



Sh Rohit Sabharwal,
Kundan Bhawan, 126,
Model Gram, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal No. 109 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 15.09.2018 has sought information regarding orders vide which photographs of two neighbors are required for renewal of passport or weapon license and other information concerning the office of DC Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 26.02.2019. Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI appeared on behalf of the respondent who submitted a letter dated 23.02.2019 from PIO-cum-DCP Ludhiana stating that the reports received from Establishment Branch and Passport Branch have been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 04.12.2018. The appellant was absent. However, vide letter dated 25.02.2019, Ms.Sukhjinder Kaur, advocate, a representative of the appellant sought exemption and informed that the information from incharge, Passport Branch and Incharge, Arms License Unit has been received but the information from the office of Commissioner of Police-Ludhiana is still pending. A copy of letter was handed over to the respondent for furnishing reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

The case was again heard on 02.04.2019. The respondent present sought some more time to enable them to send reply and to supply the information which was granted with the direction to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present informed that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27.04.2019 and a copy submitted to the Commission. The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancy. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied. The Commission has also gone through the reply and finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently attended to and the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jagshir Singh, S/oSh Gian Singh,
9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Ludhiana, (Rural).

First Appellate Authority,
Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal No. 135 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Hakam Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 29.08.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the application No.144-5CF.DSP Dhaka dated 16.11.2017 and other information concerning the office of SSP Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 26.02.2019. Sh.Balbir Chand, ASI appeared on behalf of the respondent who informed that the record is not traceable. Having gone through the file, it was observed that as per letter dated 04.10.2018, received in the Commission, the first appeal of the appellant was transferred to SSP-cum-PIO Ludhiana(Rural) for supplying the information. The appellant informed that the application is still pending with DSP Dakha. Due to non-serious attitude of the PIO, a show cause was issued to Sh.Gurbans Singh Bains, DSP Dakha and an opportunity of personal hearing on the next date of hearing was given to him.

The case was again heard on 02.04.2019. A representative of the respondent present explained the position and submitted letter dated 01.04.2019 from SHO-cum-PIO Police Station Dakha stating that the requisite information has been sent to the appellant vide email in a speaking order dated 01.04.2019. The respondent submitted a copy of the FIR to the Commission and handed over a copy of the same to the appellant. The appellant stated that he wants action taken report on his complaint. After hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to send detailed reply to the appellant with a copy to the Commission narrating as to what action has been taken on the said complaint of the appellant from 16.11.2017 to 01.04.2019..

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present has submitted a letter dated 20.08.2019 from the PIO-cum-Inspector, Police Station Dakha vide which the PIO has sought more time to prepare the reply/information on the plea that the staff is deputed for maintaining law and order locally as well as high water level in the Sutlej River.

The case is adjourned. The PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and send a compliance report to the Commission.

To come up for further hearing on **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajwinder Singh, S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,
R/o 2636, Gali No-1, Azad Nagar,
Near Shimla Market, Putligarh, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal No. 689 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Hira Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 05.11.2018 has sought information regarding statement of persons mentioned in the complaint dated 10.02.2017 alongwith log book containing details of complaint and other information concerning the office of DC Amritsar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 01.12.2018 after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh.Hira Singh ASI appeared on behalf of the respondent who submitted a letter dated 22.03.2019 enclosing a copy of provided information from DCP-cum-PIO Amritsar City stating the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.12.2018. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The PIO was directed to send a copy of letter dated 22.03.2019 to the appellant and the appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that as per order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant but the appellant has not sent any observation on the provided information. The appellant is absent on the second time and vide email has sought exemption. However, the appellant has not communicated any discrepancy.

The Commission observes that the appellant has been given enough opportunity to raise his observations on the provided information, which the appellant has not been able to avail. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



through registered post.

