**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Manoj Kumar**

C/o Sh. Pankaj Garg

#844, Phase No. 7, Sector-61,

Mohali. (9888004488) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Registrar Societies and Firms Punjab,

Building 17 Bays, 3rd floor, Room No. 12,

Sector-17, Chandigarh Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1065 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 04.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 23.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Pankaj Garg on the behalf of complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Jaswant Rai, Superintendent (9464894934).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Pankaj Garg, the representative of complainant states and submits a letter that he has received the requisite information and cheque for compensation of Rs 2000/- awarded to the appellant and requested to close the case. This letter is taken on record.
2. Sh. Jaswant Rai, Superintendent stated as above.
3. After hearing the both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this complaint case it **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Adv)**

Booth No. 14- B, Sector-47-C,

Chandigarh. (98140-96353) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chairman,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Vice Chancellor,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2019 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 17.07.2017**

**Date of Decision: 23.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan the appellant in person along with Sh. Kamal Kant.

Advocate R.S. Bajaj (99144-21241) present along with Ms. Neetu Swaroop, Sr. Law Officer with on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Advocate R.S. Bajaj stated that pending requisite information has been sent through registered post to the appellant on 18.04.2018. He further added that no deficiencies have been pointed out by the appellant.
2. Sh. Sunil Mallan the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that with regard to point no. 4 addresses of Admission centers have been given and not the study centers and that too without any specified complete addresses. He further added that written reply regarding point no. 8 has been given and not the affidavit.
3. On this, Advocate R.S. Bajaj states that available information of only Admission centers as available in records have been provided and regarding point no. 8 he assured the Commission that the appellant that the said affidavit will be provided to the appellant and requested the Commission to close the case.
4. Sh. Sunil Mallan the appellant is satisfied with the information that relates with point. 4 of RTI application and also agreed to close the case on the assurance of the respondent that said affidavit will be provided to him.
5. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, on mutual consent of both the parties, I am of the view that no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal**

Senior Citizen & RTI Activists.

Gali Vaid Tirath Ram, Opp. Civil

Hospital Moga -142001 (94175-33921) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, District BAR Association,

Moga 142001.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o President, District BAR Association,

Moga 142001. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2055 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal, the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal, states that neither requisite information and nor the cheque of compensation awarded to him has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent PIO is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did the respondent PIO filed any reply in this regard.
3. On previous hearing held on 15.03.2018, Advocate, Gurmanpreet Singh appeared on behalf of the respondent and submits a written reply dated 15.03.2018 in connection with Appeal Case No. 2055 of 2017 and Appeal Case no. 2121 of 2017 signed by Sh. Devinder Singh Sandhu, President, District Bar Association, Moga and Sh. Arun Sood, Vice President, District Bar Association, Moga stating that District Bar Association Moga is neither established, constituted, owned, controlled or substantially financed by funds provided directly or indirectly by the Central Government or by the State Government nor is a body or institution of self Government constituted by any law made by Parliament or by State Legislature or by the notification issued or order made by the Government. It is already taken on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 2055 of 2017**

1. On previous hearing dated 25.04.2018, Advocate, Gurmanpreet Singh, the representative of respondent states and submits that New President took charge of the District Bar Association on 11.04.2018 and election petition has been filed by one Advocate contesting for the post of President in the last DBA elections 2018 against the president Sh. Rajpal Sharma resulting in the President, District Bar Association Sh. Rajpal Sharma, requested adjournment. It is further added that DBA needs to call General House Meeting in order to take any stand in any particular case filed against DBA, Moga and therefore minutes of the meeting has to be recorded in the proceeding book for authorizing the DBA executive to furnish the record and written statement in the case pending before the Commission. This letter is also already in records.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, I am of the view that an important issue is involved in this case, hence, **this case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Full Bench/Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:** The Deputy Registrar

(Along with Case File)
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal**

Senior Citizen & RTI Activists.

Gali Vaid Tirath Ram, Opp. Civil

Hospital Moga -142001 (94175-33921) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, District BAR Association,

Moga 142001

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o President, District BAR Association,

Moga 142001 Respondent

**Appeal case No. 2121 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal, the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal, states that neither requisite information and nor the cheque of compensation awarded to him has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent PIO is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did the respondent PIO filed any reply in this regard.
3. On previous hearing held on 15.03.2018, Advocate, Gurmanpreet Singh appeared on behalf of the respondent and submits a written reply dated 15.03.2018 in connection with Appeal Case No. 2055 of 2017 and Appeal Case no. 2121 of 2017 signed by Sh. Devinder Singh Sandhu, President, District Bar Association, Moga and Sh. Arun Sood, Vice President, District Bar Association, Moga stating that District Bar Association Moga is neither established, constituted, owned, controlled or substantially financed by funds provided directly or indirectly by the Central Government or by the State Government nor is a body or institution of self Government constituted by any law made by Parliament or by State Legislature or by the notification issued or order made by the Government. It is already taken on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 2121 of 2017**

1. On previous hearing dated 25.04.2018, Advocate, Gurmanpreet Singh, the representative of respondent states and submits that New President took charge of the District Bar Association on 11.04.2018 and election petition has been filed by one Advocate contesting for the post of President in the last DBA elections 2018 against the president Sh. Rajpal Sharma resulting in the President, District Bar Association Sh. Rajpal Sharma, requested adjournment. It is further added that DBA needs to call General House Meeting in order to take any stand in any particular case filed against DBA, Moga and therefore minutes of the meeting has to be recorded in the proceeding book for authorizing the DBA executive to furnish the record and written statement in the case pending before the Commission. This letter is also already in records.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, I am of the view that an important issue is involved in this case, hence, **this case file is sent to Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon’ble CIC to constitute a Full Bench/Larger Bench in this case to decide this issue.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Copy to:** The Deputy Registrar

(Along with Case File)
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Prem Singh** S/o Sh. Bant Singh

R/o Village Nandgarh, Tehsil Rajpura

District Patiala. **(94782-86495)** Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Rajpura

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Rajpura Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2847 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 13.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 23.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch(98729-47966).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch states that pending information that relates with point no. 2, 3 & 6 has been supplied to the appellant but no deficiencies has been pointed out by the appellant till date.
2. Sh. Prem Singh, the appellant states that he is satisfied with the point no. 3 & 6 of RTI application but regarding point no. 2 of RTI application, monthly bills are not given
3. Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch states that regarding point no. 2 information available in the format is provided and month wise bills are not calculated in records so not provided as respondent is not supposed to create information.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that appellant is satisfied with the pending information that relates with point no. 3 & 6, further information that relates with point no. 2 of RTI application, it is found that information that relates with point no. 2 is vague in nature as time period of information required is not specified but still the respondent has provided information to the appellant in the format as available in records and cannot be created in the format required by the appellant, therefore if the appellant still requires any information, he is advised to file a fresh RTI with proper specifications.
5. In the view of above, it is found that available requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it therefore instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jang Bahadur S/o Sh. Jai Chand,**

R/o Mandi Ameenganj, Roranwali,

Tehsil and District Fazilka (98768-18803) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SE, W/S and Sanitation Division,

Ferozepur.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, Department of W/S

And Sanitation Punjab, Mini Secretariat,

Sector 9, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o CE (Central),

Punjab W/S and Sanitation Department,

Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2972 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 25.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 23.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Jang Bahadur, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sukhchain Singh, clerk (98551-94193)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sukhchain Singh, clerk states that the requisite information / written reply has already sent through the registered post to the appellant on 22.05.2018 and submitted the copy of receipt of registered post along with copy of requisite information / written reply to the Commission, mentioning therein that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant in appeal case no. 2363 of 2017 which has already been disposed of by the bench of undersigned on 08.11.2017. This reply along with copy of receipt of registered post is taken on records taken on record.
2. After hearing the both the parties and examining the case file, It is found that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant in appeal case no. 2363 of 2017 which has already been disposed of by the bench of undersigned on 08.11.2017, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tarsem Lal Jindal (Neeli Chatri Wala)**

H. No. 306, Aastha Colony, Dhanaula Road,

Barnala, Punjab (98551-51985) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Superintendent, I. A. S. Branch,

Punjab Civil Secretariat.

