



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
PO-Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Dehlon, Distt. Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1538 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh.
Respondent: Ms. Jatinder Kaur (SI) 7355958100

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 09.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Ms. Jatinder Kaur pleaded that she had supplied a reply pertaining to information as enumerated in RTI application to the appellant through registered post dated 03.09.2020. The reply is attached below:

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਉਕਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਐਕਸੀਡੈਂਟ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 212 ਮਿਤੀ 11-11-2019 ਅ/ਧ 279,427,304A IPC ਥਾਣਾ ਡੇਹਲੋ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜੋ ਜੇਰੇ ਤਫਤੀਸ਼ ਹੈ, ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਦੀ ਤਫਤੀਸ਼ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਹੋਣ ਦਾ ਖਦਸ਼ਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਕਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਫਿਲਹਾਲ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਹੋਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।

Scanned with CamScanner

3. On this appellant Sh. Jasbir Singh requested to provide the attested copy of FIR filed **(pertaining to news pressed in Dainik Jagoran dated 13.11.2020 vide which two died in the road accident)** in the police station Dehlon, Ludhiana.
4. After hearing the parties and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found no reason to disagree with the replies of the respondent authority. **When investigations are in progress, documents can not be disclosed i.e. under Sections 8(1)(g) and 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005 such information exempted.** The replies of respondents upheld. The matter is **disposed of** accordingly at Commission's end with the directions to respondent PIO, to provide the copy FIR filed (previously mentioned).
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
PO-Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP (Rural),
Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director Bureau of Investigation,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1537 of 2020

Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present:

Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh.

Respondent: Sh. Harpreet Singh (ASI) 9779800315

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 16.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today. The information sought in RTI application is mentioned below:-

ਮੇਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਬੋਰਡ ਆਫ ਇਨਵੇਸਟੀਗੇਸ਼ਨ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਰੋਡ, ਜੀ ਨੂ ਇਕ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਮਿਤੀ-

07/12/2019 ਨੂ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ, ਮੇਰੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਜਾਂ ਮਿਸਲ ਤੇ ਡੀਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਚਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

2. U/s 6(3) of RTI Act, 2005 this case was transferred to O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana, for further proceedings dated 04.02.2020.
3. Respondent, Sh. Harpreet Singh from SSP(Rural), Ludhiana pleaded that the sought information as enumerated in RTI application is non-specific and is not related to his concern office i.e. SSP(Rural), Ludhiana.
4. In the light of above, the Commission observes that the sought information enumerated in RTI application is **not specific**. Appellant can only **ask** for **specific** information under **RTI Act**, 2005. However, appellant may mention what exact information as defined under Section 2(f) read with section 2(i) & 2(j) of the **RTI Act**, which will be provided.
- Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought, hence the case is **Disposed of** accordingly.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh

Dated: 23.09.2020

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
PO-Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Dugri, Distt. Ludhiana

Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Appeal Case No.: 1523 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh.
Respondent: Sh. Dilbag Singh (ASI) 9915400632

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 16.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Dilbag Singh is present to represent this case. A written submission of respondent authority is attached below:

