Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana141123.

Versus

V6

Public Information Officer

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Gurdaspur

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Gurdaspur

Appeal Case No.: 5385 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Clerk, RTA, Gurdaspur (9855741910)

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 31.8.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 1.10.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.11.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the appellant, which appellant replies in affirmation.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)

Dated: 21.07.2022 State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Respondent

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana141123.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 5386 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: Sh. Mukesh Bhatia (SO-cum-PIO)

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 31.8.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 1.10.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.11.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the appellant on 19.07.2022, which appellant replies in affirmation.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana141123.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Sri Amritsar Sahib

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Sri Amritsar Sahib

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 5388 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: None present from the respondent department

### **ORDER:**

- The RTI application is dated 31.8.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 1.10.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.11.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing the appellant is present states that he has received information from the respondent department and has no objection to close this case.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana141123.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Gurdaspur

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Secy., Regional Transport Authority, Gurdaspur

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 5608 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: None present from the respondent department

### **ORDER:**

- The RTI application is dated 14.09.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 18.10.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.12.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.07.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing the appellant is present states that he has received information from the respondent department and has no objection to close this case.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ved Parkash (9256837107)

(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Raja Ram,

Dhana Basti, Ward No.7, Rama- 151301, Distt. Bathinda.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

(Regd. Post) O/o Nagar Council, Rama,

Distt. Bathinda

Remanded back

First Appellate Authority (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o ADC, Urban Development,

Bathinda

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 1301 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Complainant: Sh. Ved Parkash along with Sh. Keshav Rai.

Respondent: None from the respondent department

#### **ORDER:**

- 1. The RTI application is dated 04.10.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 29.11.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, representative of appellant, Sh. Keshav states that complainant is sitting beside him as he is not well and unable to present his case. He adds that information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
  - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High

Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

# Complaint Case No.: 1301 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

- 6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
- 7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Vill. Bolapur, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh Distt. Ludhiana 141123,

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 837 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO)

### ORDER:

- The RTI application is dated 13.4.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 3.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 14.2.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Respondent, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur states that requisite information could not be supplied as it is a third party information.
- 3. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied reply is satisfactory. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Vill. Bolapur, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh Distt. Ludhiana 141123,

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 840 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: None present from the respondent department

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 13.04.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 14.02.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.07.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing the appellant is present states that he has received information from the respondent department and has no objection to close this case.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> PSIC voissium Of the Information

Complainant

Sh. Balwinder Singh (9888296107)

(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Surat Singh, # L-5/829, Street No.3,

Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar,

Amritsar

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

(Regd. Post) O/o Regional Transport Authority,

Amritsar

**Remanded Back** 

First Appellate Authority (By Name)

O/o Regional Transport Authority,

Amritsar

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 89 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant: Balwinder Singh

Respondent: None present from the respondent department

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 17.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 11.02.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 21.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Balwinder Singh states that information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the Notice of the Commission.
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
  - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High

Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of

the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

### Complaint Case No.: 89 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

- 6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.
- 7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jagjeet Singh (9646617299)

s/o Sh. Joginder Singh, Vill. Maur Charan Singh, Tehsil Maur Mandi, Distt. Bathinda

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SDM, Maur Mandi, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Maur Mandi, Bathinda

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 5090 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: Appellant- Absent

Respondent – Absent

### **ORDER:**

Dated: 21.07.2022

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 29.3.2022 vide which the respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant with an advice to the appellant to point out deficiency, if any, on receipt its receipt within week's time under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 21.07.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both appellant and respondent are absent but a letter received from the department vide which it has been intimated that the sought for information has been supplied vide letter No.138/RTI dated 18.5.2022 along with supporting documents, which is received in the Commission vide diary no. 10745 dated 20.05.2022 and taken on record.
- 3. As the appellant has not pointed out deficiency in the supplied information, which means he is satisfied with the supplied information and has nothing to say in this regard. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Sanjiv Thakur (9814289764)

Vill. Gudha Khurd, PO Madhopur Cant 145024

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Regional Transport Officer, Hoshiarpur

**First Appellate Authority** 

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o Additional Transport Commissioner, Pb Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1797 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** Appellant: absent

Respondent: Sh. Surinder Bhandari (9877661361).

#### ORDER

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 11.5.2022 vide which the respondent was directed to supply the complete information to the appellant time under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing appellant is absent despite being aware about the date of hearing.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Surinder Bhandari states that the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant vide their letter dated 30.6.2022.
- 4. After discussing with the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant has not pointed out deficiency in the supplied information, which means he is satisfied with the supplied information and has nothing to say in this regard. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Sanjiv Goyal s/o (9814197689)

Sh. Ashok Kumar, H.No.148, Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda 151001.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Bathinda

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Improvement Trust, Bathinda

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 902 of 2021
Through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Sanjiv Goyal

Respondent: Sh. Gobind Kumar, SA (9878311905)

**ORDER:** 

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 11.5.2022 vide which the respondent was directed to supply the information to the appellant time under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The appellant, Sh. Sanjiv Goyal states the sought for information relates with point no. 11 is still pending in spite of various directions.
- 4. The respondent, Sh. Gobind Kumar states that the pending information relates with MC, Bathinda.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that rest of the information had already been supplied except point no.11 by the respondent PIO. Respondent PIO is directed to supply an affidavit in original to the appellant in connection with point no. 11 with a copy to the Commission.
- 6. With aforesaid directions, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)

Dated: 21.07.2022 State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>

Smt. Geeta Rani (8437660997) w/o Late Sh. Sham Lal H.No.24481, UID No.MCBZ-1-00856, Near Civil Hospital, Mansa Road, Bathinda

Complainant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o Commissioner, MC, Bathinda

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 209 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** (i) Sh. Munish s/o Ms. Geeta Rani, complainant.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Pragat Singh (Superintendent) (9814348423)

#### **ORDER:**

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 14.10.2021 vide which the respondent was directed to supply the information to the complainant on his visit to the respondent's office on 18.10.2021. The case was adjourned to 27.4.2022 and further adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Representative of the complainant Sh. Munish states that sought for information has already been received. He has no objection if the present case is disposed of & closed.
- 3. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rohit Sabharwal

RTI Activist, # 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana -141002

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 4157 of 2021 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Appellant-Absent

Respondent – Ms Kulwinder Kaur (PIO).

### **ORDER:**

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 29.3.2022 vide which one more opportunity was granted to the appellant to point out deficiency in the supplied information to the respondent within 7 days under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing appellant is absent. Respondent, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur states that appellant had not pointed out deficiency in the supplied information till date.
- 3. Appellant is absent despite being aware about the date of hearing and there is no correspondence is received from him regarding his absence or deficiency. It is presumed that he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana 141123.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Principal Secy. Transport Department, Pb, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o Principal Secy. Transport Department, Pb, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2895 of 2021 Heard through CISCO Webex

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Jasbir Singh.

Respondent: Sh. Darshan Singh (SA) at Commission Office.

#### ORDER:

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 12.05.2022 vide which appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office within 10 days and collect the information. The case was adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. The appellant states that he has received information and he has no objection, if the present case is closed/disposed of.
- 3. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> PSIC issue Information Appellant

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal (9814197689)

S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar # 148, Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o GM, PRTC, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o GM, PRTC, Bathinda

Dated: 21.07.2022

Respondent

Appeal case No.: 3025 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** Appellant: Sh. Sanjiv Goyal via phone.

Respondent:Sh. Sita Ram (Superintendent)

#### **ORDER:**

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 10.5.2022 vide which an inspection was ordered for 11.5.2022. Matter was fixed for further hearing on 21.07.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present. Respondent, Sh. Sita Ram states that identified information had already been sent to the appellant yesterday.
- 3. After discussing with both the parties, it is observed that identified pages have been supplied to the appellant after the inspection. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Sanjiv Goyal s/o (9814197689)

Sh. Ashok Kumar, H.No.148, Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda 151001.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o MC, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority

O/o MC, Bathinda Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 904 of 2021 Heard Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sanjiv Goyal

Respondents: - Sh. Pargat Singh (Superintendent) along with Sh. Gursagar (XEN) (8284067800)

ORDER:

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 10.5.2022 vide which a show cause notice was issued to the respondent for non-supply of information. He was also directed to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant. The case adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present. Respondent, Sh. Pargat Singh states that partial information has been provided to the appellant and rest of the information relates with Nehri Mandal (Canal Division).
- 3. The appellant states that information from XEN's department is yet to be received.
- 4. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, appellant is advised to visit the respondent's office on 25.07.2022 and respondents are directed to co-operate and supply the reply/information to the appellant as per RTI application. Respondents assured that they will comply with the directions of the Commission.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Pankaj Kumar (9988241285)

S/o Sh. Nabh Singh, MCB Zone-6, H.No.3225, Gali No.1-B, Surkhpeer Road, Bathinda 151 001.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o MC, Bathinda Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1182 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** (i) Sh. Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the appellant

(ii)For the respondent: Sh. Rajinder Kumar (XEN) along with Sh. Davinder Jaura

### **ORDER**

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 17.5.2022 vide which respondent was directed to remove the deficiencies, if any pointed out by the appellant specifically in the supplied information comprising 2249 pages. The case adjourned to 21.07.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. After discussing with both the parties in detail and as per the case file, it is observed that no letter regarding deficiency has been received either by the respondent PIO or by the undersigned Bench, which means appellant has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. Apart from the above observations and on the request of the appellant, visit of the appellant is fixed at respondent's office on Tuesday i.e. 26.07.2022 at 11:00 AM and both the parties are advised to mutually cooperate on the fixed date and time. Respondent PIO had already been supplied sufficient information (2249 pages).
- 5. In case, appellant is not satisfied with the supplied information on his visit, he can file a fresh RTI application to the concerned respondent PIO with clear/specific points to collect the information. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



#33, New Vikas Nagar, Baltana, Zirakpur

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o BDPO, Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 21.07.2022

O/o DDPO, Ferozepur Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 624 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

**Present:** None present.

### **ORDER:**

- 1. Refer earlier order dated 19.5.2022 vide which respondent PIO was directed to furnish complete information within seven days. The case adjourned to 21.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are absent but Sh. Pushpinder Singh, Panchayat Secy. respondent intimated telephonically that the information had already been provided to the appellant by registered post and till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant.
- 3. Neither appellant is present nor his representative for hearing, as appellant sent a letter dated 05.07.2022 which is received in the Commission vide diary no. 15073 dated 08.07.2022 stating therein that he had authorized Sh. Avtar Singh to represent this case on his behalf. This letter is taken on record but nobody bothers to represent this case from the appellant side.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.