



Sh Pawan Kumar,
Near G.S & Co Guruharsahai,
Distt Ferozepur..

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Programme Officer,
Sakhi One Stop Centre,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No.10 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Tejinder Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 15.12.2020 has sought information on 06 points regarding the number of advertisements published for recruitment for caseworkers and psycho-social counsellors in Sakhi One Stop Centre Ferozepur – the reason for publishing the advertisement more than once – number of applications received – status of application against each advertisement – the reason for not filling the posts and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Programme Officer, Sakhi One Stop Centre, Ferozepur. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 22.12.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 21.06.2022.

The complainant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

There is nothing on record which shows that the RTI application has been attended to within time. There has been an enormous delay of more than one year and six months in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such PIOs/person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies. The PIO is also directed to inform the details of PIOs (Name of PIO – Period from/to – Present posting) from the date of filing of the RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **02.08.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Manpreet Singh, S/o Sh Nirmal Singh,
Roop Singh Nagar, Manewala Road,
Jalalabad, West, Distt. Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Guruharsahai,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o BDPO, Guruharsahai,
Ferozepur

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 19 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Manpreet Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 27.08.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding details of the road leading to Dhani Jarnail Singh from Chak Swah – details of expenses incurred for construction of this road – length and width of the road – details of land covered under the road/ownership (Kila No.Murabba No.) and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of BDPO Guruharsahai. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Ferozepur. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented. There is nothing on record which shows that the RTI application has been attended to within time. There has been an enormous delay of more than nine months in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such PIOs/person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies. The PIO is also directed to inform the details of PIOs(Name of PIO – Period from/to – Present posting) from the date of filing of the RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **13.09.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Satish Kumar, Advocate,
Ward No-10, Near Nehru Park,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, NC,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o ADC, Urban Dev,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 136 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 08.10.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding a file relating to payment of Rs.4/- to Santosh Devi on 19.06.2017 – a copy of the cash book from 01.01.2013 to 31.03.2015 – details of income tax of Rs.720668/- deposited – details of deduction of Rs.147000/- from the salary of employees on 28.02.2018 – details of payment of Rs.22000/- to advocate Aman Sharma on 28.02.2018 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-NC Jaito. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.11.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **18.10.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Nitin Kumar,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastri Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Kapurthala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 307 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 19.08.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding comparative statements for purchase of medicines, etc from 01.07.2020 to 19.08.2021 – list of collection/amount from the public in hospital (daily) – list of medicines purchased and repair in hospital – list of all type of funds/grants received in civil Hospital Kapurthala and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO-Civil Hospital Kapurthala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 06.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Kapurthala. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented. There is nothing on record which shows that the RTI application has been attended to within time. There has been an enormous delay of more than ten months in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such PIOs/person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies. The PIO is again directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **30.08.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Kapurthala.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Hardeep singh, s/o Sh Dilawar Singh,
C/o Sukhjinder Singh, S/o Dalbara Singh,
Village Baranhara, Near Saraswati School,
Hambran Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, CHC Sudhar,
Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5591 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Virender Joshi, PHC Sudhar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.11.2021 has sought information on 06 points regarding the list of patients admitted to CHC Sudhar and the list of MLR report from 18.11.2021 to 23.11.2021 – a copy of the discharge slip of the appellant & Jagdish Singh – a copy of the notice sent from CHC Sudhar to PS Dhakha – a copy of MLR of the appellant and Jagdish Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SHO CHC Sudhar, Ludhiana The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO 02.12.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.12.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 24.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Due to the non-working of VC at DAC Ludhiana, the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 22.06.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided, however, the MLR report of Sh.Jagdish Singh has not been provided being 3rd party information since Sh.Jagdish Singh has not given his consent to disclose the information.

The appellant is absent and vide email through counsel Sh.Rakesh Kumar Gupta has informed that he has received the information on points 1 & 3 but the information on point-2 & 4 is pending. The appellant through said email has sought adjournment.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.10.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.06.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission