith Shri Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 29.09.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and complaint case was filed in the Commission on 16.01.2019 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.02.2019 by the Commission which was further postponed for today.

3. The respondent-PIO has sent their letter dated 8.2.2019 necessary contents of which are as under:-

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਬਾਰੇ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 130/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.-3 ਮਿਤੀ 14.01.19 (ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ) ਰਾਹੀਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ



<u>-2</u>

8(1)(ਈ) ਅਧੀਨ ਕਵਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਛੋਟ ਦੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ ਵਿਚ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਇੰਨਕਾਰ ਕਰ ਦਿਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।

4. The complainant states that he has filed one writ petition in the Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh for challenging appointment of Shri Manjinder Singh, who was junior to him in the merit list of general category. He has got the category changed from general to 'ward of police personnel' fraudulently.

5. After hearing both the parties at length and going through the record available on the case file, it is observed that stand taken by the respondent-PIO is not correct that the information relates to personal information of third party. The RTI Act 2005 is meant for promoting transparency in governmental functioning by bringing to light governmental actions done behind closed doors so that the common man is kept well informed of the goings on in the public authorities with a view to strengthening democracy.

6. In view of the foregoing, the respondent-PIO is directed to supply application form and copy of form for change of category from general category to 'ward of police personnel' as sought by the complainant under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

7. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Jaswinder Singh s/o Shri Major Singh, Gali No.3, Bhai Jarnail Nagar, Ward No.15, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

FAA-Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 29

Present:- Shri Sandeep Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant alongwith Shri Gurnam Singh on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 21.08.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal wise file with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 1.11.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the respondent places reply on behalf of the PIO, on record of the case

file, the contents of which are as under:-

ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਨਿਜੀ ਰਾਏ ਯੋਗ ਪ੍ਰਣਾਲੀ ਰਾਹੀਂ

ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 11(1) ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀ ਨੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।



Appeal Case No. 29

<u>-2-</u> ਇਸ ਲਈ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ, ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 11 ਅਧੀਨ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1)(ਜੇ) ਅਧੀਨ ਕਵਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਛੋਟ ਦੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ ਵਿਚ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੈ।"

4. The representative of the appellant states that information sought by him relates to promotion of junior employees to the higher posts ignoring him. He requires this information as the same is to be placed in a Civil Writ Petition pending before Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh. He is not seeking information of third parties but he is only seeking copies of office orders promoting junior employees to higher posts. Hence, he should be supplied the information as sought by the appellant.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is revealed that information sought for by the appellant is not third party. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply copies of office orders for promoting employees junior to him to higher posts on various dates after getting the necessary fee deposited under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 within 15 days from him. On receipt of the information from the respondents, the appellant is advised to go through the same and revert back to the authorities, in case of deficiencies, in the information.

6. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.**

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Rajinder Singh s/o Shri Baldev Singh, r/o Old Income Tax Wali Gali, Bathind Road, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh.

FAA-Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 30 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sandeep Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant alongwith Shri Gurnam Singh on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 21.08.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal wise file with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 15.10.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the respondent places reply on behalf of the PIO, on record of the case

file, the contents of which are as under:-

ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੁਚਨਾ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀਆਂ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਨਿਜੀ ਰਾਏ ਯੋਗ ਪ੍ਰਣਾਲੀ ਰਾਹੀਂ

ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 11(1) ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀ ਨੇ ਸੁਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ।



Appeal Case No. 30/2019

<u>-2-</u> ਇਸ ਲਈ ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ, ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 11 ਅਧੀਨ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1)(ਜੇ) ਅਧੀਨ ਕਵਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਛੋਟ ਦੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ ਵਿਚ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੈ।"

4. The representative of the appellant states that information sought by him relates to promotion of junior employees to the higher posts ignoring him. He requires this information as the same is to be placed in a Civil Writ Petition pending before Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh. He is not seeking information of third parties but he is only seeking copies of office orders promoting junior employees to higher posts. Hence, he should be supplied the information as sought by the appellant.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on the case file, it is revealed that information sought for by the appellant is not third party. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply copies of office orders for promoting employees junior to him to higher posts on various dates after getting the necessary fee deposited under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 within 15 days from him. On receipt of the information from the respondents, the appellant is advised to go through the same and revert back to the authorities, in case of deficiencies, in the information.

6. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.**

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill H.No.60-35-P-376-1, St. No.8, Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

FAA-Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

.....Respondents.

Appellant Case No. 42 of 2019

Vs

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.08.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 20.09.2018 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the appellant is absent without intimation.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, r/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Ludhiana (East).

FAA-Sub Divisional Magistrate, , Ludhiana (East) .

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 68 of 2019

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 02.09.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 02.10.2018 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the appellant is absent without intimation.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, r/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Police Station, Moti Nagar, Ludhiana.

FAA-Commissioner of Police, , Ludhiana.

......Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 70 of 2019

Vs

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 08.10.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 08.11.2018 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. Today, the appellant is absent without intimation.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Ram Murti s/o Shri Jaswant Rai, Jalandhar Road, Mehta Chowk, Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib, Distt. Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural)

FAA-Inspector General of Police, Boarder Zone, Amritsar.

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 81 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ram Murti, appellant, in person.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 29.08.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 11.10.2018 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2018 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him till date.

4. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on **01.04.2019** at **11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings.

Dated : 25.02.2019



Shri Devendra Kumar s/o Shri Bharat Prasad Singh, H.No. C-485, Street No.3, Adarsh Colony, Village Gobindgarh, Jugiana, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

FAA-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana..

.....Respondents.

Appeal Case No. 105 of 2019

Present:- Shri Devendra Kumar, appellant, in person. Shri Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 27.07.2018 vide which the appellant has

sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the

First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 08.09.2018 and Second Appeal

was filed in the Commission on 28.12.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information

Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. The appellant states that no information has been received by him till date.

The representative of the respondents states that same information has been sought by



Appeal Case No. 105/2018

<u>-2-</u>

the appellant in AC-3489/2018, which has been disposed of by Hon'ble SIC-A.S. Chanduraian on 25.02.2019. He further states that complete information has been sent to the appellant through registered post. On the direction of the Commission, the respondent hands over one copy more of the information to the appellant during the hearing. After perusing the same, the appellant states that action against the culprit has not been taken by the police till now in DDR No.6 of dated 03.04.2018 lodged in Police Station, Sahnewal. The representative of the respondents states that they have no jurisdiction to take action against the culprit for bounce of cheque.

4. After going through the record available on the case file and hearing both the parties at length, it is revealed that the information seeker is seeking information regarding action taken against the culprit, who has issued a cheque of Rs.10,000/- to him which was bounced on its presentation to the bank. Police has no jurisdiction to take action against the culprit. The appellant is advised to file case in the competent court of law for initiating action against the culprit. Under the RTI regime, complete information as sought by the information-seeker has been supplied. With these observations, the case is **disposed of** and **closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 25.02.2019

(S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab.