**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Gurdev Singh, S/o Sh Gaga Singh,

Village Kothe Spura Singh Wala,

P.O Mahima Swai, Distt Bathinda. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Head Master, High School,

Village kothe batha Singh Wala,

Bathinda..

**First Appellate Authority,**

District Education Officer, (S)

Bathinda ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2211 of 2017**

Present : Sh Gurdev Singh on the behalf of Appellant.

For the respondent Sh Harjit Sinh, English Teacher.

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 16.05.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 04.08.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.10.2017 in the Commission.

**3.** The appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the respondent. Respondent has sought some time to provide the information to the appellant.

4. Some time be given to the respondent to provide the information to the appellant. On the request of the respondent, the appeal is adjourned on **13.11.2017 at 11.00 AM.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, S/o Sh Malkeet Singh,

H No-11, Basant Vihar, Opposite NewTruck Union,

Sangrur. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer, (S),

SBS Nagar, Nawanshehar ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 711 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent Sh Hajit Singh, DEO, SBS Nagar.

**ORDER**

The appellant has informed on phone that he has received the information and is satisfied.

1. in view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Amarjit Singh,

# H-694, W. No-10, Chhota Bagowal,

Tangralia Road, Mukerian, Distt Hoshiarpur. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Circle Education Officer,

Faridkot ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 745 of 2017**

Present : None is present.on the behalf of Complainant.

For the respondent Sh Ashok Chawla, Superintendent ,APIO.

**ORDER**

The order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.09.2017 vide which the complainant was absent.

1. Today again the complainant is not present. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
2. Respondent states that the information, as exists, in the record has been sent to the complainant.
3. The complainant is absent today. He was not even present on the last date of hearing. Moreover, he has not pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided to him so far. Therefore, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.
4. Since, the information has been sent to the complainant, no cause of action is left. The complaint case filed by the complaint is, therefore**, disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Mitter Sain Goyal Advocate,

H No, 297, Street No.-5, Upkar Nagar,

Civil Lines, Ludhiana. Complainant

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Municipal Corporation, O & M Branch

Zone-C, Ludhiana. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1666 of 2016**

Present : Sh Arvind Galav on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent Sh Nacchatar Singh, XEN, MC Ludhiana.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.09.2017.

2. A letter has been received from the complainant vide commission diary no. 23745 dated 23.10.2017 mentioning therein "t*hat the SPIO has intentionally supplied the information very late so that the complainant or his Advocate may not examine it in time".* Complainant has authorized Sh. Arvind Galav to appear on his behalf for today's hearing.

3. Respondent states that he has brought another copy of information today in the Commission. He further states that representative of the complainant may go through the same. Respondent has also filed an affidavit in response to the show cause notice issued to him.

4. Sh. Arvind Galav has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the information provided.

5. Keeping in view all the facts mentioned in the reply the show cause notice is hereby dropped.

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The complaint case filed by the complainant is , therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Ms Sunaina, D/o Sh Saint lal,

R/o Dhingra Colony, Gali No-2,

Fazilka. . Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab School Education Board,

Phase-8, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Vice Chairman, Punjab School Education Board,

Phase-8, Mohali ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2210 of 2017**

Present : Sh Sant Kumar is present on the behalf of Appellant.

For the respondent Sh Jatinder Singh,Jr Assitant, Sh Gagandeep Jolly, Sr Assistant.

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 19.05.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.08.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.10.2017 in the Commission.

3. The appellant has authorized Sh. Sant Kumar ,her father to appear on her behalf. Representative of the appellant states that the Ms. Suniana- the appellant has received information and is satisfied but she has not been provided the information within the time as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005. She has, therefore, suffered mental harassment and financial loss in attending the hearings in the Commission.

4. After hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that complete information has been given to the appellant. Respondent has also brought complete record today in the Commission. But the representative of the appellant is confused between rechecking and revaluation. Even he has inspected complete record in the Commission office. Respondent states that the information is also available on website.

5. Since, the complete information has been given to the appellant, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore **disposed of and closed.**

**Appeal Case No. 2210 of 2017**

Copy of the order be sent to the parties. As regards the delay in supplying the information, I have taken a lenient view and not imposing any penalty, but the PIO is warned to be careful in future.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh Janak Raj Singh. S/o sh balbir Singh Uppal,

Ward No-11, Khamanon,

Fatehgarh Sahib.

. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab State Warehousing Corporation,

Fatehgarh Sahib. ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1103 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Janak Raj Singh the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Rajeev Kumar, APIO

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 03.10.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 12.10.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.10.2017 in the Commission. The information sought was claimed to be concerning life and liberty.

3. Complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Sh. Rajeev Kumar, APIO- the respondent has appeared who was totally oblivious of facts of the case. It is observed that he is not interested in giving any relevant answer to the queries asked by us. He says that he does not have any power so he was asked to contact the PIO- Sh. Gurpreet Singh on phone (7087935372) to get the permission for inspection rights for appellant but the PIO refused, which shows that they have no regard for the orders issued by the Commission. This is indeed a serious matter. Sh. Rajeev Kumar the respondent states that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the postal order submitted by the complainant has been expired.

5. I see no reason why it should be denied. In view of the foregoing, I, accordingly, overrule the objection of the Respondent and direct him to bring original record on 25.10.2017 failing which action under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act will be initiated. Sh. Gurpreet Singh is directed to personally present on 25.10.2017 alongwith complete record.

6. To come up on **26.10.2017 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Jagmohan Singh Makkar,

H No-334, G.T Road, Salem Tabri,

Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Mandi officer,

Gill Road, Dana Mandi, Backside, Arora Cinema,

Ludhiana..

**First Appellate Authority,**

District Mandi Officer,

Punjab Mandi Bhawan,

Sec-65-A, Mohali ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2206 of 2017**

Present : Sh Jagmohan Singh is present on the behalf of appellant.

For the respondent Sh. Charanjit Singh, Superintendent, Sh Paramjit Singh,DGM.

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 11.07.2016 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.08.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.10.2017 in the Commission

3. The appellant states that he has received the information regarding point no. 1 & 3. He further states that he has not received the information pertaining to point no. 2.

4. Respondent states that the appellant has not demanded specific information regarding point no. 2 (i.e. address and name of marriage palace). Therefore, information pertaining to point no. 2 was not provided to the appellant.

5. The appellant is advised to send the detail of marriage palace to the respondent as soon as possible. Respondent is directed to provide the information to the appellant regarding point no. 2 after getting the details from the appellant.

6. To come up on **13.11.2017 at 11.00 AM.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Sant Kumar, S/o Sh G.S. Gogna,

R.o B-1-171, Guru Nanak Pura, Civil Lines,

Ludhiana Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Forest Officer,

Opp Western mall, Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o District Forest Officer,

Oppo Western mall, Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1626 of 2017**

Present : Sh Sant Kumar for the Appellant.

For the respondent Sh Monika Malhotra, Clerk.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 08.09.2017.

2. Sh. Sant Kumar – the appellant states that no information has been provided to him so far by the respondent.

3. Sh. Monika Malhotra , clerk is appearing on behalf of the respondent and states that the information demanded by the appellant is not concerned with their department. Appellant further states that if information is not concerned with their department, then why the respondent had asked documentation fee.

4. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant has filed his RTI on 18.01.2017, but after lapse of more than eleven months no information has been given to him so far. It is observed that the respondent who has appeared today in the Commission is not aware about the facts of the case. This is indeed a serious matter. It is observed that the respondents are being represented by very low level officials who are not in a position to satisfy the commission and the appellant. From the next date of hearing, no person below the PIO or APIO will be allowed to appear.

**Appeal Case No. 1626 of 2017**

5. The appellant has had to attend the Commission on three occasions in connection with this appeal. In the facts and circumstances of the case , I am of the view that ends of justice will be met by allowing a compensation of Rs. 2500/- (Rs. Two Five only) to the appellant.

6. I, therefore, order that the office of the Respondent Public Authority shall pay a sum of Rs. 2500/- (Rs. Two thousand Five hundred only) to the appellant towards compensation within one week of the receipt of this order.

7. PIO(by name), District Forest Officer, Opp Western mall, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana is directed to come present on the next date of hearing failing which action under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act will be initiated.

8. To come up on **13.11.2017 at 11.00 AM.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh Hardeep Singh, S/o Sh Mukhtiar Singh,

# 132, Ganapati Enclave, Dabwali Road,

Bathinda.. . Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (S),

Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**,

District Education Officer (S),

Bathinda ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2308 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

Neither the appellant nor the respondent is present today. Respondent is absent on the last hearing also. The appellant has sent a letter vide commission diary no. 23393 dated 16.10.2017 that he has received partial information from the respondent.

2. Respondent may note that this is the last opportunity given to him to appear before the Commission and state his case failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act 2005 will be initiated.

3. To come up on **13.11.2017 at 11.00 AM.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, S/o Sh Malkeet Singh,

H No.11, Basant Vihar, Opposite Truck Union,

Sangrur. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Primary Instructions (S),

Phase-8, Mohali. ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 605 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent none is present.

**ORDER**

The appellant has informed on phone that he has received the information and is satisfied.

2. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, S/o Sh Malkeet Singh,

H No-11, Basant Vihar, Opposite New Truck Union,

Sangrur. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer,

Sangrur. ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 703 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent none is present.

**ORDER**

The appellant has informed on phone that he has received the information and is satisfied.

2. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Vidya Sagar, S/o Sh Kasturi Lomsh Lal,

101-D, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana.. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Registrar, Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2200 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

A perusal of the appeal reveals that the language used by the appellant is couched in un-parliamentary words and needs to be condemned. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to a Larger bench/Full bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

2. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Vidya Sagar, S/o Sh Kasturi Lomsh Lal,

101-D, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana.. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Registrar, Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2201 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

A perusal of the appeal reveals that the language used by the appellant is couched in un-parliamentary words and needs to be condemned. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to a Larger bench/Full bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

2. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Vidya Sagar, S/o Sh Kasturi Lomsh Lal,

101-D, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana.. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Registrar, Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2202 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

A perusal of the appeal reveals that the language used by the appellant is couched in un-parliamentary words and needs to be condemned. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to a Larger bench/Full bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

2. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Vidya Sagar, S/o Sh Kasturi Lomsh Lal,

101-D, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana.. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Registrar, Punjab Agricultural University,

Ludhiana Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2203 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

A perusal of the appeal reveals that the language used by the appellant is couched in un-parliamentary words and needs to be condemned. However, taking into consideration the language used by the appellant, it would be appropriate that the matter is referred to a Larger bench/Full bench for due consideration and taking an appropriate final decision in the matter.

2. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 23.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**