

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Sukhwinder Rattan, (9815065123)

House No. 214/107, Krishna Street, Doraha,
Ludhiana – 141421

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o E.O, Municipal Council,
Kuruli.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 24 of 2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sukhwinder Rattan

Respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (E.O), 9888628260

ORDER (Fifth Hearing) :

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 12.10.2022.
2. Both the parties are present for hearing. Respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh pleaded that sought information has been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the official record. The appellant, Sh. Sukhwinder Rattan acknowledged the receiving of the same.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh

Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Ms. Charanjit Kaur, (89681 70428)
W/o Shri Baghel Singh,
95, Sector 5 C, New Shastri Nagar,
Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib-147301

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Gram Panchayat,
Jalla, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 399 OF 2022

PRESENT: Ms. Charanjit Kaur, Complainant.
Advocate Amrao Singh Sarao on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.10.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Complainant appears in person and reiterates her earlier version that incomplete information has been provided to her.

3. The Respondent appears in person files his written reply in response to the previous order of the Commission.

4. The Bench observes that the complainant has directly approached the Commission by preferring complaint in the Commission. The Bench further observes that the Complainant has not availed an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) No. 32768-32769/2010) - Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereby, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. The Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

Cont...Pg02

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



-02-

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0399 OF 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order, this case is hereby, **REMANDED BACK** to the First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. If, however, the Complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant Complaint Case is hereby, **Disposed Off and Closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

**Chandigarh
23.11.2022**

**Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Copy of above is forwarded to the following for information and further nessary action along with RTI application dated 21.03.2022:-

**The First Appellate Authority – cum –
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.**

**The Public Information Officer – cum –
Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sirhind – 2, District Fatehgarh Sahib.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Karam Singh, (98141 34265)
House No. 83, New Narotam Bagar,
Rattanheri Road, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 400 OF 2022 **(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

PRESENT: Shri Karam Singh, Complainant.
Shri Gill Preet Singh, Clerk on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 19.07.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Complainant states that information sought has not been provided to him.
3. The Respondent appears in person files his written reply in response to the previous order of the Commission.
4. The Bench observes that the complainant has directly approached the Commission by preferring complaint in the Commission. The Bench further observes that the Complainant has not availed an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) No. 32768-32769/2010) - Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereby, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. The Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

Cont...Pg02

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



-02-

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0400 OF 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order, this case is hereby, **REMANDED BACK** to the First Appellate Authority who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. If, however, the Complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant Complaint Case is hereby, **Disposed Off and Closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

**Chandigarh
23.11.2022**

**Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Copy of above is forwarded to the following for information and further nessary action along with RTI application dated 22.03.2022:-

**The First Appellate Authority – cum –
District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Fatehgarh Sahib.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Jagmohan Singh, (9872755908)

S/o Sh. Chiman Lal,

R/o Village Devi Nagar, Tehsil Dera Bassi,

Distt. S.A.S Nagar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o S.H.O, Derabassi,

Distt. S.A.S Nagar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 710 of 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
18.10.2022	-	04.11.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Mandeep Singh on behalf of applicant

Respondent: Sh. Birinder Singh, (ASI)

ORDER (FIRST & SECOND HEARING):

1. The Appellant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission under life liberty. Accordingly, the case is fixed for 21.11.2022. As nobody was present on behalf of respondent authority on first hearing the matter was adjourned with a short date after one day i.e. 23.11.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Birinder Singh pleaded that the sought information has been provided to the appellant and no any other information pertaining to this RTI application is pending in the official record. Appellant representative Sh. Mandeep Singh acknowledged the receiving of the requisite and showed his satisfaction for the same.
3. In view of above, as the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Manjit Singh, (99142 00995)
S/o Shri Santa Singh,
R/o Narang Colony, Street No 3,
House No 10330, Near Gurmukh Dholi,
Sri Muktsar Sahib - 152026

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, Provincial Division,
Public Works Department (B & R),
Jalandhar Cantt.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Superintending Engineer,
Construction Circle No. 1,
Public Works Department (B & R), Jalandhar Cantt.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 887 OF 2022

PRESENT: None for the parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The PIO is absent. However, he has sent a letter to the Bench through Email mentioning therein that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant on 22.11.2022 and he has acknowledged the same.

3. The Appellant is absent. However, a letter has been received by the Bench. The perusal of the same shows that complete information has been received by the appellant to his satisfaction.

4. In view of the above, no cause of action is left in this matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Sachin Jain, (8727000182)

234, Advocate Enclave,
Sector 49 A, Chandigarh.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Director Research & Medical Education,
Sector 69, Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director Research & Medical Education,
Sector 69, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.934 of 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order / Reply, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
20.09.2021	06.10.2021	24.12.2021	06.01.2022	22.02.2022

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sachin Jain

Respondent: Ms. Vandna Kaushal (Suptd. Cum PIO), 9814960860

ORDER :

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 27.09.2022 .
2. Both the parties are present. The requisite information is handed over to the appellant in the court and the appellant showed his satisfaction after going through the same.
3. In view of above, as the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Vishal, (91377 00008)
S/o Shri Baldev Raj,
House No. 188, Sarian Mohalla,
Near Gurudwara, Distt. Pathankot – 145001

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1252 OF 2022

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Surinder Singh, XEN – cum – PIO (98885 83311)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The PIO appears in person and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and today he files an Affidavit with regard to the information supplied. Copy of the same is retained in the case file.

3. Despite adequate notice for the hearing, the Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. It seems that he is not interested in pursuing the case further and it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO.

4. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
22.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Vishal, (91377 00008)
S/o Shri Baldev Raj,
House No. 188, Sarian Mohalla,
Near Gurudwara, Distt. Pathankot – 145001

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1253 OF 2022

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Surinder Singh, XEN – cum – PIO (98885 83311)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The PIO appears in person and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and today he files an Affidavit with regard to the information supplied. Copy of the same is retained in the case file.

3. Despite adequate notice for the hearing, the Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. It seems that he is not interested in pursuing the case further and it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO.

4. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
22.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Vishal, (91377 00008)
S/o Shri Baldev Raj,
House No. 188, Sarian Mohalla,
Near Gurudwara, Distt. Pathankot – 145001

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1254 OF 2022

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Surinder Singh, XEN – cum – PIO (98885 83311)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The PIO appears in person and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and today he files an Affidavit with regard to the information supplied. Copy of the same is retained in the case file.

3. Despite adequate notice for the hearing, the Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. It seems that he is not interested in pursuing the case further and it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO.

4. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
22.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Avtar Singh

S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh,

R/o Village Mamupur, Tehsil Kharar,

Distt. S.A.S Nagar Mohali

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o BDPO, Mohali

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o DDPO, Mohali

Appeal Case No.1782 of 2022

Present: Appellant: **Sh. Avtar Singh**

Respondent: Sh. Jagmohan Singh

ORDER (Third Hearing) :

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 01.11.2022.
2. Both the parties are present for hearing. Respondent, Sh. Jagmohan Singh handed over the requisite information in the court and the appellant has shown the satisfaction for the same.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh

Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Sarabjit Singh, (95920 90681)
S/o ShriGurdeep Singh,
R/o V.P.O. Gumanpura,
Tehsil & District Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDO, Construction Division,
Public Works Department (B & R),
Sub Division Patti, District Tran Taran.

First Appellate Authority

O/o XEN, Construction Division,
Public Works Department (B & R), Amritsar.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2902 OF 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
28-03-2022		02-05-2022	-	20-06-2022

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Gurmeet Singh, (AE), 9855257006

ORDER (THIRD HEARING):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 20.09.2022 vide which the sought information was supplied to the appellant and he was asked to point out deficiencies in the same.
2. The commission is in receipt of correspondence from O/o SDO, Construction Division - 2 Amritsar therein it mentioned that sought information comprising 768 pgs. has been sent to the appellant.
3. Respondent, Sh. Gurmeet Singh retired the content of above submission and further stated that no any other information is pending in the office record.
4. In view of above and as the appellant is absent without any intimation to the commission the Commission presumes that the appellant does not want to pursue his case anymore. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed.**

However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Dilbagh Chand, NaibSubedar (Retd.) (94632 67669)

S/o ShriRamjiDass,

R/o Village Hiyatpur, P.O. Haibowal,

Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2911 OF 2022

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/Complaint filed in the Commission
22-03-2022	-	06-05-2022	-	21.06.2022

Present: Appellant: Shri Dilbagh Chand

Respondent: Sh. Amarjit Singh, (ASI), 9780001412

ORDER (THIRD HEARING):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 20.09.2022 .
2. Appellant, Shri Dilbagh Chand acknowledged the receipt of sought information and requested to close the case. He further added the commission that he does not want compensation amount of Rs. 10,000/- from the respondent as the matter has been resolved and requested to close the case.
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and submission made by both the parties as also the submission of the appellant that the matter of the compensation amount of Rs. 10,000/- has been resolved and he don't want to peruse this case anymore, therefore no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter. **The compensation proceedings (order dated 16.08.2022 amount Rs. 10,000/-) under the provision of Section 19(8)(b) stands dropped.**

The Respondent is however, cautioned to exercise due care in future to ensure that correct and complete information is furnished timely to the RTI applicant(s) as per provisions of the Act failing which penal proceedings under Section 20 shall be initiated.

Accordingly the case is disposed of and close.

Chandigarh

Dated: 23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2969 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 250/- vide letter dated 12.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 250/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2970 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 2620/- vide letter dated 11.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 2620/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2972 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 610/- vide letter dated 20.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 610/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2973 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 570/- vide letter dated 11.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 570/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2974 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 410/- vide letter dated 20.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 410/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2975 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent appears in person and states that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 28.09.2022 by registered post under intimation to the Commission. He further states that no more information is available in the official records other than supplied to the appellant.

4. In view of the above, the Bench is convinced that adequate information/reply stands supplied to the appellant by registered post. The Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2976 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 2500/- vide letter dated 04.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 2500/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2977 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 700/- vide letter dated 12.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 700/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (85095 80002)
R/o H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2978 OF 2022

PRESENT: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant.
Shri Ranjit Singh, Clerk – cum Incharge Supdt. for the PIO (95010 09120)

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 13.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Appellant appears in person and states that information sought has not been provided to him.

3. The Respondent states that appellant has been asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 1425/- vide letter dated 07.04.2022 but he did not respond.

4. The Bench, on perusal of the case file, is convinced that the appellant was asked to deposit the documentation fee of Rs. 1425/- within prescribed period, but he did not respond.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the appellant is at liberty to get the information after depositing the requisite fee.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Pardeep Kumar, (94639 42792)
S/o Shri Shamsher Chand,
R/o Village Kotla Ajner, Tehsil Khamano,
District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4534 OF 2021

PRESENT: Shri Pardeep Kumar, Appellant.
Shri Jasbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary (94173 30631) on behalf of the PIO

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The Respondent appears in person along with remaining information for the perusal of the Commission and the appellant and same is handed over to the appellant during the hearing.

3. After deliberations on the RTI application of the appellant, the appellant acknowledges the receipt of remaining information.

4. In view of the above, the Bench is convinced that adequate information/reply stands supplied to the appellant. The Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
23.11.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner Punjab