RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT:Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant.
None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent

– PIO till date.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that a Show Cause Notice has been issued to PIO-cum- B.D.P.O. Fazilka vide order dated: 03.06.2019.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent has not attended the previous four consecutive hearings of the Commission. Even today, none is present on behalf of the Respondent. The Respondent – PIO is again directed to file an Affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him and should appear personally alongwith the complete information, on the next date of hearing, failing which penalty provisions will be initiated against him under Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **19.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh, Mandi Hazoor Singh, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

...Respondents

PRESENT:Sh. Hardeep Singh, Appellant.
None is present on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent

– PIO till date.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that a Show Cause Notice has been issued to PIO-cum- B.D.P.O. Fazilka vide order dated: 03.06.2019.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent has not attended the previous four consecutive hearings of the Commission. Even today, none is present on behalf of the Respondent. The Respondent – PIO is again directed to file an Affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him and should appear personally alongwith the complete information, on the next date of hearing, failing which penalty provisions will be initiated against him under Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **19.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1400 OF 2019

Sh. Rohit Sabharwal Kundan Bhawan, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT:None on behalf of the Appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO.**ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 03.07.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, his authorised representative has sent an Email in the Commission mentioning therein that no information has been provided to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO and request that her personal appearance may be exempted.

3. Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Appellant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Appellant as per the RTI Act, 2005 and same is conveyed to the Appellant in writing.

4. As the enquiry is not completed till date, the case is **closed and disposed off** with the directions to the Respondent – PIO that whenever the enquiry is completed, report of the same should be given to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of the completion of the enquiry positively, under intimation to the Commission.

5. The Appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if the Respondent – PIO will not comply with the above mentioned order passed by the Commission today.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1401 OF 2019

Sh. Rohit Sabharwal Kundan Bhawan, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

রন মুন্চম

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Vigilance Officer, Department of Local Bodies, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector – 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Vigilance Officer, Department of Local Bodies, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector – 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO. ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 03.07.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, his authorised representative has sent an Email in the Commission mentioning therein that no information has been provided to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO and request that her personal appearance may be exempted.

3. Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Appellant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Appellant as per the RTI Act, 2005 and same is conveyed to the Appellant in writing.

4. As the enquiry is not completed till date, the case is **closed and disposed off** with the directions to the Respondent – PIO that whenever the enquiry is completed, report of the same should be given to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of the completion of the enquiry positively, under intimation to the Commission.

5. The Appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if the Respondent – PIO will not comply with the above mentioned order passed by the Commission today.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2209 OF 2019

Sh. Rohit Sabharwal, Kundan Bhawan, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Harjit Singh, Superintendent –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated:

07.03.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 15.04.2019 and second

appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 20.06.2019.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 22.08.2019 to appear before the

Commission.

3. Sh. Harjit Singh, Superintendent -cum- PIO appears in person alongwith the requisite

information consisting of 174 pages for the perusal of the Appellant but he is absent.

4. After going through the information, it is revealed that information is unattested.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to bring this information duly

attested, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act. 2005.

6. The appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

7. The case is adjourned to **26.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3281 OF 2018

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, Kundan Bhawan, 126 Model Gram, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Vigilance Officer, Office of Director Local Govt., Punjab, Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Vigilance Officer, Office of Director Local Govt., Punjab, Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT:	None on behalf of the Appellant.
	Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 26.06.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he was also

absent on the last hearings dated: 27.11.2018, 02.01.2019, 06.02.2019, 12.03.2019, 11.04.2019 and

26.06.2019.

3. Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Appellant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Appellant as per the RTI Act, 2005 and same is conveyed to the Appellant in writing.

4. As the enquiry is not completed till date, the case is **closed and disposed off** with the directions to the Respondent – PIO that whenever the enquiry is completed, report of the same should be given to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of the completion of the enquiry positively, under intimation to the Commission.

5. The Appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if the Respondent – PIO will not comply with the above mentioned order passed by the Commission today.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Dr. Rajesh Gupta, VPO: Tasimbli, Tehsil : Dera Bassi, Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali)-140501

...Appellant

র্বন মুন্চম

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab Live Stock Complex, Sector 68, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab Live Stock Complex, Sector 68, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

PRESENT:	None on behalf of appellant.
	Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, APIO and Sh. S.S. Rana, Sr. Assistant for the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he was also

absent on the last hearings dated: 26.02.2019, 26.03.2019, 23.04.2019 and 27.06.2019.

3. Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent - PIO and states

that the remaining information has been supplied to the Appellant by hand and fresh Affidavit by registered post but he is not satisfied.

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent-PIO is again provided the remaining information and the fresh Affidavit but the Appellant is not satisfied. The Copy of the same is taken on record.

5. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consecutively five times entailing thereby that he is satisfied with the response of the Respondent and does not want to follow up his case further.

6. Keeping In view of the above, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3212 OF 2017

Dr. Rajesh Gupta, VPO: Tasimbli, Tehsil: Dera Bassi, Distt. SAS Nagar (Mohali)-140501

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Animal Husbandry Deptt. Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Animal Husbandry Deptt. Mini Sectt., Sector-9, Chandigarh.

... Respondents

 PRESENT:
 None on behalf of Appellant.

 Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, APIO and Sh. S.S. Rana, Sr. Assistant for the Respondents.

 ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he was also

absent on the last hearings dated: 26.02.2019, 26.03.2019, 23.04.2019 and 27.06.2019.

3. Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Appellant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Appellant as per the RTI Act, 2005.

4. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consecutively five times entailing thereby that he is satisfied with the response of the Respondent and does not want to follow up his case further.

5. As the enquiry is not completed till date, the case is **closed and disposed off** with the directions to the Respondent – PIO that whenever the enquiry is completed, report of the same should be given to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of the completion of the enquiry positively, under intimation to the Commission.

 The Appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if the Respondent – PIO will not comply with the above mentioned order passed by the Commission today.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Partap Singh S/o Sh. Savinder Singh, Village & Post Office Marar, Tehsil Batala, District Gurdaspur. (Uchi Patti).

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Primary/Elementary Education Officer, Chogawan-1, District Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Amritsar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None on behalf of the Appellant. Smt. Dalbir Kaur, B.P.E.O. –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 02.04.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 07.05.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 20.06.2019.

2. Notice of hearing has been issued to the parties for 22.08.2019 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.

4. Smt. Dalbir Kaur, B.P.E.O. –cum- PIO appears in person alongwith the requisite information and states that the copy of the same has sent to the Appellant. The copy of the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the above, the Appellant is advised to point out the deficiencies, if any, in writing to the Respondent – PIO within 10 days under intimation to the Commission, the Respondent – PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The Appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

7. The case is adjourned to **09.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019



RED CROSS BUILDING. NEAR ROSE GARDEN.

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 4056 OF 2018

Sh. Taranjit Singh, H. No. 33, Adarsh Nagar, P.O. Shahpur Kandi, Tehsil Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot-145029.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board, # 10, Friends Colony, Opp. Baring Union Christian College, Jalandhar Road, Batala,

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Environment Engineer, Punjab Pollution Control Board, Focal Point, Amritsar.

...Respondents

Sh. Taranjit Singh, Appellant. PRESENT: None on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

2.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent - PIO till date.

Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not 3. bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

After examination the case file, during the hearing dated: 24.01.2019, a show cause 4 notice was issued to Sh. Arwinder Kumar Bhatti, Environmental Engineer -cum- PIO O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board, #10, Friends Colony, Opp. Baring Union Christian College, Jalandhar Road, Batala for the delay in supply the information by the then Commissioner Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra, but till today no reply has been filed by the PIO in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him. Today, the PIO is again absent without any intimation to the Commission, which shows that he has no regard to the orders of the Commission.

Hence, bailable warrant be issued to Sh. Arwinder Kumar Bhatti, Environmental 5. Engineer -cum- PIO O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board, # 10, Friends Colony, Opp. Baring Union Christian College, Jalandhar Road, Batala through Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala so that he should appear before the Commission to represent his case personally and submit the pointwise reply in regard to the RTI application of the Appellant and file another reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him vide order dated: 24.01.2019 in the shape of Affidavit, failing which penalty provision will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

The case is adjourned to 09.10.2019 at 12:30 PM. Copies of the order be sent to the 6. parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) **State Information Commissioner**

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action:

Sh. Arwinder Kumar Bhatti. Environmental Engineer -- cum- PIO O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board, # 10, Friends Colony, Opp. Baring Union Christian College, Jalandhar Road, Batala.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 984 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888295107), Village Bholapur Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Licensing & Registration Authority –cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Talwandi Saboo.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Licensing & Registration Authority –cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Talwandi Saboo.

...Respondents

PRESENT: S. Jasbir Singh, Appellant. Smt. Ravneet Kaur, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. Smt. Ravneet Kaur, Sr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant and today she brings the same with her for the perusal of the Commission. The same is handed over to the Appellant.

3. After going through the information, the Appellant states that irrelevant information has provided to him.

4. The Respondent further states that no information is available in the official record as per his RTI Application.

5. The perusal of the record reveals that the department had not implemented the Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005.

According to Section 4, it is mandatory for every public authority that it shall maintain all its records duly catalogued and indexed in a manner and the form which facilitates the right to information under this Act and ensure that all records that are appropriate to be computerized, within a reasonable time and subject to availability of resources, computerized and connect through a network all over the country on different systems, so that access to such records is facilitated.

6. The Public Authority is directed to ensure that the website is maintained up to date so as to keep the public informed and it is not constrained to approach public authority as well as the Commission for every information. With these observations, the Appeal case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1597 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

Versus

...Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga-142055.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga-142055.

...Respondents

PRESENT: S. Jasbir Singh, Appellant. Sh. Purushotam Lal, Superintendent –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. Sh. Purushotam Lal, Superintendent –cum- PIO appears in person and states that requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant and today he brings the remaining information with him for the perusal of the Commission. The same is handed over to the Appellant.

3. After going through the information, the Appellant express his willingness to close the case.

4. The Respondent has supplied the remaining information to the Appellant today in the Commission and he has acknowledged the same.

5. The perusal of the record reveals that the department had not implemented the Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005.

According to Section 4, it is mandatory for every public authority that it shall maintain all its records duly catalogued and indexed in a manner and the form which facilitates the right to information under this Act and ensure that all records that are appropriate to be computerized, within a reasonable time and subject to availability of resources, computerized and connect through a network all over the country on different systems, so that access to such records is facilitated.

6. The Public Authority is directed to ensure that the website is maintained up to date so as to keep the public informed and it is not constrained to approach public authority as well as the Commission for every information. With these observations, the Appeal case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1598 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

Versus

...Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tehsil Dharamkot, District Moga-142042

First Appellate Authority

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tehsil Dharamkot, District Moga-142042

...Respondents

PRESENT: S. Jasbir Singh, Appellant. Sh. Gopal Krishan, Clerk on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. Sh. Gopal Krishan, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent alongwith the requisite information and same is handed over to the Appellant, during the hearing.

3. After going through the information, the Appellant express his willingness to close the case.

4. The Respondent has supplied the requisite information to the Appellant today in the Commission and he has acknowledged the same.

5. The perusal of the record reveals that the department had not implemented the Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005.

According to Section 4, it is mandatory for every public authority that it shall maintain all its records duly catalogued and indexed in a manner and the form which facilitates the right to information under this Act and ensure that all records that are appropriate to be computerized, within a reasonable time and subject to availability of resources, computerized and connect through a network all over the country on different systems, so that access to such records is facilitated.

6. The Public Authority is directed to ensure that the website is maintained up to date so as to keep the public informed and it is not constrained to approach public authority as well as the Commission for every information. With these observations, the Appeal case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1605 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT:S. Jasbir Singh, Appellant.
Sh. Harjit Singh, Superintendent –cum – PIO &
Sh. Inderjit Singh, Head Draftsman O/o Commissioner, M.C. Ludhiana.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. Sh. Inderjit Singh, Head Draftsman O/o Commissioner, M.C. Ludhiana appears on behalf of the Deemed – PIO and files a reply in response to the RTI application of the Appellant mentioning therein that *information sought cannot be supplied as it does not achieve any wide public interest which can be achieved by using the sought information. As the information sought by the Application comes under the ambit of Section 8 (1) (e) (j) of the RTI Act hence, the same cannot be supplied.*

3. After going through the reply, the Appellant requests for an adjournment to file a counter reply.

4. In view of the above, the case is adjourned for **16.10.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



...Appellant

APPEAL CASE NO. 1606 OF 2019

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: S. Jasbir Singh, Appellant. None for the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been supplied to him by the Respondent

- PIO till date.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO (O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana) is directed to appear personally and file a reply in response to the Order of the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned for **16.10.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 541 OF 2019

Advocate Tejinder Singh, (9041004313) Village Bholapur, PO : Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Food Safety Officer, JALANDHAR.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Civil Surgeon, JALANDHAR.

... Respondents

PRESENT: Advocate Tejinder Singh, Appellant. None on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not

bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, the Appellant is advised to point out the deficiencies, if any, in writing to the Respondent – PIO within 10 days under intimation to the Commission, the Respondent – PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which Show Cause Notice will be issued against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned for **09.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3987 OF 2018

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Tejinder Singh, Appellant. None on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

 The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

4. The perusal of the case reveals that respondent has not dealt with the RTI application of the appellant properly and delayed the information about 9 months.

5. In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act is issued to Dr. Rajiv Bhalla, PIO –cum- Civil Surgeon O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana to why penalty should not be imposed upon him and also why compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant.

6. The Respondent – PIO is directed to file an affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice and appear personally on the next date fixed, otherwise it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall initiate ex-parte proceeding.

7. The case is adjourned for **09.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action: - (Regd. Post)

Dr. Rajiv Bhalla, PIO –cum – Civil Surgeon, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3989 OF 2018

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Food Safety Officer, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Assistant Commissioner Food, Moga.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Tejinder Singh, Appellant. None on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 27.06.2019.

 The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

4. The perusal of the case reveals that respondent has not dealt with the RTI application of the Appellant properly and delayed the information about 9 months.

5. In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act is issued to Sh. Jitender Singh, PIO –cum- FSO O/o Food Safety Officer, Moga to why penalty should not be imposed upon him and also why compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant.

6. The Respondent – PIO is directed to file an affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice and appear personally on the next date fixed, otherwise it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall initiate ex-parte proceeding.

7. The case is adjourned for **09.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.08.2019

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action: - (Regd. Post)

Sh. Jitender Singh, PIO –cum – F.S.O., O/o Food Safety Officer, Moga.