



Sh Harpreet Singh,
Civil Court, Chamber NO-2,
Phul, Distt Bathinda.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Child Security and Development Deptt,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 576 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Mrs.Usha Devi-CDPO Phul for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 18.03.2021 has sought information regarding what amount under Bal Vikas Yojna is being given to the firstborn child and how many children have taken the benefits of the said scheme and further why the benefit of said scheme was not given to Ravneet Kaur d/o Chand Singh in the year 2017 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Child Security & Development Department Bathinda. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 07.05.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. Mrs.Usha Devi,-CDPO Phul is present and pleaded that the RTI application was filed by the complainant with the Office of Child Security and Development Bathinda. They received the RTI application from the office of Child Security and Development Bathinda on WhatsApp on 25.03.2021. However, since there were different schemes regarding the subject matter and the complainant did not disclose the exact name of the scheme a reply was sent to District Programme Officer, Bathinda vide letter dated 30.03.2021. The Commission has received the reply of the PIO-CDPO Phul on 15.12.2021 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

From the facts of the case, the Commission observes that the PIO-Child Security and Development Bathinda did not transfer the RTI application under sections 6(3) or 5(4) of the RTI Act but merely forwarded this RTI application the same on a WhatsApp. Further, since the matter relates to CDPO Phul, they took the help of PIO-CDPO Phul to provide the information. The CDPO Phul later raised a query as to under which scheme the complainant wants information.

Having gone through the RTI application, I see no reasons for the query as the RTI application is self-explanatory. This is clearly poor and lazy handling of the RTI application.

Hence both the PIOs (PIO O/o Child Security and Development Bathinda and CDPO Phul) are hereby directed to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not transferring the RTI application in a proper way and secondly for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

Complaint Case No. 576 of 2021

The PIO is again directed to provide complete information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

CC to PIO-CDPO, Phul
Distt.Bathinda.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2122 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 10.08.2020 has sought information regarding details of medical bills of govt employees received and passed by the medical board from 01.12.2019 to 31.03.2020 along with a copy of rule/instructions of the Govt for passing the bills and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2119 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 04.08.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of Govt letter on the basis of which Rs.20000/- is charged for providing plasma to corona patients and copy of letter on the basis of which no amount is charged for plasma and name and designation of the first appellate authority and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide all available information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2118 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 10.08.2020 has sought information regarding details of medicines provided to the patients in the de-addiction centre – number of patients provided the medicines and number of patients remained unattended – name and designation of the first appellate authority and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2114 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.08.2020 has sought information regarding the number of Corona patients visiting the hospital and how many ambulances are made available for the Corona patients and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise, the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2113 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.10.2020 has sought information regarding authorized private hospitals for corona treatment in Ludhiana and waiting list of patients as well as name & designation of first appellate authority and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby direct the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2121 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 31.07.2020 has sought information regarding copy of show cause notice issued for not providing bed to Sanjiv Nagpal in DMC Hospital and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby direct the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2120 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 31.09.2020 has sought information regarding number of covid centres in Ludhiana alongwith details of beds provided and lying vacant and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby direct the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2117 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 10.08.2020 has sought information regarding number of beds in private and Govt hospitals having ventilator facility in Ludhiana and number of beds lying vacant during corona period and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby direct the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2116 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.08.2020 has sought information regarding details of medical staff in civil hospital Ludhiana and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2115 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.08.2020 has sought information regarding fees prescribed by the Govt for treatment of corona cases in all hospitals of Ludhiana district and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhushan Jain, s/o Sh Rajinder Kumar Jain,
H No-392, Street NO-3, Nirmal Nagar,
Dugri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2112 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bhushan Jain as the Appellant
Ms.Neetu Accountant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 11.09.2020 has sought information regarding instructions for reservation of 50% bed for treatment of corona patients in private hospitals and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The PIO is absent. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO has sought adjournment stating that the dealing assistant is on leave.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there has been an enormous delay of more than one year in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to provide information to the appellant within ten days of the receipt of the order, otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **28.03.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission