

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 203 of 2019**

Shri Yogesh Mahajan  
S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road,  
Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Executive Engineer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Division,  
Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur.

### **First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle,  
Gurdaspur.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None for the Parties.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 30.05.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However, he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent-PIO. He also pointed out the deficiencies in the provided information.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, the Appellant is advised to be send the deficiencies to the Respondent – PIO within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. The Respondent – PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The appellant is again advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the Respondent - PIO.

6. The case is adjourned to **10.09.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 237 OF 2019**

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan,  
S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Officer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Sub Division  
Khadoor Sahib, Distt. Tarn Taran.

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Amritsar.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh Manjit Singh, S.D.O is present on behalf of Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:  
30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However, he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

3. Sh. Manjit Singh, S.D.O., appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and he is satisfied with the same.

4. In view of the above, it is being observed that the Respondent has supplied the requisite information to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 239 OF 2019**

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan,  
S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
Opposite Water Tank,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Officer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Sub Division,  
Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Amritsar.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh Manjit Singh, S.D.O is present on behalf of Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:  
30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However, he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that he has received the information from the APIO till date.

3. Sh. Manjit Singh, S.D.O., appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and he is satisfied with the same.

4. In view of the above, it is being observed that the Respondent has supplied the requisite information to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 243 of 2018**

Shri Yogesh Mahajan  
S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj,  
Municipal Market, Mission Road,  
Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Divisional Officer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Sub Div. No. 2,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

### **First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Patiala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Sukhjit Singh, S.D.E. is present on behalf of the Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 30.05.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However, he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent-PIO. He also pointed out the deficiencies in the provided information.

3. Sh. Sukhjit Singh, S.D.E. appears on behalf of Respondent – PIO and states that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant.

4. In view of the above, the Appellant is advised to send the deficiencies to the Respondent – PIO within 10 days under intimation to the Commission. The Respondent – PIO is directed to remove the same and supply the remaining information to the Appellant, through registered post, before the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The appellant is again advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the Respondent - PIO.

6. The case is adjourned to **10.09.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh  
23.07.2019**

**(Hem Inder Singh)  
State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 484 OF 2019**

Shri Dharminder Dass S/o Sh.Nachhattar Dass,  
Ward No.2, Loharan Wali Gali,  
Phul Town-151104,  
Tehsil Rampura, District, Bathinda.

...Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer,  
Municipal Committee,  
Bhucho Mandi, Distt.Bathinda

...Respondent

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Complainant.  
Sh. Ram Chand, Inspector is present on behalf of the Respondent-PIO.

### **ORDER:**

The Complainant sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 12.12.2018. He filed complaint in the Commission dated: 22.05.2019 under section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 23.07.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Complainant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.

4. Sh. Ram Chand, Inspector appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the reply has been sent to the Complainant vide letter no. ਨਕਭ/2019/ਕਕਸ/45, ਮਿਤੀ: 15-01-2019 through ordinary post. Copy of the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and represent this case, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per provisions the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 485 OF 2019**

Subedar Joginder Singh(Retd)  
#149, Krishna Enclave, Khanpur,  
Kharar, District Mohali.

...Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Municipal Committee,  
Near Bus Stand,  
Kharar, District Mohali.

...Respondent

**PRESENT:** Subedar (Retd.) Joginder Singh, Complainant.  
Sh. Harpreet Singh, A.M.E.-cum- APIO & Sh. Jitender Singh,  
Draftsman on behalf of the Respondent-PIO.

### **ORDER:**

The Complainant sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 16.11.2018. He filed complaint in the Commission dated: 22.05.2019 under section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 23.07.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Complainant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

4. Sh. Harpreet Singh, A.M.E.-cum- APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the reply has been sent to the Complainant vide letter no. 131, dated: 21.06.2019 mentioning therein that the RTI application of the Complainant is not specific and in questionnaire form. Copy of the same is taken on record.

5. Today, both the parties mutually agreed that the applicant shall visit the office of respondent on any working day with prior appointment, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application dated 16.11.2018, within a fortnight, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 498 OF 2019**

Advocate Nisha Rani (9464501268)  
#13138/3, Namdev Marg,  
Street No.8-5, Bathinda-151001

...Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer,  
Improvement Trust,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**PRESENT:** None for the Parties.

### **ORDER:**

The Complainant sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 22.03.2019. She filed complaint in the Commission dated: 27.05.2019 under section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 23.07.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Complainant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.

4. The Respondent has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 13392, dated: 12.07.2019 mentioning therein that information sought by the Complainant has been supplied.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and represent this case, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per provisions the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The Complainant is advised to follow up her case in the Commission, failing which it shall be presumed that she is satisfied with the information provided to her by the Respondent - PIO.

7. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 1424 of 2018**

Shri Satinder Singh Dhillon, (98150-67755),  
S/o Shri Gurbachan Singh Dhillon,  
#173, Ward No.3, Mansa.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Managing Director,  
PRTC, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Managing Director,  
PRTC, Patiala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Rajwinder Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that he has received the information sought and wants to close the case.

3. Sh. Rajan Singh, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent – PIO (General Manager, PRTC, Bathinda) did not file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice Issued to him vide order dated: 30.05.2019.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO (Sh. Parveen Kumar, General Manager, PRTC, Bathinda) is directed to file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him, before the next date of hearing, failing which penalty & disciplinary action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **06.08.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 1425 of 2018**

Shri Satinder Singh Dhillon, (98150-67755),  
S/o Shri Gurbachan Singh Dhillon,  
#173, Ward No.3, Mansa.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Managing Director,  
PRTC, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Managing Director,  
PRTC, Patiala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Rajwinder Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that he has received the information sought and wants to close the case.

3. Sh. Rajan Singh, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent – PIO (General Manager, PRTC, Bathinda) did not file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice Issued to him vide order dated: 30.05.2019.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO (Sh. Parveen Kumar, General Manager, PRTC, Bathinda) is directed to file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him, before the next date of hearing, failing which penalty & disciplinary action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **06.08.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 1866 OF 2019**

Advocate Tejinder Singh (9041004313)  
Village Bholapur, Post Office Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, LUDHIANA.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate-  
Cum-Licensing & Registration  
Authority, MOGA.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate-  
Cum-Licensing & Registration  
Authority, MOGA.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Adv Tejinder Singh, Appellant.  
Sh. Sukhkiran Singh, Supervisor, is present on behalf of the PIO.

### **ORDER:**

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 02.01.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 25.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 20.05.2019.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 23.07.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

4. Sh. Sukhkiran Singh, Supervisor appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO and states that the available information has already been supplied to the Appellant through registered post on 23.01.201 and remaining information is very voluminous.

5. Today, both the parties mutually agreed that the applicant shall visit the office of respondent on any working day with prior appointment, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provide copies thereof, according to his RTI application dated 02.01.2019, within a fortnight, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 1888 OF 2019**

Sh. Babu Ram (85919-80006)  
S/o Sh. Dyal Chand,  
# 1749(New 5027) Street No.4,  
Nai Basti, Bathinda-151001.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Tehsildar,  
Bathinda (Punjab).

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Tehsildar,  
Bathinda (Punjab).

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Navjeet Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of the Respondent– PIO.

### **ORDER:**

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 20.02.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 26.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 21.05.2019.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 23.07.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.

4. Sh. Navjeet Singh, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent- PIO alongwith the information for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant but he is absent. Copy of the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to be send this information to the Appellant through registered post under intimation to the Commission, before the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The Appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

7. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 3178 of 2018**

Shri Jagjit Singh, (9988404401),  
S/o Shri Gurmit Singh,  
VPO Sekha Kalan, Distt. Moga.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Bathinda.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Tehsildar,  
Rampura Phul,  
District Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Sh. Jagjit Singh, Appellant.  
Sh. Parbodh Chander, Kanungo on behalf of the Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:  
30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent - PIO.

3. Sh. Parbodh Chander, Kanungo appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that as per directions of the Commission, record relating to his RTI application was inspected by the Appellant.

4. The Appellant further states that yet some of the record is not inspected by him. He requests to allow one more opportunity for the same.

5. Today, both the parties mutually agreed that the applicant shall visit the office of respondent on 26.08.2019 with prior appointment, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the Respondent shall provided attested copies thereof, according to his RTI application dated 16.11.2018, on the spot, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to be present at the time of inspection and represent this case personally, on the next date of hearing.

7. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 3773 of 2018**

Advocate Abhishek Bhardwaj,  
House No. 304-L, Model Town,  
Jalandhar.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,  
Deptt. Of Local Govt.  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,  
Deptt. Of Local Govt.  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector O/o M.C. Jalandhar.  
Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Building Inspector on behalf of the Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:  
30.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he was also absent on the last 4<sup>th</sup> consecutive hearings dated: 16.01.2019, 03.04.2019 and 30.05.2019. However, he has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that he has an urgent work in District Court, Jalandhar and request for an adjournment.

3. Sh. Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector O/o M.C. Jalandhar appears alongwith the requisite information for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant. He further states that the same has been sent to the Appellant vide letter dated: 18.07.2019 through registered post. The copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the Respondent - PIO.

5. The case is adjourned to **11.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

Sd/-  
(Hem Inder Singh)  
State Information Commissioner

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



## **APPEAL CASE NO. 3842 of 2018**

Shri Vikas Garg  
S/o Sh. Jawahar Lal,  
House No. B-X-375,  
Near Old Gaushala, Barnala.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director,  
Local Govt. Punjab,  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director,  
Local Govt. Punjab,  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Adv Tejinder Singh is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Jr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

### **ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:  
30.05.2019.

2. The Representative of the Appellant states that the irrelevant information has been provided to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Jr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the provided information is not related with the RTI application of the Appellant and the Respondent has no knowledge of the RTI application of the Appellant.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO (Sh. Satish Kumar, Executive Officer O/o Nagar Council, Barnala) is directed to appear personally and file a written submission regarding the RTI application of the Appellant, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**23.07.2019**

**(Hem Inder Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

### **Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action:-**

**Sh. Satish Kumar,**  
Executive Officer,  
O/o Nagar Council, Barnala.