



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa (7009729370)

SCO No 88, District Shopping center,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 3594 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2020 vide which **a last and final opportunity was given to the Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru (9814327724)** to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised in RTI application before the next date of hearing and matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that appellant filed RTI application to the respondent's office on 13.08.2018 and after the lapse of more than two (2) years, complete requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO towards his duties as a Public Servant.

On every hearing different person attended the court in this present case because Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only assigning duties to different persons which are as follows:

- As per letter no. 443 dated 10.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 15.06.2020 but on 15.06.2020, Sh. Vineet Kumar (Clerk) attended the hearing and he was not aware about the facts of this case.
- As per letter no. 701 dated 19.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020, respondent was absent.

- As per letter no. 777 dated 23.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Pardeep jaiswal and Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineers) to represent appeal cases 3588, 3590, 3591, 3592, 3594 and 3597 of 2020 on 05.08.2020 but respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 1927 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this on 07.08.2020 but respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 1927 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this on 07.08.2020 but Sh. Anurag (JE) was present from the respondent side and stated that concerned official is Sh. Reminder Pal Singh.
- As per letter no. 2089 dated 20.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Raminder Pal Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this on 21.09.2020 i.e. today but the respondent is absent.

It is also observed that a letter number 2121 dated 20.08.2020 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI) was received in the Commission vide diary no. 10439 dated 24.08.2020 stating that to bound the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Reminder Pal Singh in this case.

I am of the considered view that there are more other irrelevant similar letters from the respondent, Nodal officer (RTI) in the case file, which are not mentioned in this order.

Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mhaindru only issuing letters without going through the proper documents, which leads to wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission, Public and of the Public Authority to which respondent PIO concerned and Nodal Officer failed to perform his duties as copies of orders were also sent to his whatsapp number by the undersigned bench and informing him through calls also.

I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa.

Hence, **I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only)** to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Satnam Singh Randhawa** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sonu Mahindru is directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. **A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.**

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00**

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Sh. Sonu Mahindru (Nodal Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa (7009729370)

SCO No 88, District Shopping center,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3582 of 2019

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2020 vide which **one more opportunity was given to the Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru (9814327724)** to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised in RTI application on his visit to the respondent's office on 31.08.2020 before the next date of hearing and matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that appellant filed RTI application to the respondent's office on 01.11.2018 and after the lapse of more than one year and nine months, complete requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO towards his duties as a Public Servant.

On every hearing different person attended the court in this present case because Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only assigning duties to different persons which are as follows:

- As per letter no. 283 dated 09.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 16.06.2020 but on 16.06.2020, respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 780 dated 23.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Four PIOs-Cum-Trust Engineers (Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal, Sh. Bikram Singh, Sh. Barjinder Singh and Sh. Ravinder Kumar) to represent appeal cases 3582, 3583, 3584, 3585, 3586, 3587 and 3593 of 2019 on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020, respondent, Sh. Ravinder Kumar assured that he will supply the pending information to the appellant.

- As per letter no. 1973 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Ravinder Kumar (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 07.08.2020 but on 07.08.2020, Sh. Rajiv Bansal (SDO) appeared and requested for an adjournment. .
- As per letter no. 2104 dated 20.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Ravinder Kumar (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this on 21.09.2020 i.e. today but the respondent is absent.

It is also observed that a letter number 2127 dated 20.08.2020 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI) was received in the Commission vide diary no. 10441 dated 24.08.2020 stating that to bound the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Ravinder Kumar in this case.

I am of the considered view that there are more other irrelevant similar letters from the respondent, Nodal officer (RTI) in the case file, which are not mentioned in this order.

Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only issuing letters without going through the proper documents, which leads to wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission, Public and of the Public Authority to which respondent PIO concerned and Nodal Officer failed to perform his duties as copies of orders were also sent to his whatsapp number by the undersigned bench and informing him through calls also.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa.

Hence, **I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only)** to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Satnam Singh Randhawa** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sonu Mahindru is directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. **A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.**

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Sh. Sonu Mahindru (Nodal Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa (7009729370)

SCO No 88, District Shopping center,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3584 of 2019

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2020 vide which **one more opportunity was given to the Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru (9814327724)** to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised in RTI application on his visit to the respondent's office on 31.08.2020 before the next date of hearing and matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that appellant filed RTI application to the respondent's office on 04.10.2018 and after the lapse of more than one year nine months, complete requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO towards his duties as a Public Servant.

On every hearing different person attended the court in this present case because Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only assigning duties to different persons which are as follows:

- As per letter no. 389 dated 09.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 16.06.2020 but on 16.06.2020, respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 780 dated 23.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Four PIOs-Cum-Trust Engineers (Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal, Sh. Bikram Singh, Sh. Barjinder Singh and Sh. Ravinder Kumar) to represent appeal cases 3582, 3583, 3584, 3585, 3586, 3587 and 3593 of 2019 on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020 but respondent was absent.

- As per letter no. 1554 dated 29.07.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020 but respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 1963 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 07.08.2020 but on 07.08.2020 but respondent was absent..
- As per letter no. 2098 dated 20.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 21.09.2020 i.e. today but respondent was absent..

It is also observed that a letter number 2120 dated 20.08.2020 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI) was received in the Commission vide diary no. 10438 dated 24.08.2020 stating that to bound the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. PardeepJaiswal in this case.

I am of the considered view that there are more other irrelevant similar letters from the respondent, Nodal officer (RTI) in the case file, which are not mentioned in this order.

Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mhaindru only issuing letters without going through the proper documents, which leads to wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission, Public and of the Public Authority to which respondent PIO concerned and Nodal Officer failed to perform his duties as copies of orders were also sent to his whatsapp number by the undersigned bench and informing him through calls also.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa.

Hence, **I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only)** to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Satnam Singh Randhawa** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sonu Mahindru is directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. **A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.**

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Sh. Sonu Mahindru (Nodal Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa (7009729370)

SCO No 88, District Shopping center,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3587 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2020 vide which **one more opportunity was given to the Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru (9814327724)** to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised in RTI application on his visit to the respondent's office on 31.08.2020 before the next date of hearing and matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that appellant filed RTI application to the respondent's office on 04.10.2018 and after the lapse of more than one year nine months, complete requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO towards his duties as a Public Servant.

On every hearing different person attended the court in this present case because Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only assigning duties to different persons which are as follows:

- As per letter no. 398 dated 09.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 16.06.2020 but on 16.06.2020, respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 780 dated 23.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Four PIOs-Cum-Trust Engineers (Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal, Sh. Bikram Singh, Sh. Barjinder Singh and Sh. Ravinder Kumar) to represent appeal cases 3582, 3583, 3584, 3585, 3586, 3587 and 3593 of 2019 on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020, respondent, Sh. Bikram Singh was present.

- As per letter no. 1960 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 07.08.2020 but on 07.08.2020, respondent, Bikram Singh stated that requisite information relates with ward no. 14 has already been supplied to the appellant but he is not the concerned official for the pending information relates with ward no. 13 and 15.
- As per letter no. 1963 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 07.08.2020 but on 07.08.2020 but respondent was absent..
- As per letter no. 2095 dated 20.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh and Sh. Ravinder Kumar (PIOs-Cum-Trust Engineers) to represent this case on 21.09.2020 i.e. today but respondent is absent.

It is also observed that a letter number 2119 dated 20.08.2020 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI) was received in the Commission vide diary no. 10437 dated 24.08.2020 stating that to bound the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Bikram Singh in this case.

I am of the considered view that there are more other irrelevant similar letters from the respondent, Nodal officer (RTI) in the case file, which are not mentioned in this order.

Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only issuing letters without going through the proper documents, which leads to wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission, Public and of the Public Authority to which respondent PIO concerned and Nodal Officer failed to perform his duties as copies of orders were also sent to his whatsapp number by the undersigned bench and informing him through calls also.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa.

