

Sh. Navdeep Singh S/o Sh Major Singh R/o Ward No 6, Patti, Distt Tarn Taran. (87258-65270)

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, DAV, Sr. Sec. School, Patti, Distt Tarn Taran.

Complaint Case No.: 871 of 2019

Present: Sh. Navdeep Singh, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Rajnish Sharma (Principal).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Rajnish Sharma handed over reply along with certificate regarding fee of class 9th and 10th vide Reference no. 1842 dated 21.01.2020 to the complainant during the hearing. A copy of the same is taken on record.

3. After gone through the supplied information, complainant, Sh. Navdeep Singh expresses his dissatisfaction and states that respondent supplied only certificate with the lump sum amount of the fee. He added that school was charging high fee and no receipts have been supplied to him by the school. He further added that and class 11th information is also not supplied by the respondent as per the previous order of the Commission.

4. On this, respondent, Sh. Rajnish Sharma states that ward of the appellant was not in the respondent school for class 11th.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the bifurcation of the fee of class 9th, 10th and 11th to the complainant before the next date of hearing. He is also directed to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing along with a copy of the supplied information, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **03.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Complainant



Sh. Rohit Sabharwal (President), Kundan Bhawan, 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

Versus

Show Cause: Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Admin), Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Admin), Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 3792 of 2019

Respondent

Appellant

Present: Nobody present. ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.12.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Rohit Sabharwal is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 15.01.2020 was received from the representative of the appellant, Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur stating that requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant and requested for an exemption in this case. This email is taken on record.

3. Respondent PIO is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 16.12.20219.

4. <u>In view of the above, the then respondent PIO, O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation</u> <u>Commissioner (Admin), Jalandhar will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the <u>RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the</u> <u>provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u></u>

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.</u>

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him exparte.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **03.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Rohit Sabharwal (President), Kundan Bhawan, 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

Versus

Appellant

Superintendent Cum PIO O/o Department of Governance Reforms Governance Reform Branch -1, Govt. of Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat -2, Sector – 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority O/o Department of Governance Reforms Governance Reform Branch -1, Govt. of Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat -2, Sector – 9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.: 3796 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Jaswinderjeet Kaur (Superintendent) along with Sh. Sarabjeet Singh (SA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.11.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Rohit Sabharwal is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 15.01.2020 was received from the representative of the appellant, Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur stating that PIO is mixing two different RTI applications and requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant in this case. She requested for an exemption in this case. This email is taken on record.

3. Respondent, Ms. Jaswinderjeet Kaur states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through registered post. She presented a copy of the supplied information during the hearing. She added that requisite information is also available on the official website. She submits a copy of letter dated 13.01.2020 along with supporting documents, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents available during the hearing, I am of the considered view that that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Sh. Chandan Bhanot (M:98031-05239)			
(Regd. Post)	Sh. Chandan Bhanot (S/o Ajay Bhanot #352/3, Guru Nanakpur Jalandhar		Appellant
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Improvement Trust, Jalandhar		
(Regd. Post)	First Appellate Author O/o Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.		Respondent
Present:	Nobody present.		2
ORDER			
1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.12.2019.			
2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.			
3. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO was also absent on the			
previous hearing held on 16.12.2019. A last and final opportunity is given to both the parties to			
represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to			
clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.			

4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **03.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post.</u>

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Daizy Mohan S/o Hukum Chand (Partner - Rupali Wine, Nabha), R/o House No. 14248, Street No. 2-A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda – 151001 (94173-13900 & 93562-10000)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala - 147001.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Division), Anaaz Mandi, Sirhind Road, Patiala.

Appeal Case No.: 2509 of 2019

For the respondent: Sh. Sandeep Singh (Clerk) along with Sh. Vijay Sharma (Steno).

Respondent

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Sandeep Singh states that requisite information was handed over to the

appellant on the previous hearing held on 17.12.2019.

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

3. Appellant, Sh. Daizy Mohan is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's

hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was advised on the previous hearing to go through the supplied information and point out the deficiency if any but no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant as per the respondent and as per the

case file. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of

& closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Pardeep Pareeja S/o Sh. Om Parkash, R/o 60, Nehru Colony, Majitha Road, Amritsar. (90231-66819)

Public Information Officer O/o XEN, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintendent Engineer, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 2731 of 2019

Versus

Present:

Respondent

Appellant

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sukhdev Raj (ATE) along with Sh. Rajiv Kumar (ATE).

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.12.2019. 1.

2. Respondent, Sh. Suhkdev Raj states that compensation cheque will be supplied to the appellant within two days as it is pending due to audit. He submits a reply vide letter no. 7487 dated 10.12.2019 signed by Trust Engineer, Improvement Trust, Amritsar along with a copy of letter no. 5036 dated 20.09.2019, which is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Pardeep Pareeja is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 21.01.2020 has been received from the appellant stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that this case is 4. pending only to supply the compensation cheque to the appellant. On the assurance of the respondent, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Appellant, Sh. Pardeep Pareeja is on liberty to approach the Commission, if he will not receive the compensation cheque from the respondent PIO.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Naveen Kalra (98558-33666) S/o Sh. Amir Chand, House No. 526, Moballa Baiputa

House No. 526, Mohalla Rajputa, Jalalabad (East)-152024

Versus

Appellant

Nodal Officer (RTI) O/o DPI (SE), Punjab Mohali

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority O/o Secretary Punjab School Education Board, Vidya Bhawan, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali) <u>Appeal Case No.: 2919 of 2019</u>

Respondent

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.12.2019.

Sh. Naveen Kalra, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Atul Arora (Clerk)

2. Appellant, Sh. Naveen Kalra is present for today's hearing states that he is not satisfied

with the supplied information.

3. Respondent, Sh. Atul Arora states that requisite information has already been sent to the

appellant through registered post. He presented a copy of the supplied information during the

hearing.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the supplied information, it is observed that

supplied information fulfill all the aspects of the RTI application. Therefore, no cause of action is

required. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh (78887-61378) S/o Sh. Lal Singh Ward No. 3/112, Sangat Nagar, Dhuri, Tehsil Dhuri, District Sangrur

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Robin Model Sr. Sec. School, Janta Nagar, Malerkotla Road, Dhuri

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO, Sangrur

Appeal Case No.: 2942 of 2019

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.12.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent third time without any intimation to the Commission. Both the parties were also absent on the previous hearings held on 04.11.2019 and 04.12.2019. It is observed that both the parties have no regard to the Notice as well as Orders of the Commission and RTI Act as well. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is

disposed of & closed.

3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Pardeep Kumar S/o Subhash Chander,

1822A/14, Guru Ram Dass Nagar, Putlighar, opposite Railway Workshop, Amritsar -1, Amritsar, Punjab - 143001. (98886-66196) Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, MC Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 2350 of 2019

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Ms. Harkiran Kaur (ATP), Sh. Dheeraj Kumar (BI) along with Sh. Satpal Singh (Superintendent).

ORDER

Present:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.12.2019. 1.

2. Respondent, Ms. Harkiran Kaur states that GR number has not been supplied by the appellant till date and due to it requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant.

Neither the appellant, Sh. Pardeep Kumar is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply 3.

in this case.

After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was 4. advised to supply the GR number to the respondent PIO as per the previous order of the Commission but appellant has not supplied GR number to the respondent till date. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 5.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Respondent

Appellant





Sh. Pardeep Kumar S/o Subhash Chander,

1822A/14, Guru Ram Dass Nagar, Putlighar, opposite Railway Workshop, Amritsar -1, Amritsar, Punjab - 143001. (98886-66196)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o MC Amritsar.

Public Information Officer O/o House Tax Department, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Town Planner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, MC Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 2351 of 2019

Respondent

Present:

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Harkiran Kaur (ATP), Sh. Dheeraj Kumar (BI) along with Sh. Satpal Singh (Superintendent).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Harkiran Kaur states that GR number has not been supplied by the

appellant till date and due to it requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Pardeep Kumar is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply

in this case.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was advised to supply the GR number to the respondent PIO as per the previous order of the Commission but appellant has not supplied GR number to the respondent till date. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Amit Kumar (Social Worker) (96468-36001)

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, R/o H. No. E6/856, Old Naraingarh, Chheharta, Amritsar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 1660 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Harkiran Kaur (ATP), Sh. Dheeraj Kumar (BI) along with Sh. Dinesh Kumar (BI).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Harkiran Kaur states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. She submits a reply to the Show Cause dated nil signed by her in connection with Appeal Case No. 1660, 1661 of 2019 along with reply dated 22.01.2020 signed by her in Appeal Case No. 1660. Of 2019. She also submits a copy of single page of dispatch register attested by ATP, MC, Amritsar showing that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant by hand, which is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Amit Kumar is absent continuously fourth time without any intimation to the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was also absent on the previous hearings held on 09.09.2019, 09.10.2019, 02.12.2019 and today itself.

As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case

is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Appellant



Sh. Amit Kumar (Social Worker) (96468-36001)

S/o Sh. Kewal Krishan, R/o H. No. E6/856, Old Naraingarh, Chheharta, Amritsar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 1661 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Harkiran Kaur (ATP), Sh. Dheeraj Kumar (BI) along with Sh. Dinesh Kumar (BI).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Harkiran Kaur states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. She submits a reply to the Show Cause dated nil signed by her in connection with Appeal Case No. 1660, 1661 of 2019, which is taken on record in Appeal Case No. 1660 of 2019, in original. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case. She also submits a copy of letter no. 25 dated 16.04.2019 along with remarks of the appellant "received" dated 24.04.2019, which is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Amit Kumar is absent continuously fourth time without any intimation to the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was also absent on the previous hearings held on 09.09.2019, 09.10.2019, 02.12.2019 and today itself.

As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case

is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Appellant



Sh. Harnam Dass House No. 3165 D, Sector 40 D Chandigarh.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o BDPO, Block Nadala, District Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DDPO, Block Nadala, District Kapurthala.

Appeal Case No.: 1839 of 2019

Sh. Ranjit Singh on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Yousaf Masih (PS-cum-PIO)

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Yousaf Masih states that requisite information comprising 336 pages has already been supplied to the advocate of the appellant, Sh. Harnam Dass dated 14.10.2019 before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab during the hearing of four cases (Appeal Case numbers 2535, 2538, 2539 and 2540 of 2019). He added that complete record has already been supplied to the appellant and nothing is left to be supplied. He further states that Sh. Ranjit Singh cannot file RTI application as well as his brother, Sh. Gurmej Singh as per the Order of the Commission dated 08.08.2019 passed by the Ld. SIC, Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in Appeal Case No. 647, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889 and 1112 of 2019.

He submits a reply to Show Cause which was issued to him vide Order of the Commission dated 11.12.2019. He also submits a reply vide letter no. 1138 dated 21.01.2020 signed by BDPO, Nadala along with supporting documents, stating as follows:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਦਾਸ ਹਾਊਸ ਨੰਬਰ 3165 ਡੀ, ਸੈਕਟਰ 40 ਡੀ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਦਰਖਾਸ਼ਤ ਮਿਤੀ 22-03-2019 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਗਰਾਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਖਲੀਲ ਦੇ ਸਾਲ 2013-2014 ਤੋਂ ਸਾਲ 2017-2018 ਤੱਕ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਦਰਖਾਸ਼ਤਾਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਸੰਬਧੀ ਉਸ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸੁਰੇਸ਼ ਅਰੋੜਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਪਾਸ ਕੰਪਲੇਂਟ ਨੰਬਰ 2535, 2538 , 2539, 2540 ਆਫ 2019 ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀਆ ਗਈਆ ਸਨ ।ਇਸ ਸੰਬਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਯੂਸਫ ਮਸੀਹ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਸਕੱਤਰ -ਕਮ ਪੀ.ਆਈ.ੳ ਗਰਾਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਖਲੀਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 101 ਮਿਤੀ 14-10-2019 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀਆਂ 336 ਕਾਪੀਆ ਦਰਖਾਸ਼ਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਨੂੰ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਹੀ ਦੇ ਦਿੱਤੀਆ ਗਈਆ ਸਨ।ਇਥੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸਣਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦਰਖਾਸ਼ਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਬਿਹਾਫ ਤੇ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਏ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਣਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਵੀ ਗਰਾਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਖਲੀਲ ਦਾ ਸਾਲ 2012-13 ਤੋਂ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਲੱਗਭੱਗ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ /ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 804 ਆਫ 2016, ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1389 ਆਫ 2016,ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1825 ਆਫ 2016 , ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1884 ਆਫ 2016, ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 5983 ਆਫ 2017, ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 1726 ਆਫ 2017 ,ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 922 ਆਫ 2017, ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 198 ਆਫ 2017 ਆਦਿ/ਵੱਖ-ਵੱਖ ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਗਰਾਂਟਾਂ ਦੇ ਸ਼ੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਪੱਤਰ,ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਭੇਜੇ ਗਏ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਨਕਲਾਂ ਵੀ ਸ਼ਾਮਿਲ ਹਨ।ਜਿਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਸੀ.ਸੀ ਨੰਬਰ 647 ਆਫ 2019 ਵਿਚ ਰਣਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਦੇ ਗਰਾਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਸੈਂਤਪੁਰ ਅਤੇ ਖਲੀਲ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕਰਨ ਤੇ ਰੋਕ ਲਗਾਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ।(ਕਾਪੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ)।

ਹੁਣ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਣਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਦਾਸ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਉਸੇ ਹੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਦੁਬਾਰਾ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ।ਜਦ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਹਰਨਾਮ ਦਾਸ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਉਕਤ ਆਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਸੰਬਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜਰ ਨਹੀ ਹੋਇਆ।ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਣਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇੱਕ ਹੀ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਕੇਵਲ ਪ੍ਰੇਸ਼ਾਨ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਅਲੱਗ-ਅਲੱਗ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੰਗ ਕੇ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਸੀਮਿਤ ਸਾਧਨਾਂ ਉੱਪਰ ਬੇਲੋੜਾ ਬੋਝ ਪਾਇਆ ਜਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। 3. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Ranjit Singh states that he is not satisfied with the decision of bench of undersigned.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that this case was filed by the appellant, Sh. Harnam Dass but he never appeared before the bench of undersigned and nobody was present on his behalf on the hearings held on 15.10.2019 and 18.11.2019.

It is also observed that RTI application is vague in nature as no time period is mentioned in RTI application and despite of it the requisite information has already been supplied to the representative of the appellant in other cases on 14.10.2019 before the Hon'ble CIC. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020



Sh. Jasbir Singh (98153-97246) S/o Sh. Harbans Singh Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, District Patiala

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Secretary, Education Department, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority O/o Secretary, Education Department, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali) Appeal Case No.: 3119 of 2019

Respondent

Present:Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Balraj Singh (SA).ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.12.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Balraj Singh states that pending information relates with point no. 4 has

already been supplied to the appellant through registered post dated 21.01.2020. He submits a

copy of the supplied information vide letter no. 202025510-11 dated 20.01.2020 signed by the Assistant Director, which is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that pending information relates with point no. 4 has already been supplied to the appellant and supplied information is sufficient. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.01.2020