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰੀ ਉਕਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਅਰਜ਼ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅੱਜ ਮਿਤੀ 23-0-2020 ਨੂੰ ਉਕਤ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਡਾ ਪਵਨ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸਿੰਗਲਾ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਨਾਲ ਸ:ਥ ਦਿਲਬਾਗ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੰ 230/ਲੁਧਿ ਮੁੱਖ ਮੁਨਸੀ ਥਾਣਾ ਦੁੱਗਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ CISCO WEBEX ਰਾਹੀਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਵੀਡੀਓ ਕਾਂਫਰੰਸੀ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਪੈਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਜੋ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੋ ਨੂੰ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਤੀ ਜਸਵੀਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਭੋਲਾਪੁਰ ਝਾਬੇਵਾਲ ਜ਼ਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਕਦਮਾ ਨੰ 01 ਮਿਤੀ 04-01-2016 ਅ/ਧ 279-304-ਏ-427 ਭ:ਦ ਥਾਣਾ ਦੁੱਗਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ 13-07-2016 ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਜਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ-1 JMIC/Ldh ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦੋਸ਼ੀ ਜਤਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸਾਧਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਬਦੀਵਿੰਡ ਥਾਣਾ ਲੋਪੋਕੇ ਜ਼ਿਲਾ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਨੂੰ ਬਰੀ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਕਦਮਾ ਨੰ 25 ਮਿਤੀ 27-02-2016 ਅ/ਧ 279,337,338,427 ਆਈ.ਪੀ.ਸੀ. ਥਾਣਾ ਦੁੱਗਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਜੋ ਮੁਕਦਮਾ ਉਕਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 24-09-2018 ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਜਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ-1 JMIC/Ldh ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦੋਸ਼ੀ ਹਰਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਉਰਫ ਪਿੱਕੀ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ 1377 ਗਲੀ ਨੰ 12 ਦਸਮੇਸ ਨਗਰ ਗਿੱਲ ਰੋਡ ਲੁਧਿ ਨੂੰ ਬਰੀ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ। ਫਿਰ ਵੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਂਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਬਾਕੀ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਥਾਣਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਫਾਇਲ ਨਾਲ ਲਫ ਨਹੀਂ ਹਨ ਜੋ ਇਹ ਹਾਸਲ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪਣੀ ਚਾਰਾਜੋਈ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।

Scanned with CamScanner

This letter is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.

3. In the view of above, Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**. Moreover, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any**. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
PO-Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Dehlon, Distt. Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1524 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh.
Respondent: Ms. Jatinder Kaur (SI) 7355958100

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 09.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Ms. Jatinder Kaur pleaded that she had supplied a reply pertaining to information as enumerated in RTI application to the appellant through registered post dated 03.09.2020. The reply is attached below:

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਉਕਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਿੰਨੀ ਬੱਸ ਪਲਟ ਜਾਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਤਹਿਤ ਮਿੰਨੀ ਬੱਸ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 86 ਮਿਤੀ 18-4-2019 ਅ/ਧ 279,304ਏ,427,337,338 ਆਈ.ਪੀ.ਸੀ. ਥਾਣਾ ਡੇਹਲੋ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਬਰਖਿਆਨ ਗੁਰਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਹਿੰਮਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਖੇੜਾ ਥਾਣਾ ਡੇਹਲੋ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਬਰਖਿਲਾਫ ਜਸ਼ਵੀਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਨਿਰਮਲ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਸਿਮਲਾਪੁਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦੇ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ। ਜੋ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਉਕਤ ਦਾ ਚਲਾਨ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਿਤੀ 24-9-2019 ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ Ms. ਗੀਤਾ ਰਾਣੀ JMJC LDH ਜੀ ਦੇ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਉਕਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਹੋਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।

CS Scanned with CamScanner

3. On this appellant Sh. Jasbir Singh requested to provide the attested copy of FIR filed **(pertaining to news pressed in Dainik Jagoran dated 19.04.2020 vide which one died and 23 injured in the road accident of mini bus fallback)** in the police station Dehlon, Ludhiana.
4. **In the present case, there is no tangible public purpose** which has been cited by the appellant that would convince the Commission to override the guaranteed exemption under Section Sections 8(1)(j) to the individual. A mere suspicion cannot constitute the basis for a public interest.
5. After hearing the parties and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found no reason to disagree with the replies of the respondents. The replies of respondents upheld. The matter is **disposed of** accordingly at Commission's end with the directions to respondent PIO, to provide the copy FIR filed (previously mentioned).
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
PO-Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station,
Division No. 6, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1525 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh.
Respondent: ASI Jaswinder Singh 9876704688

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 15.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today. The RTI application is stated below:

