RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1931 OF 2019

Shri Pargat Masih, S/o Shri Balkar Masih, C/o Shri Ankit Kapur, H.No.6, Partap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Director of Public Instructions(EE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Principal Secretary, Education Department Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Pargat Masih, appellant, in person None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant is present in the court who states that the respondents have not supplied the sought for information till date.

2. The respondent-PIO is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to supply the sought for information, duly attested, to the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. He is also directed to be present in the court, in person, on the next date of hearing along with the sought for information.

3. The appellant is directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in writing to the respondents within a week under intimation to the Commission.

4. The case is adjourned to **12-09-2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner



...Appellant

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 160 of 2019

Shri Hakam Singh S/o Sh. Darshan Singh, Village: Madhir, Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Appellant

ਕਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Public Information Officer O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Divisional Deputy Director, Rural Development & Panchayats, Ferozepur.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Appellant Shri Hakam Singh, BDPO-cum-PIO on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. The respondent-PIO brings the sought for information containing 65 pages in the court and states that he has already supplied this information to the appellant by registered post. On perusal of the information, it is observed that it is not attested by the concerned authority, so, the same is returned to the respondent –PIO with the direction to send it again to the appellant by registered post, duly attested, with 10 days under intimation to the Commission. He is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

3. The appellant is absent for the 4th time consecutively. He is directed to convey in writing the deficiencies, if any, in the supplied information within a week to the respondents under intimation to the Commission. He is further directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. In case of non-appearance, it will be presumed that he has received the demanded information and is satisfied with it and the case will be decided on merit.

4. The case is adjourned to **12-09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1932 OF 2019

Shri Pargat Masih, S/o Shri Balkar Masih, C/o Shri Ankit Kapur, H.No.6, Partap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Director of Public Instructions(EE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Principal Secretary, Education Department Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Pargat Masih, appellant, in person

None on behalf of the respondents.

The appellant is present in the court who states that the respondents have not supplied the sought for information till date.

2. The respondent-PIO is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to supply the sought for information, duly attested, to the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. He is also directed to be present in the court, in person, on the next date of hearing along with the sought for information.

3. The appellant is directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in writing to the respondents within a week under intimation to the Commission.

4. The case is adjourned to **12-09-2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

ORDER:



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1933 OF 2019

Shri Pargat Masih, S/o Shri Balkar Masih, C/o Shri Ankit Kapur, H.No.6, Partap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Director of Public Instructions(EE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Principal Secretary, Education Department Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Pargat Masih, appellant, in person

None on behalf of the respondents.

The appellant is present in the court who states that the respondents have not supplied the sought for information till date.

2. The respondent-PIO is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to supply the sought for information, duly attested, to the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. He is also directed to be present in the court, in person, on the next date of hearing along with the sought for information.

3. The appellant is directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in writing to the respondents within a week under intimation to the Commission.

4. The case is adjourned to **12-09-2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

ORDER:



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 178 OF 2019

Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

Versus

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant. None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. The Appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. The respondent PIO is absent for the 4th consecutive hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

4. After hearing the Appellant and perusing the record, it is observed the PIO did not bother to attend the court in person or to depute any other official, on his behalf. He has not filed reply to the Notice of the Commission. He has also not bothered to submit the reply of the show-cause notice.

5. From the above it transpires that the sought information has also not been supplied. It is clear that he does not have any respect for the statutory institution. The Commission cannot take it lying down. The matter has to be taken to a logical end. While exercising its authority under Section 18(3)(a) of the RTI Act, 2005, a bailable warrant is issued against Shri Partap Singh, PIO-cum-BDPO, Fazilka, with the direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to serve it on him to appear along with entire relevant record before the Commission on 22-08-2019 at 11.00 AM so that the requisite information can be arranged to be furnished to the information seeker and appropriate action is taken on the show cause notice issued to him.

7. The case is adjourned to **22-08-2019 at 11.00 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant. None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. The Appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. The respondent PIO is absent for the 4th consecutive hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

4. After hearing the Appellant and perusing the record, it is observed the PIO did not bother to attend the court in person or to depute any other official, on his behalf. He has not filed reply to the Notice of the Commission. He has also not bothered to submit the reply of the showcause notice.

5. From the above it transpires that the sought information has also not been supplied. It is clear that he does not have any respect for the statutory institution. The Commission cannot take it lying down. The matter has to be taken to a logical end. While exercising its authority under Section 18(3)(a) of the RTI Act, 2005, a bailable warrant is issued against Shri Partap Singh, PIO-cum-BDPO, Fazilka, with the direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to serve it on him to appear along with entire relevant record before the Commission on 22-08-2019 at 11.00 AM so that the requisite information can be arranged to be furnished to the information seeker and appropriate action is taken on the show cause notice issued to him.

6. The case is adjourned to **22-08-2019 at 11.00 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar,

Nawanshahar District SBS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant. Kuldip Singh, Kanungo, on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. The representative of the respondents brings the sought for information in the court

and hands it over to the appellant.

3. The Appellant after going through the provided information states that he is satisfied

with it and requests the court to file the case.

4. In view of the above, no further action is required, so, the Appeal case is disposed

of and closed.

5. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 992 OF 2019

Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (9464363290), Anti Corruption Wing, Samrala, District Ludhiana-141114.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Primary Education Officer, Khanna-II (Ludhiana).

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Primary Education Officer, Khanna-II (Ludhiana)

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, Appellant, in person. None on behalf of the Respondent - PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. The appellant appears and states that he has received the demanded information

and he is satisfied with it.

3. As the information demanded by the appellant stands supplied and no further action

is required in this appeal case. Therefore, the appeal case is disposed of and closed.

4. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2064 of 2018

Shri Ranjit Singh S/o Shri Charan Singh, # 491, Phase-2, Urban Estate, Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation, Sub Division No.8, Phase-5, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, Water Supply & Sanitation, SCO -158-159, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Ranjit Singh, Appellant, in person. Sh. Yadvinder Singh, Junior Engineer, is present on behalf of the Respondent-PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03-06.2019.

2. The appellant is present for today's hearing in the Commission who states that the

information supplied in respect of Point No.1-5 is unattested and information in respect of point No.6-7

has not been supplied till date.

3. Sh. Shri Yadvinder Singh, J.E. appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and reiterates their earlier stand that the remaining information has already supplied to the appellant.

4. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the information in respect of point No.6-7, duly attested, to the appellant within 10 days by registered post under intimation to the Commission. In case information is not available in the record of the Public Authority, necessary affidavit in this regard, duly attested by the Notary, be submitted on the next date of hearing. He is further directed to be present, in person, in the court on the next date of hearing.

5. The case is adjourned to **12-09-2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Ashwani Kumar, Citizen of India, House No.HL-245, Phase 9, SAS Nagar(Mohali).

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O The Director, State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, 1st / 2ns/3rd Floor, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/O The Director, State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, 1st / 2ns/3rd Floor, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3284 of 2016

Present : Shri Ashwani Kumar, appellant in person. Shri Kuldip Singh, PIO at present, and Shri J. K. Khanna, the then PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER: The order be read with reference to the previous order dated 03.06.2019.

2. Today, both the parties are present in the court.

3. The respondent-PIO, Shri Kuldip Singh, states that the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant. On previous date of hearing date, the court had ordered a compensation Rs.5000/- in favour of the appellant for undue delay in the supply of information. Pursuant to the direction of the Commission, Shri Kuldip Singh, PIO, brings the DD No.020291 dated 24-07-2019 for Rs.5000/- drawn on AXIS Bank, in favour of the appellant, Shri Ashwani Kumar, which he hands it over to Shri Ashwani Kumar in the court.

4. The Then PIO, Shri J. K. Sharma, submits original Affidavit alongwith 37 No. of Annexures as fresh information in the court today.

5. The appellant is directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied by the then PIO in the court today and convey the same to the respondents within 5-6 days under intimation to the Commission.

6. Shri Kuldip Singh, the Present PIO, is directed to submit an affidavit, duly attested by the Notary, on the next date of hearing, indicating that information as available in the record of Public Authority has been supplied to the appellant and no more information is available.

7. The case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **11-09-2019 at 01.00PM.**

8. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-Place : Chandigarh. (Avtar Singh Kaler) Dated: 24-07-2019. State Information Commissioner Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to :

Shri Avtar Singh Kaler, State Information Commission,Punjab Chandigarh.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Sarup Lal, S/o Shri Charan Lal, R/o Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar, Street No.2, Opp : Doaba Petrol Pump, KAPURTHALA.

...Appellant

...Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O The District Manager, PUNSUP, Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority, O/O The Manager, PUNSUP, Kapurthala.

Appeal Case No. 1076 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of both the appellant. Ms. Parminderjit Kaur, Superintendent-cum-PIO (at present) and Shri Ashok Kumar

ORDER:

The appellant is absent for the second time consecutively.

Bhandari, the then PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

2. The PIOs come present with the sought for information to be handed over to the appellant. The then PIO Shri Ashok Kumar Bhandari, (now superannuated from the services of PUNSUP) states that they had visited the house of the appellant, Shri Sarup Lal, to hand over the sought for information by hand but he had refused to receive the same.

3. The respondent PIOs are directed to supply the demanded information, duly page marked and attested, to the appellant within 3 days by registered post under intimation to the Commission.

4. The appellant is directed to convey in writing discrepancies, if any, to the respondents within 5 days in the supplied information by registered post under intimation to the Commission.

5. Both the parties are directed to be present in the court, in person, on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

6 The case is fixed for further hearing on **11-09-2019 at 01:00 PM**.

7. The copy of order be sent to the parties.

	Sd/-	Sd/-
Place : Chandigarh.	(Avtar Singh Kaler)	(Hem Inder Singh)
Dated: 24-07-2019.	State Information Commissioner	State Information Commissioner

Copy to :

Shri Avtar Singh Kaler, State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 285 OF 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office-B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Executive Officer, Municipal Council, RAHON, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Deputy Directgor, Local Bodies, JALALDHAR.

....Respondents

Present : Adv. Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant, in person Shri G. B. Sharma, EO-cum-PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The representative of respondents states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the appellant.

2. The appellant states that he has received the information but he wants to tally it with the record.

3. The representative of respondents states that the appellant may visit their office on any working day.

4. When asked by the court that when the appellant will visit the office of MC, Rahon, he states will visit on Monday, i.e. 29th July, 2019 at 2.30PM. To this, the respondent-PIO agreed.

5. On the request of the appellant, **the case is disposed off and closed** as he has received all the demanded information and satisfied with it. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 574 OF 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office-B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o The Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, RAHON, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Deputy Directgor, Local Bodies, JALALDHAR.

Present : Adv. Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant, in person Shri G. B. Sharma, EO-cum-PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The representative of respondents states that appellant was asked to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.200/- so that the sought for information may be supplied to him.

2. The appellant deposited the documentation fee through Demand Draft (DD) in favour of the PIO Rahon instead of the EO, MC, Rahon. This DD was returned to the appellant by the respondents with the advice to make the same in the name of EO, MC, Rahon.

3. The appellant states that he will not deposit the demanded fee as he has already incurred amount on preparation and cancellation of demand draft.

5. The appellant is advised/suggested to deposit the documentation fee through a demand draft because without fee he will not get the demanded information but he is adamant not to listen the advice.

6. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent – PIO asked the appellant to deposit the documentation fee within stipulated time. Therefore, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the Respondent to get the information after depositing the requisite fees. No further action is required in this Appeal Case, which is hereby **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 575 OF 2019

Versus

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office-B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o The Executive Officer, Municipal Council, RAHON, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Deputy Directgor, Local Bodies, JALALDHAR.