Chandigarh **Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Govt., Punjab,

Plot No. 3 Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35 A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Local Govt., Punjab,

Plot No. 3 Municipal Bhawan,

Sector-35 A, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2992 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh, Sandeep Kumar, SA (O/o Director Local Govt.), Sh. Jatinder Singh, SA (O/o IAS Branch) along with Sh. Jasbir Singh Dhanoa, Superintendent cum PIO (O/o Special Secretary).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jatinder Singh, SA (O/o IAS Branch) states that requisite information is a third party information and requested the Commission that the appellant may show his public interest in this regard so that the consent from third party may be taken to supply the requisite information. A letter has been received in the Commission in this regard vide diary no. 10405 dated 18.05.2018 from the respondent PIO cum Superintendent, Punjab Govt., Personal Department (IAS Branch) mentioning therein that the appellant has not cleared any larger public interest therefore requisite information is denied under section 8 (1) (j) as a third party information.
2. Appellant is absent continuously second time for hearing without any intimation to the Commission nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is advised to show the relevant public interest in written to the respondent and also a copy of it to the Commission, so that the respondent may request the consent of third party to supply the information, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken against the respondent PIO.
4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.06.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Balvir Singh Saini**

House No. 349, St. No. 3,

Dashmesh Nagar, Dagana Road,

Hoshiarpur (94653-70704) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o I.T. I. Hoshiarpur,

Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o I.T. I. Hoshiarpur,

Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3289 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Balvir Singh Saini, the appellant in person.

Sh. Kuldeep Kumar, Group Instructor (94653-70704) along with Sh. Dalvir Singh, Group Instructor (94634-39798) and Sh. Davir Singh (Instructor) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant point out deficiencies in point no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26 & 27 verbally (as submitted in written) during the hearing. He further added that the information supplied by the respondent with regards to above said points is irrelevant and/or incomplete. He brought following into the notice of Commission:
2. Out of 193 students admitted 104 were passed as per information provided but nowhere it is mentioned in reply the details of students that who were passed and who were not.
3. Nowhere it is mentioned by what means of banking the honorarium (Rs 2250/-) was transferred to the student account and/or if provided through cash then records related to that is not provided.
4. Time table, attendance record, performance record of students etc. maintained is not provided.
5. Letter of duties assigned to staff for the scheme for training is not provided but bills of remuneration to staff is provided.
6. Students of their institute who have participated and got passed or failed in the scheme is not mentioned anywhere.
7. Application forms of student applied for the scheme is also not provided.
8. Sh. Balvir Singh, Group Instructor provided information that relates the point no. 1 of RTI application to the appellant during the hearing.
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**Appeal Case No. 3289 of 2017**

1. The appellant is satisfied with point no. 1 of RTI application provided to him during the hearinh stated that rest of information is still pending.
2. After hearing the both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent **Sh. Dalveer Singh, Coordinator** is given one more opportunity to provide the pending requisite information that relates with the point no. 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 25 & 27 and/or **file a reply in this regard satisfying the RTI application** to the appellant clearing all the facts pointed out as deficiencies by the appellant in Para 1 of this order by the next date of hearing, along with directions to represent this case in person on next date of hearing failing to which action section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO/official.
3. A copy of this order to be send through registered post to **Sh. Dalveer Singh, Coordinator.**
4. The subject matter is adjourned to **09.07.2018 at 11:00 AM** for further proceeding**s.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Sh. Dalveer Singh, Coordinator**

Regd. Post O/o I.T. I. Hoshiarpur,

Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Labh Singh (95694-52012)**

S/o Sh. Lakhvinder Singh,

Chamber No 573,

Yadvindra Court Complex,

Patiala. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Technical Education

and Industrial Training Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 70 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of complainant.

Sh. Raspal Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant is absent for today’s hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id [slabh3092@gmail.com](mailto:slabh3092@gmail.com) requesting therein for an adjournment as the appellant is engaged with other cases.
2. Sh. Raspal Singh, SA is present with requisite information to hand it over to the complainant during the hearing.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, the respondent PIO directed to send the requisite information to the complainant through registered post and present the copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on the next date of hearing failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI Act would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The complainant is also given last opportunity with an advice to point out deficiencies in written, if any after receiving the information from the respondent as said above, along with advice to represent this case in person through any of his representative(s), failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the complainant.
4. The subject matter is adjourned to **25.06.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jagdev Singh S/o Sh. Gurmail Singh,**

BPO Chaunda, C/o Sh. Labh Singh

Chamber No 573,

Yadvindra Court Complex,

Patiala. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Technical Education

and Industrial Training Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 71 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of complainant.

Sh. Raspal Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant is absent for today’s hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id [slabh3092@gmail.com](mailto:slabh3092@gmail.com) from the representative of complainant requesting therein for an adjournment as the appellant is engaged with other cases.
2. Sh. Raspal Singh, SA is present with requisite information to hand it over to the complainant during the hearing.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, the respondent PIO directed to send the requisite information to the complainant through registered post and present the copy of receipt of registered post before the Commission on the next date of hearing failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI Act would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The complainant is also given last opportunity with an advice to point out deficiencies in written, if any after receiving the information from the respondent as said above, along with advice to represent this case in person through any of his representative(s), failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the complainant.
4. The subject matter is adjourned to **25.06.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan**

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,

President of Anti Corruption Council,

Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market,

Mission Road, Pathankot Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division Bhikhi Wind,

Distt. Tarn Taran

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation Circle,

Amritsar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 127 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Sunil Kumar, Bill Clerk (98883-22121) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 25.04.2018, Sh. Gurdeep Singh, JA in his submission, stated that as per RTI act, the requisite information cannot be provided to any agency or association and requested the Commission to close this case.
2. Sh. Sunil Kumar, Bill Clerk, stated as above.
3. Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, the appellant stated that RTI request filed is in individual capacity i.e. in the name of appellant itself and not in the name agency or association but only the address given is RTI application association/council address is mentioned for ease in communication address for delivery of letters, mails and other documents. He further assured the Commission he will not mention the details of association/council in his RTI application and requested the Commission that the respondent may supply the requisite information to him.
4. After hearing the parties and examining the case file, on the plea of appellant, the respondent PIO is directed to either provide the requisite information and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application by the next date of hearing failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information along with advice to represent this case in person or through any of his reprenstative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the applicant.
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**Appeal Case No. 127 of 2018**

1. The subject matter is adjourned to **20.06.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

Page 2 of 2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh Mohinder Singh

R/o 123 A, Model Town Extension,

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Joint Registrar,

Co-operative Societies, Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Joint Registrar,

Co-operative Societies, Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 228 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Manjit Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Manmohan Singh, Superintendent (98149-42145)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Manmohan Singh, the respondent states and submitted a written reply mentioning therein that the appellant has inspected the record on 17.05.2018 at about 12.45 PM as directed in previous order dated 25.04.2018 but the appellant denied to mark his presence. This letter along with supporting documents is taken on record.
2. Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant states that incomplete inspection has been done by him as the respondent has not provided a particular register for inspection related to his RTI application.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, on the request of appellant the respondent PIO is directed to coordinate and make the appellant inspect the pending record (as register specified above by the appellant) as per RTI application on any working day and provide the specified information if any or bring that particular pending record (as register specified above by the appellant) before the Commission on next date of hearing. The appellant is given last opportunity with an advice to specify the information if any on his visit to respondent’s office to inspect the record, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The subject matter is adjourned to **20.06.2018 at 11:00 AM** for further proceedings**.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh, (90410-04313)**

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 391 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that the respondent PIO has given inquiry details of different complaint i.e. other that the complaint details mentioned in RTI application.
2. Respondent PIO is absent for today’s hearing.
3. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, the appellant is advised to file a written reply pointing out deficiencies to the respondent PIO along with advice to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by next date of hearing failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant. The respondent PIO is given last opportunity along with direction to provide the requisite information once written reply pointing out deficiencies to the respondent PIO is provided to their office by the appellant failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further proceedings on **19.06.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** *After the hearing was over the Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA appeared before the Commission and is apprised of the above said order.*

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 23.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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