P PSiC

Email:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Shri Jaswinder Singh, 22, Flower Dale Colony, Barewal Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No. 1, Gurdaspur.

Public Information Officer O/O Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No. 2, Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Gurdaspur.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3613 of 2018

Present : Shri Jaswinder Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Gurpreet Singh, JE, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are present in the court.

2. On the last date of hearing on 20-03-2019 the sought for information was handed over to the appellant in the court. He had sought adjournment to go through the provided information and had stated that he will point out deficiencies, if any, in the provided information.

3. Today the appellant submits a letter dated 19-04-2019 which is taken on record. The appellant requests the court to direct the respondents to supply the information with regard to points No. 1 to 8, 15, 17, 22,32,34, 35,, 36, 37 to 43 and 48.

4. A copy of the letter submitted by the appellant is handed over to the representative of respondents in the court. The respondents are directed to supply the information as per this letter before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **19-06-2019 at 11.30 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019. Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner



... Appellant



...Appellant

Email:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate, Kothi No. 585, Phase-2, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O District Treasury Officer, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer O/O Director, Industries & Commerce, Punjab, Udyog Bhavan, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/O District Treasury Officer, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO.3861 of 2018

Present : None on behalf of appellant.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Treasury Officer, Ms.Sukhjinder Kaur, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

2. On the last date of hearing on 20-03-2019, the respondents of Treasury Office were directed to submit a duly attested affidavit, under the signatures of respondent-PIO, on the next date of hearing.

3. Today, the representative of respondents submits affidavit in the court. As the appellant is not present in the court, the respondents are directed to send the same to the appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing.

4. Ms. Raj Kumari, Superintendent appearing on behalf of respondents of Department of Industries submits a letter dated 23-04-2019 from the PIO seeking adjournment.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **26-06-2019 at**

11.30 AM.

6. The appellant is also directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

7. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan (98722-20039). House No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District-Sangrur.

Versus

...Appellant

Public Information Officer O/O XEN, Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Sangur.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Chief Engineer, Punjab Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Patiala Circle, Patiala.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2071 of 2018

Present: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant, in person. Shri Arvinder Singh, SDE, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

Both the parties are present.

2. The representative of respondents submits the information in the court which is handed over to the appellant.

3. The appellant requests the court to adjourn the case as he would go through the provided information and will point out deficiencies, if any, in the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing in **26-06-2019 at 11.30- AM**.

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, (987222-0039), H.No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Appellant

PSIC

Public Information Officer O/O Chief Engineer, PWD (B&R), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Chief Engineer, (HQ) PWD (B&R), Patiala.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 636 of 2018

Versus

Present: Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant, in person. Shri Jasbir Singh, SDO, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

Both the parties are present.

2. The representative of respondents states that the sought for information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. The appellant, who is also present in the court, states that the provided information is incorrect and incomplete.

4. The respondents are directed to supply the correct and complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **26-06-2019 at 11.30- AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.



Dr. Rajesh Gupta, VPO: Tasimbli, Tehsil : Dera Bassi, Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali)-140501.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Director, Animal Husbandry, Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Director, Animal Husbandry, Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar.

..... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1032 of 2018

Present: None on behalf of appellant. Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO and Shri Kulbir Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

2. The respondent-APIO submits an affidavit in the court stating therein that almost

all the information has already been supplied to the appellant.

- 3. The respondents are directed to send the affidavit to the appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing.
- 4. To come up on **27-06-2019 at 11.30 AM**.
- 5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.

Dr. Rajesh Gupta, VPO: Tasimbli, Tehsil : Dera Bassi, Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali)-140501.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Additional Chief Secretary. Animal Husbandry Deptt. Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Additional Chief Secretary, Animal Husbandry Deptt. Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh. Appeal Case No. 3212 of 2017

... Respondents

Present: None on behalf of appellant. Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO and Shri Kulbir Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

2. The respondent-APIO submits a letter dated 23-04-2019 along with an affidavit in the court stating therein that the inquiry in the case has not been completed as yet and requests for adjournment.

3. The respondents are directed to send the affidavit to the appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing and the case is adjourned.

4. To come up on 27-06-2019 at 11.30 AM.

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 23-04-2019.

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner



... Appellant

Place : Chandigarh.



Ms. Meena Kumari, House No. 917, Street No. 13, Tripari Town, Patiala-147004.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Dean, Research, Panjabi University, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Dean, Academic Affairs, Panjabi University, Patiala.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3230 of 2017

Present: Shri Shishupal Sharma on behalf of appellant. Shri Angel Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are present in the court.

2. The advocate for the respondents submits affidavit in the court which is handed over to the representative of appellant. The respondent-PIO has written in the affidavit that the information,, as available on the record of public authority, regarding points No. 15,18,22 and 25 has already been supplied to the appellant and no more information is there on the record.

3. The representative of appellant states that the compensation awarded to the appellant has not yet been paid to the appellant by the respondents.

4. The advocate for the respondents states that the information was supplied to the appellant on receipt of deficiencies pointed out by the appellant in the provided information and requests for reconsideration of order of awarding compensation to the appellant.

5. The case is discussed in the court and after discussion, the appellant is directed to submit her reply with regard to the affidavit handed over to her representative in the court today before the next date of hearing.

6. Adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **18-06-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

7. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.

Shri Surjit Singh (99880-13004), House No. 2990/76, Street No.4, Guru Gobind Singh Nagar, Near Mair Di Chowki, P.O. Daba, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer O/O Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Corporation, Bhawan Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Corporation, Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

.... Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2093 of 2018

Versus

Present: Surjit Singh, appellant in person. Shri Sanjay Tandon, SVO and Shri Manpreet Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are present in the court.

2. The representatives of respondents reiterate their earlier stand that the inquiry is still pending and the appellant has been informed about the present status of the case.

3. In the light of above, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **26-06-**

2019 at 11.30 AM.

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.





Sh. Bikramjeet Bansal s/o Sh. Des Raj, R/o Land Mortage Bank Street, Arora Mohalla, Distt. Mansa.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/O Headmistress S.S. Jain High School, Mansa, District-Mansa.

First Appellate Authority, O/O District Education Officer (S), Mansa.

APPEAL CASE NO. 2640 of 2016

...Respondents.

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are absent.

2. However, an e-mail dated 20-04-2019 is received in the Commission office from the appellant stating therein that the provided affidavit is incorrect as the sought for information is very much there under the custody of respondents.

3. The respondents are absent without any intimation.

4. The case is adjourned and the respondents are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing along with the information to be supplied to the appellant.

5. To come up on **26-06-2019 at 11.30 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.



Email:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

maii.psiczo@punjabinaii.gov.ir

Shri Madan Lal, appellant, in person.

Shri Madan Lal (98723-67068) S/o Shri Om Parkash Jain MCB Zone -2, H.No. 10803, Parinda Road 18, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda-151001.

Versus

...Appellant

Public Information Officer O/O Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority, O/O Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

..... Respondents

Present:

 ORDER:
 The appellant is present in the court who states that no information has been supplied till date.

2. The respondents are absent. However, a telephonic message was received from the Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Jaito seeking adjournment as he has been put on election duty for the Lok Sabha elections.

APPEAL CASE NO. 1997 of 2018

3. However, a letter dated 17-01-2019 is received in the Commission office from the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jaito directing the Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Jaito to supply the information to the appellant and also to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

4. The appellant states in the court that he has sought information from the PIO of office of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jaito and not from the Nagar Council, Jaito. He further states that the sought for information is very much available with the PIO of SDM office, Jaito.

5. After discussion, the respondents are directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing and also to be present in the court. In case of non appearance by the respondents, punitive proceedings will be initiated against the respondents under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005.

6. The PIO of office of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jaito is also directed to be present in the court along with the reply as to how their office has transferred the RTI application the office of Nagar Council, Jaito. Adjourned.

7. To come up on **11-06-2019 at 12.30 PM.**

8. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.

CC: Executive Officer, Jaito.



Sh. Manjit Singh (98553-02896), R/o 4780, Shiva Ji Nagar, Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/O SHO, Thana Basti, Jodhewal, District Ludhiana.

.... Respondent.

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 28 of 2017.

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Today both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission.

2. On the last date of hearing on 23-01-2019, Sub Inspector Radhe Shyam appearing on behalf of respondents had stated that the case has been listed for hearing on 12-04-2019 in the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana and had sought adjournment.

3. Accordingly, the case was adjourned for today. But today the respondents are not present in the court. Neither they have informed the Commission about the outcome of hearing held on 12-04-2019.

4. Therefore, the respondents are directed to inform the Commission of latest position of the case before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **26-06-2019 at 11.30 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.



Sh. H.S. Hundal, Advocate, Chamber No.82, District Courts, S.A.S.Nagar.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/O Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, (Mobile Wing), SCO No. 9-10, Sector-68, SAS, Nagar.

.... Respondent.

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 976 of 2016

Present: None on behalf of complainant. Shri Yadvinder Singh, STO and Ms. Daljit Kaur, ETI, on behalf of respondent.

ORDER:

The representatives of respondent are present in the court and state that the case was heard on 26-03-2019 and the Hon'ble Mr.Justice Augustine George Masih has fixed the case for further consideration on 18-09-2019. They also submit a copy of order dated 26-03-2019 passed by the Hon'ble Judge, which is placed in the case file.

2. None is present on behalf of complainant.

3. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **10-10-2019 at**

11.30 AM.

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place : Chandigarh. Dated: 23-04-2019.