- (1):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਕੇਸਰੀ ਅਖਬਾਰ ਨੇ ਮਿਤੀ-1/3/2017 ਨੂੰ ਇਕ ਖੱਬਰ ਸਿਰਲੇਖ “ ਢੋਲੇਵਾਲ ਚੋਕ ਪਰ ਉਵਰ ਸਪੀਡ ਵਾਹਨ ਚਾਲਕ ਦਾ ਕਾਰਨਾਮਾ, 7 ਲੋਕਾ ਨੂੰ ਕੁਚਲਾ ” ਹੇਠ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ਿਤ ਕਿਤੀ ਗਈ । ਉਕਤ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ।
- (2):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਉਕਤ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਾਹਨ ਦਾ ਰਜਿਸਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਸੈਟਿਫਿਕੇਟਸ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।
- (3):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਉਕਤ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਾਹਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਪੀਡ ਗਵਰਨਰ ਲਗਾ ਹੋਇਆ ਸੀ ਜਾਂ ਨਹੀ, ਸਪੀਡ ਗਵਰਨਰ ਤੇ ਟ੍ਰਾਂਸਪੋਰਟ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਦੀ ਸੀਲ ਲਗੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਨਹੀ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।
- (4):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਵਾਹਨ ਨੂੰ ਬੋਰਡ ਆਫ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਸ਼ਨ (ਟ੍ਰਾਂਸਪੋਰਟ ਵਿਭਾਗ) ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਕਿਤੇ ਫਿਟਨਸ ਸੈਟਿਫਿਕੇਟਸ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ।
- (5):- ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਜੀ ਵਾਹਨ ਦੀ ਇੰਸੋਰੇਨਸ (ਬੀਮਾ) ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ।

CS Scanned with CamScanner

2. Respondent, ASI Jaswinder Singh pleaded that the sought information enumerated in RTI application is third party information, therefore cannot be provided. An email dated 22.09.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned vide which aforesaid is mentioned. This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. On this appellant Sh. Jasbir Singh requested to provide the attested copy of FIR filed as mentioned in the RTI application only.
4. **In the present case, there is no tangible public purpose** which has been cited by the appellant that would convince the Commission to override the guaranteed exemption under Section Sections 8(1)(j) to the individual. A mere suspicion cannot constitute the basis for a public interest.
5. After hearing the parties and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found no reason to disagree with the replies of the respondents. The replies of respondents upheld. The matter is **disposed of** accordingly at Commission's end with the directions to respondent PIO, to provide the copy FIR filed (mentioned in the RTI application).
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Puneet Mittal (9814606693)
Geeta Colony, Street No. 3,
Disposal Road, Kartar Enclave,
#1015/29, BDM, Ludhiana-142026

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Traffic Police, Jagraon,
District Ludhiana

Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o State Transport Commissioner,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 1536 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Puneet Mittal
Respondent: Sh. Hardev Singh (ASI) 8556019132

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 15.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today. The information sought in RTI application is mentioned below:-
“Please tell me in which inner areas of Jagraon city (142026), police challan is not applicable without helmet in Jagraon city. Is police can give the copy of challan to the concerned person without mentioning the date and the scene of offence”.
2. Respondent, Sh. Hardev Singh pleaded that the sought information as enumerated in RTI application is non-specific and asked in question form which is exempted under RTI act 2005. An email dated 22.09.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned vide which the aforesaid statement of respondent authority is mentioned. This email is taken on record.
3. After hearing both the parties going through the case file, it is transpired respondent PIO has declared that sought information enumerated in RTI application is in question form and is non-specific. Appellant can only ask for **specific** information under **RTI Act, 2005**. However, appellant may mention what exact information as defined under Section 2(f) read with **section 2(i) & 2(j)** of the **RTI Act**, which will be provided.

Sub-section (f) of section (2) of [RTI Act](#) defines information as “information” means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force”

Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought, hence the case is **Disposed of** accordingly.

4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Parkash Singh Chugh (9914813713)

R/o 1057/2-C, Opp. Dera Kalsian,
Miller Ganj, Ludhiana-141003

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Police Station, Jamalpur,
District Ludhiana

Respondent

Compliant Case No.: 319 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Tejinder Singh on behalf of complainant Sh. Parkash Singh Chugh
Respondent: Absent.

ORDER

1. The Appellant/ Complainant filed appeal in the Commission dated 15.06.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Complainant's representative, Sh. Tejinder Singh **acknowledges the receiving of sought information** and is satisfied with the same.
3. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 23.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner