

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)**  
R/o Village Bholapur, PO-Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o MC, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Sushant Bhatia (Secretary-Cum-Nodal Officer), Sh. Vishal (Nodal PIO) along with Sh. Varinder Mohan (ATP-Cum-PIO).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that requisite information and compensation cheque has been received from the respondent PIO.
3. Respondent, Sh. Sushant Bhatia states that he is not the concerned respondent PIO as he is in the office of Cooperation Cooperative Societies. He added that MTP is the concerned office in this case and all ATPs are assigned duty as PIOs in their areas. He submits a reply vide letter no. MTP/1228 dated 06.10.2017 signed by the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.
4. Respondent, Sh. Virender Mohan submits a reply vide letter no. MTP/123 dated 22.07.2019 signed by the MTP, Municipal Cooperation, Amritsar along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is clear that Sh. Sushant Bhatia is not the concerned respondent PIO and he is exempted in this case till further orders.

It is also observed that requisite information and compensation cheque have already been supplied to the appellant and only penalty is pending to be deposited. As per the respondents present for today, it is clear that respondent, Sh. Varinder Mohan is the concerned respondent PIO in this case and he is directed to deposit the penalty amount in the Government Treasury account as per the previous order of the Commission dated 10.06.2019 and present the copy of challan on the next date of hearing positively.

6. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through **registered post** to comply of this order.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh  
24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)  
State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post) The Commissioner (By Name)**  
O/o Municipal Corporation,  
Amritsar.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**(Regd. Post) Sh. Rakesh Sharma (98884-01973)**  
R/o 182/12, Main Bazar, Katra Parja,  
I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

**(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer**  
O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue,  
Amritsar

**(Regd. Post) First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam,  
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Varinder Mohan (ATP-Cum-PIO).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Varinder Mohan states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and concerned official is unable to attend today's hearing.
3. Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma is not present for today's hearing but a letter dated 12.07.2019 has been received from the appellant in the Commission vide diary no. 13726 dated 17.07.2019 addressed to the MTP, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar requesting therein that to supply the requisite information. This letter is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to comply with previous order of the Commission dated 12.06.2019, failing to which warrant will be issued to the concerned respondent PIO.
5. Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively.
6. A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar through **registered post** to comply of this order.
7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post) The Commissioner (By Name)**  
O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam,  
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)**

C/o Civil Vet Hospital,  
Village Humayupur Tasimbli,  
Tehsil Derabassi  
District-SAS Nagar-140501

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director,  
Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,  
Livestock Complex, Sector-68,  
SAS Nagar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director,  
Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,  
Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3467 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha (PIO) along with Sh. Jasvir Singh (Officiating Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha handed over the information after removing the deficiencies to the appellant during the hearing. He submits a letter no. 322 dated 23.07.2019 signed by PIO, which is taken on record.
3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that supplied information is unattested and point no. 11 information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to attest the supplied information and supply the remaining reply/information relates with point no. 11 to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, who is well aware about this case.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com**



**Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)**

C/o Civil Vet Hospital,  
Village Humayupur Tasimbali,  
Tehsil Derabassi, District SAS Nagar-140501

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,  
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,  
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,  
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,  
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3852 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Gupta, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha (PIO) along with Sh. Jasvir Singh (Officiating Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha states that letters were sent to the concerned officials (to the third parties and to the Union representative) and he handed over a reply to the appellant during the hearing. He submits a reply vide letter no. 3533 dated 24.07.2019. He added that information in connection with point no. 6 is voluminous and requested to the appellant to specify the particular required information.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that he is not satisfy with the reply. He added that respondent PIO and FAA not replied timely if the information relates with third party. He demanded that letter through which letters were issued to the third parties.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining and examining the case file, respondent PIO handed over a copy of letter no. 3531 dated 24.07.2019 to the appellant during the hearing. It is also observed that information in connection with point no. 5 has already been supplied to the appellant and information and appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta is advised to specify the information in connection with point no. 6 to the respondent PIO in writing with a copy to the Commission.
5. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the information in connection with point no. 6 to the appellant before the next date of hearing, once he received the letter regarding the specific required information in writing from the appellant.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Major Singh (99888-51513)**

S/o Sh. Gian Chand, H. No. 23,  
St. No. 2, Aman Avenue,  
Majitha Road, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Assistant Commissioner,  
MC, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3942 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Major Singh, the appellant in person.  
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Major Singh states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.
3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this case.
4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 05.03.2019 and 24.04.2019. On hearing held on 10.06.2019, respondent, Sh. Prince (JA) was appeared and it was observed that he was not aware about the facts of this case. Due to evasive and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, appellant was awarded the compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/-. Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to provide the requisite information along with directions to represent this case in person and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 24.07.2019 i.e. today.
5. In view of the defying attitude of the respondent PIO neither attending the court nor submitting any explanation for the delay in supplying the information within the time schedule as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005, the court comes to a logical conclusion that it is a fit case for the imposition of penalty amounting to Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) on the PIO. Therefore, taking a lenient view, I impose a penalty of **Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) on the concerned respondent PIO, Office of Assistant Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.** The Drawing and Disbursing Officer of the office of the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 10,000/- from the salary of the concerned respondent PIO and deposited in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission:-

“0070-Other Administrative Services

60 other Services

800-Other Receipts

86-Fee under the RTI Act, 2005”

6. The perusal of the file also shows that respondent PIO has no regard for the Notice and Orders issued by the Commission. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through **registered post** for his ready reference.

7. A copy of the this order be sent to the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar by name through **registered post** for the compliance of this order.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh  
24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)  
State Information Commissioner**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
**(Regd. Post)** O/o Assistant Commissioner,  
MC, Amritsar

**The Commissioner (By Name)**  
**(Regd. Post)** O/o MC, Amritsar

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Simranjit Singh (9876076662)**  
S/o S. Jagdish Singh  
93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Building Branch,  
Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o MC, Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o MC, Jalandhar

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3967 of 2018**  
**Date of Institution: 27.11.2018**  
**Date of Decision: 24.07.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Neeraj Sharma (Inspector) along with Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Neeraj Sharma states that reply has already been supplied to the appellant as it is also mentioned in the last order of the Commission dated 10.06.2019. He submits a copy of letter no. 2965/MTP dated 23.05.2019 signed by the PIO, MTP Department, Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar, which is taken on record.
3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Simranjit Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 10.06.2019, last opportunity was given to the appellant to clear the facts and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 24.07.2019 i.e. today but the appellant failed to do so.
5. In wake of above, it is presumed that appellant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, no cause of action is left. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. R.P. Gupta (94639-90768)**  
#738/41-A, Chandigarh.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab  
Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,  
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,  
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab  
Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 3995 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Rajesh Gupta on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha (PIO) along with Sh. Jasvir Singh (Officiating Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.06.2019.
2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Rajesh Gupta states that requisite information was demanded from the Chief Secretary Office and he is not satisfied with the supplied reply by the respondent PIO.
3. On this, respondent, Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha states RTI application was down mark to their office by the Chief Secretary Office and reply as per RTI application has already been supplied to the appellant. He submits copy of a letter no. 3530 dated 24.07.2019 signed by the Superintendent Grade-I-Cum-PIO, which is taken on record.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that notice of hearing and orders of the previous hearings were sent to the respondent PIO, O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh.
5. A copy of this order be sent to the respondent PIO, O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh along with RTI application through **registered post** to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**(Regd. Post)** **Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab  
Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

**Encl.** RTI Application.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Ranvir Singh (98724-02354)**  
S/o Sh. Sukhdev Singh, H. No. 25,  
St. No. 19, Bhakhra Enclave, Patiala.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 622 of 2019**  
**Date of Institution: 05.02.2019**  
**Date of Decision: 24.07.2019**

**Present:** Sh. Ranvir Singh, the appellant in person.  
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.06.2019.
2. Appellant, Sh. Ranvir Singh states that he has given in writing to the respondent PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur stating that he does not want to collect the requisite information.
3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice and Orders of the Commission as respondent PIO was also absent on the previous hearings held on 23.04.2019, 12.06.2019 and today itself.
4. In wake of above, no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Sh. Mukesh Kumar (98150-80521)**

S/o Sh. Manohar Lal, Computer 'N' Care,  
C/o Sonu Guest House,  
Opp. Onkar Cinema, Nurmahal Road,  
Nakodar -144040.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o DPI (SE), Mohali, Punjab.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o DGSE, Punjab.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 638 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. Mukesh Kumar, the appellant in person.  
For the respondent: Sh. Parveen Kumar (Clerk, O/o DEO (SE), Jalandhar).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.04.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Parveen Kumar handed over the requisite information relates with the Office of DEO (SE), Jalandhar to the appellant during the hearing
3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant states that supplied information is not readable and some part of the information is misprint. He added that information relates with DEO (SE), Kapurthala has been received. He requested to supply the information relates with the Office of DEO (SE), Ferozepur instead of Jalandhar.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, respondent PIO, Office of DEO (SE), Ferozepur is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively. A copy of this order be sent to him along with RTI request through **registered post** for his ready reference.
5. A copy of this be sent to the respondent PIO, Office of DPI (SE), Mohali through **registered post** for the compliance of this order.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
**(Regd. Post)** O/o DEO (SE),  
Ferozepur

**Encl.** RTI Request.

**Public Information Officer (By Name)**  
**(Regd. Post)** O/o DPI (SE), Mohali, Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Advocate Parminder Singh**

S/o Joginder Singh, # 730,  
VPO Dahoka, Jalandhar (82641-00002).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o MC, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o MC, Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 651 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 11.02.2019**

**Date of Decision: 24.07.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Neeraj Sharma (Inspector) along with Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Dinesh Joshi submits a copy of reply in Appeal Case Numbers 651, 652 and 654 of 2019 signed by him as APIO-Cum-Building Inspector, MC, Jalandhar, which is taken on record.
3. Appellant, Advocate, Parminder Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 24.07.2019 has been received from the representative of the appellant, Sh. Simranjit Singh from email ID [simranjit.intuc@gmail.com](mailto:simranjit.intuc@gmail.com) by the Bench of undersigned stating that no reply has been received from the respondent PIO. This mail is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that submitted reply is satisfactory and respondent PIO is directed to send the reply to the appellant through registered post. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Advocate Parminder Singh**

S/o Joginder Singh, # 730,  
VPO Dahoka, Jalandhar (82641-00002).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o MC, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o MC, Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 652 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 11.02.2019**

**Date of Decision: 24.07.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Neeraj Sharma (Inspector) along with Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Dinesh Joshi submits a copy of reply in Appeal Case Numbers 651, 652 and 654 of 2019 signed by him as APIO-Cum-Building Inspector, MC, Jalandhar, which is taken on record.
3. Appellant, Advocate, Parminder Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 24.07.2019 has been received from the representative of the appellant, Sh. Simranjit Singh from email ID [simranjit.intuc@gmail.com](mailto:simranjit.intuc@gmail.com) by the Bench of undersigned stating that no reply has been received from the respondent PIO. This mail is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that submitted reply is satisfactory and respondent PIO is directed to send the reply to the appellant through registered post. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**Advocate Parminder Singh**  
S/o Joginder Singh, # 730,  
VPO Dahoka, Jalandhar (82641-00002).

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o MC, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o MC, Jalandhar.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.: 654 of 2019**

**Date of Institution: 11.02.2019**

**Date of Decision: 24.07.2019**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.  
For the respondent: Sh. Neeraj Sharma (Inspector) along with Sh. Dinesh Joshi (Building Inspector).

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.06.2019.
2. Respondent, Sh. Dinesh Joshi submits a copy of reply in Appeal Case Numbers 651, 652 and 654 of 2019 signed by him as APIO-Cum-Building Inspector, MC, Jalandhar, which is taken on record.
3. Appellant, Advocate, Parminder Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 24.07.2019 has been received from the representative of the appellant, Sh. Simranjit Singh from email ID [simranjit.intuc@gmail.com](mailto:simranjit.intuc@gmail.com) by the Bench of undersigned stating that no reply has been received from the respondent PIO. This mail is taken on record.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that submitted reply is satisfactory and respondent PIO is directed to send the reply to the appellant through registered post. Therefore, no cause of action is left in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**24.07.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**  
**State Information Commissioner**