Present : Adv. Anil Kumar Singh, Appellant, in person Shri G. B. Sharma, EO-cum-PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

Today, both the parties are present in the court.

2. The appellant states that the respondent-PIO has not supplied the sought for information till date.

3. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent – PIO has not asked the appellant to deposit the documentation fee within stipulated time. Therefore, the Respondent-PIO is directed to supply the demanded information, duly attested, free of cost within 10 days with a copy to the Commission.

4. The appellant is advised to convey in writing to the Respondent-PIO, deficiencies, if any, in the supplied information within a week under intimation to the Commission.

5. Both the parties are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

6. The case of adjourned and fixed for further hearing on 12.09.2019 at11.30AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No.1925 of 2019

Shri Sarvjit Singh, #4144, Phase-II, Urban Estate, PATIALA.

Public Information Officer, O/o The Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Superintending Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Circle, PATIALA.

Present : Shri Sarvjit Singh, Appellant, in person. Shri Gopal Rai, SDE, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The representative of the respondents appears and states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the appellant.

2. The appellant is present in the court who states that the information supplied by the respondents is incomplete. The appellant is directed to convey in writing deficiencies, if any, in the supplied information to the respondents within a week under intimation to the Commission.

3. The respondents are directed to supply complete information, duly attested, after receiving discrepancies from the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. The respondents are further directed to be present in the court alongwith the sought for information on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

4. To come up on **06-08-2019 at 12.30PM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh. (Deemed PIO)

...Respondents

Present : Shri Jasbir Singh, Appellant, in person. Shri Naveen Sharma, Senior Assistant, (LG-I), on behalf of the Respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The appellant is present and states that no information has been supplied by the respondents till date.

2. The representative of the respondents states that the RTI application of the appellant has not been received by them. We are enclosing herewith copy of the RTI of the appellant for ready reference.

3. Keeping in view the above, the PIO of O/o the Director, Local Government, Punjab, is impleaded as "Deemed PIO". He is directed to supply the information as enumerated in the RTI application of the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission.

4. The appellant is directed to convey in writing deficiencies, if any, to the respondents in the supplied information within a week under intimation to the Commission.

5. The case is adjourned and to come up on 04-09-2019 at 11.30AM for further hearing.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2001 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh. (Deemed PIO)

...Respondents

Present : Shri Jasbir Singh, Appellant, in person. Shri Naveen Sharma, Senior Assistant, (LG-I), on behalf of the Respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The appellant is present and states that no information has been supplied by the respondents till date.

2. The representative of the respondents states that the RTI application of the appellant has not been received by them. We are enclosing herewith copy of the RTI of the appellant for ready reference.

3. Keeping in view the above, the PIO of O/o the Director, Local Government, Punjab, is impleaded as "Deemed PIO". He is directed to supply the information as enumerated in the RTI application of the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission.

4. The appellant is directed to convey in writing deficiencies, if any, to the respondents in the supplied information within a week under intimation to the Commission.

5. The case is adjourned and to come up on 04-09-2019 at 11.30AM for further hearing.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



... Appellant

APPEAL CASE NO. 2005 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh. (Deemed PIO)

...Respondents

Present : Shri Jasbir Singh, Appellant, in person. Shri Naveen Sharma, Senior Assistant, (LG-I), on behalf of the Respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The appellant is present and states that no information has been supplied by the respondents till date.

2. The representative of the respondents states that the RTI application of the appellant has not been received by them. We are enclosing herewith copy of the RTI of the appellant for ready reference.

3. Keeping in view the above, the PIO of O/o the Director, Local Government, Punjab, is impleaded as "Deemed PIO". He is directed to supply the information as enumerated in the RTI application of the appellant within 10 days under intimation to the Commission.

4. The appellant is directed to convey in writing deficiencies, if any, to the respondents in the supplied information within a week under intimation to the Commission.

5. The case is adjourned and to come up on 04-09-2019 at 11.30AM for further hearing.

Chandigarh 24-07-2019