Sh. Sadhu Singh, S/o Sh Sajjan Singh,
Village Rurka, P.O Dehlon,
Dist Ludhiana

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

... Respondent

Appeal No.693 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI and Sh.Naresh Kumar, Head Constable for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.07.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on his complaint UID No.730888 dated 14.05. and other information concerning the office of Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh.Naresh Kumar HC appeared on behalf of the respondent who informed that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The appellant expressed dis-satisfaction and stated that he wants action taken report on his complaint. After hearing both the parties, the appellant was directed to send a copy of his complaint to the respondent PIO and the PIO was directed to furnish action taken report before the next date of hearing.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not provided the reference number/copy of complaint as per order of the Commission. The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption.

If the appellant is still interested to seek information, he is directed to send reference number of the complaint or a copy of his complaint to the respondent and the PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands.

To come up for further hearing on **28.11.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties **through registered post.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Sadhu Singh, S/o Sh Sajjan Singh,
Village Rurka, P.O Dehlon,
Dist Ludhiana

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal No.694 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.06.2018 has sought information regarding the officers who are entitled for using an AC and other information concerning the office of Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh Pawandev Singh ASI appeared on behalf of the respondent who submitted a letter dated 06.04.2019 from PIO stating the information is not available in their record and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2018. A copy of the letter was handed over to the appellant and the appellant was directed to send his observations if any to the PIO.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant but as per order of the Commission, the appellant has not sent any observations on the information.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. However, the appellant has not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kuldeep Singh, S/o Lt ShHarjit Singh,
R/o Flat No-1506, 2nd Floor,
Housefed Complex, Banur, Punjab.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer, Operation South,
PSPCL Office, Near Phatak No-23, Leela Shiekh Vihar,
Shed No-D-2, Patiala , Punjab

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, Operation South,
PSPCL Office, Near Phatak No-23, Leela Shiekh Vihar,
Shed No-D-2, Patiala , Punjab.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 710 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Navjot Singh EE Banur for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 18.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that since the appellant has not specified the time period of information, the appellant was asked vide letter dated 25.01.2019 to deposit requisite fee for 774 number of pages for the information from 25.11.2014 to 18.01.2019 but he has not come forward to deposit the requisite fee.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to specify the period of information. Since the PIO had not raised the fee within the time prescribed under the RTI Act, the PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within a week free of cost, not exceeding 300 pages once the appellant specifies the time of the information.

Hearing dated 21.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not specified the period of information. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the information has not been provided.

The PIO is directed to provide meter reading at the start of billing cycle and end of the billing cycle of the main meter and provide copies of the ledger where the bill of all the consumers is raised from 18.01.2019 onwards. The information be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan,
H No-78/8, Park Road,
New Mandi, Dhuri, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Revenue, Rehabilitation and Disaster Management,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal No.720 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan as the Appellant
Ms.Inderjeet Kaur, Steno O/o DC Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.10.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint No.279/17 Patiala against Smt.Sumna Devi, Senior Assistance of the office of DC Patiala and other information concerning the office of Revenue, Rehabilitation and Disaster Manager, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh Rupinder Pal, Superintendent Grade-1, Sh.Tota Ram Sr.Assistant and Sh.Vikram Jeet, Clerk appeared on behalf of the respondent who informed that the RTI application has been transferred to the office of DC Patiala. The PIO-DC Patiala was impleaded in the case and directed to allow inspection of the relevant file to the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and after identification, supply duly attested copies of the same.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied. The respondent further stated that there is no other documents available in their record and this is the complete enquiry file which is being handed over to the appellant. The appellant has now received the information and does not want to pursue the case further.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan,
H No-78/8, Park Road,
New Mandi, Dhuri, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

... Respondent

Appeal No.721 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan as the Appellant
Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.09.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 30.07.2018 against Sh.dalip Singh HC O/o District Police Commissioner, Ludhiana and other information concerning the office of Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh Pawandev Singh, SI appeared on behalf of the respondent who submitted a letter from PIO-cum-DCP, Commissionerate, Ludhiana stating that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.02.2019 and a copy was submitted to the Commission. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The appellant was directed to send his observations, if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission

The case has come up for hearing today. The appellant has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla,
Ward NO-16-B/190, Sangrur Road,
Dhuri, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal No.723 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan on behalf of the Appellant
Sh.Sh.Gurbaksh Singh, Clerk O/o DC Amritsar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.09.2018 has sought information regarding the documents required for sale deeds and other information concerning the office of DC Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh Gora Singh, Registry clerk O/o Sub-Registrar, Amritsar-1 and Sh.Pritpal Singh Registry Clerk O/o Su-Registrar, Amritsar-II appeared on behalf of the respondent who submitted a letter dated 08.04.2019 from the Sub-Registrar, Amritsar-1 and another letter dated 20.03.2019 from SR-Amritsar-2. The Sub-Registrar, Amritsar-1 informed that the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 11.10.2018 and Sub-Registrar, Amritsar-2 informed that the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 11.10.2018. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The appellant was directed to send his observations, if any to the PIOs with a copy to the Commission

The representative present on behalf of the appellant informed that the PIO has denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form whereas he has asked for the documents required for registration of vasika for the property falling in redline of the city.

Hearing both the parties, the respondent is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide copies of any circular/notification issued by the Govt.(2010 onwards) stating the basis of identification through which the ownership of property can be transferred in the name of the occupants.

To come up for further hearing on **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla,
Ward NO-16-B/190, Sangrur Road,
Dhuri, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal No.725 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan on behalf of the Appellant
Sh.Amanpreet Singh, Reader to Tehsildar Ludhiana for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.09.2018 has sought information regarding the documents required for sale deeds and other information concerning the office of DC Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner on 09.04.2019. Sh Resham Singh Superintendent appeared on behalf of the respondent who informed that the requisite information has been sent to the appellant. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The appellant was directed to send his observations, if any to the PIOs with a copy to the Commission

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant stated that the information is not available on the website of the department. The appellant has further stated that he has asked for the documents required for registration of vasika for the property falling in redline of the city.

Hearing both the parties, the respondent is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide copies of any circular/notification issued by the Govt.(2010 onwards) stating the basis of identification through which the ownership of property can be transferred in the name of the occupants.

To come up for further hearing on **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Himmat Singh,
VPO MauliBaidwan,
Sector-80, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 733 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh as the Appellant
Ms.Gagandeep Kaur, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 18.06.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.06.2019 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO regarding points d & e.

Having gone through the information provided by the PIO and after hearing both the parties, the commission found that the information on points a to c has been provided and the PIO had denied the information on points d & e stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission made it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though it is sought in question form, should be provided. The PIO was directed to provide the information on points d & e within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 21.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information on point-d & e has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied. The appellant has also raised objection regarding information on points-a, b & c and is not fully satisfied.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the Commission observes that the information sought on points-d & e does not fall under the ambit of RTI Act. The PIO is directed to relook at points a, b & c of the RTI application and send point-wise reply to the appellant.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **27.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sucha Singh, S/o Lt Sh.Nasib Singh,
VPO MauliBaidwan,HethalMohalla,
MualiBaidwan, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

First Appellate authority,
O/o GMADA, Sector-62,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 893 of 2019

**PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh representative of Sh.Sucha Singh for the Appellant
Ms.Gagandeep Kaur, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 18.06.2019. The Commission observed that the PIO had denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission made it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though the information sought is question form, should be provided. The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 21.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 09.07.2019 and again on 09.08.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently attended to and information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Baljit Singh, S/o Lt Sh.Nasib Singh,
VPO MauliBaidwan, Sec-80,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA, Sec-62,
Mohali.

First Appellate authority,
O/o GMADA, Sec-62,
Mohali

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 901 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh on behalf of the Appellant
Ms.Gagandeep Kaur, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 18.06.2019. The Commission observed that the PIO had denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission made it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though the information sought is question form, should be provided. The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 21.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 21.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner