**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Tejinder Singh,

r/o H.No.836, MIG, Punjab Housing Board Colony,

Jamalpur, Ludhiana. --------Complainant. **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Committee, Hoshiarpur. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 343 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Samir Bali, Draftsman, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Tejinder Singh, complainant, vide his RTI application dated 06.02.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding illegal construction of buildings on the court road. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 29.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, Shri Samir Bali, Draftsman, appearing on behalf of the respondent, informs that the requisite information has been supplied to the complainant, which has been duly received by him. He submits a copy of receipt taken from the complainant, which is taken on record.

3. The complainant is not present without any intimation nor any observations have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Rattan Chand,

S/o Shri Phool Chand,

Village: Arnantu, P.O. Sadharanpur,

Tehsil Patran, District Patiala. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, PSPCL,

Badshahpur, District Patiala. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 354 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Rattan Chand, Complainant, in person.

Shri Jagjit Singh, U.D.C., on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Rattan Chand, complainant, vide his RTI application dated 07.02.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought copies of documents regarding installation of Motor Connection No. 36/8 in the name of Shri Ami Chand S/o Shri Fateh Chand. The PIO vide Memo. No. 2787/88/Saman/RTI-704, dated 03.03.2017 denied information under Section 8 of the RTI Act as per the judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India dated 03.10.2012. Having been dis-satisfied with the reply from the PIO, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 28.03.2017 which was received in the Commission on 29.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the respondent submits that the sought information cannot be supplied to the complainant under Section 8 of the RTI Act, 2005 as it is personal information of the third party, who has not given his consent to supply his information to
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any person. The complainant asserts that he wants to go through the requisite documents. After hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, only inspection of the documents is allowed to the appellant with the rider that no document is to be provided to the complainant. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Gagandeep Singh, Advocate,

H.No.26, HM, Sector 59, Phase-IV,

SAS Nagar. --------Complainant.  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,

Amritsar. -------Respondent.

**Complaint Case No. 362 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Prince, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Gagandeep Singh, Advocate, complainant, vide his RTI application dated 13.01.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought copy of Rules which govern the service conditions of non-provincial posts and Law Officers in Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 26.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 30.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the respondent informs that requisite information has been supplied to the complainant and he has brought one more copy of the information for handing over to the complainant. Since the complainant is not present without any intimation nor any observations on the provided information, have been received from him, it shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Vikas Goyal,

Tagore Street, House No.B-12/466,

College Road, Barnala-148101. -------- Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Assistant Executive Engineer,

PSPCL, Sub Division, Sanghera,

District Barnala. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 371 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Teja Singh, LDC-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Vikas Goyal, complainant, vide his RTI application dated 18.01.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought detail of different works executed in Sub Division Sanghera. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 28.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 03.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the respondent submits a copy of letter dated 29.03.2017 from the complainant vide which he has informed that he does not want to pursue his case any further and has requested that the case may be closed.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Bant Singh, H.No.24,

Ext.II, Aman City, Kharar,

District SAS Nagar. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,

A-Block, Third Floor, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 888 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Bant Singh, Appellant, in person.

None for the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Bant Singh, Appellant, vide his RTI application dated 14.06.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information on 10 points regarding grant of sanction to developers/colonizers to develop an urban estate, regulation of colonies and thereafter transfer of such colonies to M.C. Kharar. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with First Appellate Authority on 04.01.2017 and then approached the Commission in second appeal vide letter dated 20.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the appellant informs that no information has been supplied to him as yet. None is present on behalf of the respondents. However, a letter No. 34, dated 19.05.2017 has been received from Executive Officer, Municipal
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Council, Kharar seeking exemption from appearance as the concerned official has to appear in the Civil Court, Kharar in connection with a court case.

3. A perusal of RTI application reveals that mostly copies of Rules/instructions/guidelines have been sought by the appellant and some information is in question form. Therefore, the PIO is directed to get the relevant record inspected by the appellant on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the specific documents required by him and the supply the same on the spot.

4. To come up on **21.06.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri K.N.S. Sodhi,

#1634, Sector 70, Mohali. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Corporation, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Mohali. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 895 of 2017**

Present:- Shri K. N. S. Sodhi, appellant, in person.

Shri Sarabjit Singh, Assistant Commissioner-cum-PIO and Shri Meetpal Singh, MOH-cum-APIO, M.C. Mohali, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri K.N.S. Sodhi, Appellant, vide his RTI application dated 11.12.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information on 15 points regarding Animal Birth Control Programme. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with First Appellate Authority on 20.01.2017 and then approached the Commission in second appeal vide letter dated 20.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on21.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the representatives of the respondents inform that the information, available on record, has already been supplied to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to send his observations, on the provided information, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission and the respondents are directed to send a detailed reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **21.06.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Smt. Anuradha w/o Shri Shamsher Singh,

Village: Rauni, Nabha Road, Tehsil and Distt. Patiala. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

PIO-cum-Deputy Secretary,

Head Office (IR and W) (RTI Cell),

PSPCL, The Mall Road, Patiala.

FAA- 1. Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Engineer/Commercial,

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.,

Head Office, The Mall, Patiala.

2. Deputy Chief Engineer (Distribution Division),

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.

Patiala.

3. Senior Executive Engineer (Operation),

Distribution East Division,

PSPCL, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 964 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Er. Sunil Kumar Jindal, Addl. S.E.-cum-APIO and Shri Harbhajan Singh, SDO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Smt. Anuradha, appellant, vide her RTI application dated 16.07.2016 sought copies of letters dated 19.03.2016, 29.03.2016 and 16.04.2016 alongwith Action Taken Report. Having been dis-satisfied with the provided information, she filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 03.10.2016 and then approached the Commission vide letter dated 18.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 22.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.
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2. Today, the respondents submit a Memo. No. Spl. 1, dated 22.05.2017 from Assistant XEN Operation, PSPCL,Kalyan(Rakhra) vide which it has been informed that same information was asked for by the appellant in AC-166 of 2017 which was heard and disposed of by Shri A.S.Chanduraian, SIC on 09.05.2017, after the information was supplied to the appellant.

3. The respondent inform that the information in this case has already been supplied to the appellant which has duly been received by her husband. The appellant is not present. However, when contacted on phone, she has informed that she has not received Notice of Hearing. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to send one more copy of information to the appellant by registered post and the appellant is directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency.

4. To come up on **21.06.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Ranjit Singh Advocate,

Chamber No.122, Mahatma Gandhi Complex,

District Courts, Patiala. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1003 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Jaspinder Singh, Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 06.09.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought copy of Inquiry Report on a complaint by Shri Baljit Singh. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 22.12.2016 and then approached the Commission in the second appeal vide letter dated 21.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 28.03.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the respondent has brought information for handing over the same to the appellant, who is not present without any intimation. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to send this information to the appellant by registered post and the appellant is directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency in the provided information.

3. To come up on **21.06.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Er. Baldev Singh Longia,

H.No.101, Power Colony No.2, PSPCL,

Patiala. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chairman cum-Managing Director,

PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer (HRD),

PSPCL, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1051 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Baldev Singh Longia, Appellant, in person.

Shri Gurpreet Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Baldev Singh Longia, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 01.08.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought copies of latest office order/present address office/residential of 12 officers/officials. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 04.10.2016 and then approached the Commission in second appeal vide letter dated 18.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 05.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. Today, the appellant submits that no information has been supplied to him as yet. He informs that the PIO-cum-Dy. C.E. Personnel has informed him vide Memo. No. 12387/RTI-617, dated 15.09.2016 that the sought information is not available in their office and thus cannot be supplied. The appellant further informs that the First Appellate Authority has dismissed his first appeal.
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3. Shri Gurpreet Singh, Senior Assistant, appearing on behalf of the respondents, informs that the RTI application of the appellant was transferred to HRD Section and no information has been received from them. He is unable to explain the factual position as to by which office the sought information is to be supplied to the appellant. It is noted with concern that the PIO has not bothered to make efforts to collect the information from the concerned office and supply the same to the appellant during the last more than 8 months, which is readily available in the office domain. Accordingly, Shri Jaspal Singh Birdi, Chief Engineer, HRD and Shri Ram Lal Garg, Deputy Chief Engineer Personnel are issued a Show-Cause Notice each to explain reasons through a duly attested affidavit as to why a penalty at the rate of Rs. 250/- per day, subject to a maximum of Rs. 25,000/- be not imposed upon them for the delay in the supply of information and also as to why a suitable compensation be not awarded to the appellant for the loss and detriment suffered by him during this period. They are also given an opportunity of personal hearing on the next date of hearing in the interest natural justice, before taking any action.

4. To come up on **21.06.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 22.05.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Jaspal Singh Birdi, REGISTERED**

**Chief Engineer, HRD,**

**PSPCL, Mall Road, Patiala.**

**Shri Ram Lal Garg, REGISTERED**

**Deputy Chief Engineer, Personnel,**

**PSPCL, Mall Road, Patiala.**