Hence, **I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only)** to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Satnam Singh Randhawa** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sonu Mahindru is directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. **A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.**

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.11.2020** through **CISCO WEBEX** at **11:00**

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Sh. Sonu Mahindru (Nodal Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa (7009729370)

SCO No 88, District Shopping center,
Ranjeet Avenue, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer,
Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 3593 of 2019

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2020 vide which **one more opportunity was given to the Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru (9814327724)** to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised in RTI application on his visit to the respondent's office on 31.08.2020 before the next date of hearing and matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that appellant filed RTI application to the respondent's office on 13.08.2018 and after the lapse of more than two (2) years, complete requisite information has not been supplied to the appellant, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO towards his duties as a Public Servant.

On every hearing different person attended the court in this present case because Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only assigning duties to different persons which are as follows:

- As per letter no. 418 dated 09.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer to represent this case on 15.06.2020 but case was fixed for 16.06.2020 and respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 780 dated 23.06.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Four PIOs-Cum-Trust Engineers (Sh. Pardeep Jaiswal, Sh. Bikram Singh, Sh. Barjinder Singh and Sh. Ravinder Kumar) to represent appeal cases 3582, 3583, 3584, 3585, 3586, 3587 and 3593 of 2019 on 05.08.2020 but on 05.08.2020 but respondent was absent.

- As per letter no. 1942 dated 05.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 07.08.2020, respondent was absent.
- As per letter no. 2092 dated 20.08.2020 signed by nodal officer through which he assigned duty to Sh. Bikram Singh (PIO-Cum-Trust Engineer) to represent this case on 21.09.2020 i.e. today but respondent is absent.

It is also observed that a letter number 2118 dated 20.08.2020 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI) was received in the Commission vide diary no. 10440 dated 24.08.2020 stating that to bound the concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Bikram Singh in this case.

I am of the considered view that there are more other irrelevant similar letters from the respondent, Nodal officer (RTI) in the case file, which are not mentioned in this order.

Nodal Officer, Sh. Sonu Mahindru only issuing letters without going through the proper documents, which leads to wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission, Public and of the Public Authority to which respondent PIO concerned and Nodal Officer failed to perform his duties as copies of orders were also sent to his whatsapp number by the undersigned bench and informing him through calls also.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh Randhawa.

Hence, **I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only)** to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Satnam Singh Randhawa** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

Respondent, Sh. Sonu Mahindru is directed to supply the complete requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him.
A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Sh. Sonu Mahindru (Nodal Officer)
O/o Improvement Trust, Amritsar

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Tejinder Singh (9041004313)

R/o Village Bholapur,
PO Ramgarh, Chnadigrah Road,
Ludhiana-141123

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
(Regd. Post) O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab,
Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab,
Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1,
Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:732 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Tejinder Singh, appellant.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.08.2020 vide which appellant, Tejinder Singh stated that no information has been supplied to him. Respondent PIO was not present as Notice of Hearing, which was sent to him returned to the Commission with remarks "Sector 34", which is on record. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
3. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, one more opportunity is given to the respondent PIO as notice of hearing was not delivered to the PIO. A copy of this order be sent to the respondent PIO on the correct address which is O/o Director, Public Relation and Information, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, 5th Floor, Sector 1, Chandigarh through **registered post** for his ready reference.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.12.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISCO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajnish Bharara,
(Regd.Post) #520, Preet Colony,
Zirakpur, Distt Mohali-140603
Versus

Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer
(Regd.Post) O/o Naib Tehsildar, Sub Tehsildar,
Zirakpur, Distt Mohali

Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o SDM, Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 684 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody present.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.08.2020 vide which it was observed that RTI application is vague in nature and not specific. On this, appellant stated that he only requires information relates with point no. 9. Notice of hearing was not delivered to the concerned respondent PIO. A copy of previous order dated 18.08.2020 was sent to the concerned respondent PIO, Naib Tehsildar, Sub Tehsildar, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali through registered post along with RTI application comprising 07 pages. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Rajnish Bharara is not present but an email dated 29.08.2020 was received by the undersigned bench from the appellant requesting therein to transfer his case. This email is taken on record.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, I observed that there is not any specific reason to transfer this case, so matter is fixed for further hearing on **01.12.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M before the undersigned bench** with the last opportunity to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), who is well aware about the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

