Punjab State Information

Sh. Harbahjan Singh Ghotra

S/o Sh. Balwant Singh Ghotra Village Gilzian, Post Office Miani, Distt. Hoshiarpur-144202.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Hoshiarpur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar (Punjab).

Appeal Case No.: 1951 of 2019 Date of Re-allocation: 29.03.2019 Date of Decision: 23.07.2019

Present:

Sh. Harbahjan Singh Ghotra, the appellant in person. Nobody present on the behalf of respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

- The above said case was heard and decided by the bench Ld. SIC, Sh. A.S. Chanduraian dated 13.08.2018. Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab vide office order dated 29.03.2019, has allocated the above mentioned case to the bench of undersigned. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Harbahjan Singh Ghotra states that requisite information has not been provided till date. He further added that he was out of country from 27.05.2018 to February 2019 but relevant requisite information has not been provided. He also stated that all his submissions are already on record.
- 3. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been sent to the appellant by the respondent on 24.04.2018 (i.e. more than one month before the appellant was out of country as mentioned above) signed by SSP Hosiarpur along with letter signed by Chief Ahlamad (*Mukh Munshi*), Special Branch, Hosiarpur mentioning therein that no written or furtive compliant is in record against the appellant with respect to his RTI application. Another written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been sent to the appellant by the respondent on 02.05.2018 (i.e. about 25 days before the appellant was out of country as mentioned above) signed by SSP Hosiarpur along with letter signed by DSP, Sub Division Dasua mentioning therein that no compliant is in registered in record of Police Station Dasua against the appellant with respect to time period 01.01.2015 to 20.03.2018.
- 4. On this the Complainant states that he has received the above written replies.
- 5. After hearing the appellant and Examining the case file, it is found that relevant written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal is **disposed of and closed**.



Appeal Case No.: 1951 of 2019 Date of Re-allocation: 29.03.2019 Date of Decision: 23.07.2019

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Note: After the hearing was over Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, S.I Hoshiarpur appeared before the Commission on the behalf of respondent PIO and is apprised of the above said order. She also submitted a similar written reply signed by SSP Hoshiarpur (as mentioned above) with their office reference no. 46160 dated 22.07.2019 along with relevant documents which are taken on record.

She verbally stated that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application under Jurisdiction/Authority of the Concerned PIO (i.e. SSP Hoshiarpur) has already been supplied.

She also added further that certain intelligence and security organizations of Central Government and/or State are exempted under section 24 of RTI act and requisite information and/or written reply with regards to them is not under jurisdiction of their office and/or cannot be provided.

After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, the instant appeal case stands to be **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019

ਤਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ Puty Punjab hformation

Sh. Jaswinder Singh (75890-31809) S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh Shaheed Balwinder Singh Nagar, St. No. 2, Sadik Road, District Faridkot.

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Faridkot

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 278 of 2019

Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Present: Ms. Paramjeet Kaur, JA (80540-12845) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The complainant filed an appeal on 18.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Ms. Paramjeet Kaur, JA states that written reply along with relevant documents have been retrieved from the concerned school i.e. PIO cum Principal, M.G.M. Sr. Sec School and submitted a copy of it to the Commission which is taken on record.
- 3. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to send the above said copy of requisite information and/or written reply retrieved from the concerned school to the complainant through registered post by the next date of hearing, failing to which the action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 19.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Raman, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 303 of 2019

Present: Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu (97797-03171) present on the behalf of complainant. Advocate Neelam Garg (88472-99095) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The complainant filed an appeal on 20.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu represented the complainant and states that requisite information has not been received till date.
- Advocate Neelam Garg states filed a memorandum of appearance on the behalf of respondent PIO, which is taken on record and requested the Commission for an adjournment for provide requisite information and/or to file a written reply with respect to RTI application.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with directions to send requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant through registered post by the next date of hearing, failing to which the action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The Counsel of respondent PIO is also intimated that on next hearing the representative of respondent PIO will be entertained only with proper authority letter of respondent PIO on next date of hearing.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.08.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Raman, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 304 of 2019

Present: Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu (97797-03171) present on the behalf of complainant. Advocate Neelam Garg (88472-99095) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The complainant filed an appeal on 20.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu represented the complainant and states that requisite information has not been received till date.
- Advocate Neelam Garg states filed a memorandum of appearance on the behalf of respondent PIO, which is taken on record and requested the Commission for an adjournment for provide requisite information and/or to file a written reply with respect to RTI application.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with directions to send requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant through registered post by the next date of hearing, failing to which the action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The Counsel of respondent PIO is also intimated that on next hearing the representative of respondent PIO will be entertained only with proper authority letter of respondent PIO on next date of hearing.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 14.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Sh. Ghansham Goyal (94175-11232)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Rai, R/o Ward No. 2, Kamalu Road, Raman Mandi, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o President, Hindu Education Society, Raman, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 305 of 2019

•

Present:Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu (97797-03171) present on the behalf of complainant.
Advocate Neelam Garg (88472-99095) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The complainant filed an appeal on 20.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu represented the complainant and states that requisite information has not been received till date.
- Advocate Neelam Garg states filed a memorandum of appearance on the behalf of respondent PIO, which is taken on record and requested the Commission for an adjournment for provide requisite information and/or to file a written reply with respect to RTI application.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with directions to send requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant through registered post by the next date of hearing, failing to which the action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The Counsel of respondent PIO is also intimated that on next hearing the representative of respondent PIO will be entertained only with proper authority letter of respondent PIO on next date of hearing.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.08.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Advocate, Gurmeet Singh (98725-59171) House No. 3469, Sector-40, Chandigarh

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chairman, Sri Dashmesh Sr. Sec. School, Talwandi Saboo, Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 306 of 2019

Respondent

Complainant

Present:Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu (97797-03171) present on the behalf of complainant.
Advocate Rajeev Mittal, (94170-356652) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The complainant filed an appeal on 20.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.

 Advocate Balraj Singh Sidhu represented the complainant and states that requisite information has not been received till date even after depositing the documentation fee demanded of Rs 780/-.

780/-.

- Advocate Rajeev Mittal states copy of requisite information and/or written reply has already been sent through courier.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the complainant is advised to go through the requisite information and/or written reply (as said above) once it is received to him and point out deficiencies if any in written if any to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission. The Counsel of respondent is also intimated that on next hearing the representative of respondent PIO will be entertained only with proper authority letter of respondent PIO on next date of hearing.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 14.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Sh. Satish Kumar Bhiri (94176-12036)

S/o Sh. Ram Sarup Ward No. 10, Opposite Nehru Park, Jaiton, Faridkot, Jaitu, Punjab-151202

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Saraswati Sr. Sec. School, Jaiton, Faridkot

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Faridkot.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1067 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.03.2019 Date of Decision 23.07.2019

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 18.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing and nor did he filed any reply in this regard.
- 3. Respondent is absent for today's hearing but two similar letters vide diary no. 13629 dated 16.07.2019 and 13630 dated 16.07.2019 have been received in the Commission along with relevant supporting documents and mentioning therein that the Saraswati Sr. Sec. School Jaiton, Faridkot is not a Public Authority but a private institution run by private funds and does not falls under RTI Act 2005 and various cases were already disposed of and closed in this regard. This letters are taken on record. A similar email has also been received in the Commission from email id saraswatischooljaitu@gmail.com. This email is also taken on record.
- 4. After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the concerned respondent is not a Public Authority but a private institution and hence not bound to provide information under RTI Act 2005 and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019

Ms. Surjeet Kaur, #433/7, Civil Lines, Gurdaspur-143521

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Gurdaspur

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Education Dept., Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 1086 of 2019 Date of Institution: 19.03.2019 Date of Decision 23.07.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant. Ms. Swaranjit Kaur, SA (94174-10133) present along with Sh. Gurjit Singh, JA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 19.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- PIO O/o DEO (SE) Gurdaspur was inadvertently written as PIO O/o DEO (SE) Mohali in Notice sent to the parties which is corrected and be read as PIO O/o DEO (SE) Gurdaspur
- 3. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing and nor did he filed any reply in this regard.
- 4. Ms. Swaranjit Kaur, SA states that requisite information and or written reply satisfying the RTI Application has already been sent to the appellant and also submitted a copy of it to the Commission, which is taken on record.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the relevant requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been sent to the appellant and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Sukhjinderpal Singh (95010-28106) House No. 321/7, Gali Dr. Kartar Singh Wali, Patti Distt. Tarn Taran, Punjab-143416

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sec. School, Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Patti, Tarn Taran.

Appeal Case No.: 1116 of 2019 Date of Institution: 19.03.2019 Date of Decision 23.07.2019

Present:

Sh. Sukhjinderpal Singh, the appellant, present in person. Sh. Ranjit Singh, Principal cum PIO (99159-93999) present along with Sh. Ravi Prakash, JA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 19.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Sukhjinderpal Singh, the appellant states that disapproval of leave from 01.11.2014 to 30.11.2014 has not been supplied along with written reply and/or copy of requisite information supplied by the respondent.
- 3. Sh. Ravi Prakash, JA assures the appellant that requisite information that relates with disapproval of leave from 01.11.2014 to 30.11.2014 as mentioned in written reply provided by their office will be provided to the appellant within few days and requested the Commission to close this case.
- 4. Sh. Sukhjinderpal Singh, the appellant mutually agreed on this.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the partial requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been provided to the appellant with which he is satisfied and the respondent is directed to provide the pending requisite information that relates with disapproval of leave from 01.11.2014 to 30.11.2014 with 20 days to the appellant.
- 6. In the view of above, no further action is required at this instant in this case and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 7. The appellant also has liberty to approach the Commission if the respondent PIO does not provide him the pending information within stipulated time of 20 days.
- 8. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Chandigarh 23.07.2019

Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Gurdev Singh (95010-04858) House No. 250/8 Near Shah Madar, Preet Clinic, Sarhali Road, Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran, Punjab-143416

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Tarn Taran

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 1117 of 2019 Date of Institution: 22.03.2019 Date of Decision 23.07.2019

Present: Sh. Gurdev Singh, the appellant, present in person.

Sh. Tarsem, JA (88721-95200) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 22.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Gurdev Singh, the appellant states that requiste information has not been received till date.
- 3. Sh. Tarsem, JA states that requiste infromation has already been sent to the appellant through registered post and also provided a copy of it to the appellant and also a copy of it to the Commission (which is taken on record) during the hearing.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been provided to the appellant, and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Sukrit Sharda (98881-20963) #50/186, Old Shahpur Road, Pathankot-145001

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Controller (F & A), Improvement Trust, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

Appeal Case No.: 1152 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- The appellant filed an appeal on 25.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he files any reply in this regard.
- 3. Respondent PIO is also absent for today's hearing but an email from email id <u>eoaitasr@gmail.com</u> on 19.07.2019 and a similar letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 13934 dated 22.07.2019 signed by APIO cum Accountant Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar addresed to appellant mentioning therein that requisite information is bulk in nature and the appellant may visit their office on any working day to inspect the record and also specify the information required so that certified copy of it may be provided to the appellant.
- 4. After going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is given one oppurtunity to visit the respondent's office to inspect the record on any working day and specify in written the required information to the O/o Respondent PIO so that respondent may provide the requisite infromation to him, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 19.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 23.07.2019



Sh. Mohinder Singh (95010-04858)

Assistant Director Fisheries (Retd.), #48, Lane No. 2, G.S. Colony, Tarn Taran Road, Amritsar-143001.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Animal Husbandry Fisheries and Dairy Development, Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Animal Husbandry Fisheries and Dairy Development, Punjab, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 1209 of 2019

Respondent

Present:Sh. Mohinder Singh the appellant present in person.Ms. Satnam Kaur Superitendent cum PIO (81466-50050) present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant filed an appeal on 25.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Mohinder Singh, the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Ms. Satnam Kaur Superitendent cum PIO states that requisite information is joint information of 15 persons and is a third party information i.e. why information was not provided till date. She also presented the consent of third parties in which they have no objection to provide information.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, as it is found that requisite as the third parties, in their consent(s) have shown no objection in providing the requisite information to the appellant therefore the respondent PIO is directed to provide the said requisite information through registered post by the next date of hearing positevely failing to which action under section 20 (i) would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.**
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 23.07.2019