(Steps: Install CISCO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**)

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Puneet Goel, (9417352500)

H No 756, VPO Raipur Rani,
Near Trilokpur Chowk,
Distt Panchkula-134204

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
(Regd. Post) O/o EO,MC, Zirakpur

First Appellate Authority
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Patiala

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 669 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Puneet Goel, the appellant in person.
Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.08.2020 vide which appellant, Sh. Puneet Goel stated that requisite information has not been supplied to him. Sh. Lakhvir Singh was appeared on behalf of the respondent taken plea that this case recently allotted to him so requested for an adjournment. Plea of the respondent was granted; another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Puneet Goel states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent even after the directions by the Commission as per the previous order of the Commission dated 18.08.2020.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the documents placed on record, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing as per queries raised in RTI application, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. **Sh. Lakhvir Singh is directed to appear in person along with respondent PIO on the next date of hearing. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.**

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **17.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)
S/o 8/237, Jagrao Road, Mandi Mullapur,
Distt Ludhiana- 141101

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police
(Rural), Jagraon, Districts Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Deputy Inspector General of
Police, Ludhiana Range, Near Old Courts
Ludhiana.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.:2604 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Harpreet Singh (9779800315)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.08.2020 vide which appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 14.09.2020 to inspect the official record and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that he has visited the respondent's office but due to corona positive employees' inspection was not done.
3. Respondent, ASI, Harpreet Singh requested for an adjournment in this case.
4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, plea of the respondent is granted; appellant again advised to visit the respondent's office for the inspection of official record on 20.10.2020. Respondent PIO is directed to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 04.08.2020.
5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case positively.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).
7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)
S/o 8/237, Jagrao Road, Mandi Mullapur,
Distt Ludhiana- 141101

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab Police Headquarters
Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab Police Headquarters
Sector-9, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3393 of 2019
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant in person.
For the respondent: ASI, Harpreet Singh (9779800315)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.08.2020 vide which appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 14.09.2020 to inspect the official record and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that he has visited the respondent's office but due to corona positive employees' inspection was not done.
3. Respondent, ASI, Harpreet Singh requested for an adjournment in this case.
4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, plea of the respondent is granted; appellant again advised to visit the respondent's office for the inspection of official record on 20.10.2020. Respondent PIO is directed to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 04.08.2020.
5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case positively.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.11.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).
7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jatin Kumar Gupta (9417200289)
Room No 3, New Bar Complex,
Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

Sh. Jatin Kumar Gupta (9417200289)
#3, New Vikas Nagar,
Zirakpur-140601

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o BDPO, Guruharsahai,
Distt Ferozpur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o DDPO, Ferozepur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2165 of 2018
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Sukhdeep Singh (Panchayat Secretary) (9779709700)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.08.2020 vide which respondent PIO was directed to supply the copy of Performa duly filled by the appellant. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Sukhdeep Singh states that pending information related with Performa has already been supplied to the appellant. He added that penalty has already been deposited in the Government Treasury as per the previous Order of the Commission dated 29.10.2019 and compensation cheque also been supplied to the appellant. He further added that he has sent reply to the Commission dated 10.09.2020.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that respondent PIO has supplied the requisite information to the appellant as per RTI application but he has not sent copy of penalty challan and compensation cheque to the Commission with his reply dated 10.09.2020, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 11948 dated 14.09.2020 but he has provided the copy of penalty challan to the undersigned bench (bearing receipt no. 174667 dated 17.07.2020), which is taken on record.

This present case is now only pending for submission of a copy of compensation cheque amounting RS. 4,000/- by the respondent PIO, Sh. Sukhdeep Singh.

5. Respondent PIO, Sh. Sukhdeep Singh is directed to submit the copy of compensation cheque before the next date of hearing positively.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 A.M.** (Steps: Install CISCO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number **918232900**).

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.09.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

