



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,  
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,  
Patel Nagar, Malut,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, NC, Malout,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Local Govt, Bathinda.

....Respondent

**Appeal Case .No. 4675 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.08.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 10.06.2019 against unauthorized construction in Malout City and other information concerning the office of EO-NC Malout. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing last on 16.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 04.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete.

The Commission received a letter diary No.5523 on 20.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission. Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - PIO to relook and provide complete information
- 
- Point-2 -Provided
- 
- Point-3 -As per Point-1
- Point-4 -To provide
- Point-5 -Provided

The information was to be provided within 10 days.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent is absent and vide email has sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email has also informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant on 08.07.2020.

The appellant is not satisfied and wants the information regarding construction of showrooms opposite telephone exchange near park(Adverdganj). The appellant is also not satisfied with the reply regarding point-4.

The PIO is directed to send appropriate reply to the appellant on both the points on an affidavit with a copy to the Commission.

To come up for further hearing on **08.09.2020 at 11.00 AM through** video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,  
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,  
Patel Nagar, Malut,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, NC, Malout,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Local Govt, Bathinda.

....Respondent

**Appeal Case .No. 4676 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on 16.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 04.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete.

The Commission received a letter dated No.5522 on 20.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission. Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - PIO to relook and provide names
- Point-2 -Provided
- Point-3 -To provide

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent is absent and vide email has sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email has also informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant on 08.07.2020.

The appellant is not satisfied with the information on point-1 and stated that the PIO has not provided the list of 20 cases in which notices were issued.

The PIO is directed to provide list of 20 cases in which notices were issued.

To come up for further hearing on **08.09.2020 at 11.00 AM through** video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

Sd/-  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Des Raj, S/o Sh Krishan Lal,  
H NO-187, Ward No-15/20,  
Opp Sri Guru Ravidass Mandir,  
Sri Guru Ravidass Nagar, Malout,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

....Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC, Malout,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Local Bodies, Bathinda.

....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 301 of 2020**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on 16.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 26.11.2019. The appellant claimed that the information was incomplete.

The Commission received a letter diary No.5628 on 27.04.2020 vide which the PIO had enclosed a copy of information supplied to the appellant which was taken on the file of the Commission.

Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO, the Commission directed the PIO to provide list of beneficiaries comprising names & addresses only and not to provide Aadhar/PAN/Mobiles Numbers. The information to be provided within 10 days.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent is absent and vide email has sought adjournment due to heavy rain in the area of Malout. The PIO in the said email has also informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been supplied to the appellant on 03.07.2020.

The appellant is absent nor has pointed out any discrepancies. The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO, and the PIO is directed to remove the same.

To come up for further hearing on **08.09.2020 at 11.00 AM through** video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

Sd/-  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ramandeep Singh, Ward No-18,  
Street No-2, Kartar Nagar, Amlah Road,  
Khanna.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o E.O MC,  
Amlah.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Regional Depty Director,  
Urban local Govt, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor,  
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1875 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**     The case was first heard on 17.10.2019. The respondent present on behalf of the PIO sought more time for providing the complete information.

The Commission observed that the appellant had sought information regarding enlistment of contractor M/s N.K. Construction but has not established any larger public interest in seeking the information. The appellant was directed to establish that disclosure of information has a larger public interest.

The case was last heard on **13.02.2020**. The appellant submitted a letter whereby the appellant tried to establish that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest stating that this particular contractor has not been enlisted as per law and disclosure of documents will reveal the contention of the appellant. The appellant stated that the enlistment letter to the contractor can only be issued at the level of the technical officer such as the Municipal Engineer or the Assistant Municipal Engineer.

Keeping the above in view, the Commission found that the disclosure of information is in public interest and directed the PIO to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI application within 15 days.

The case came up for further hearing on 02.06.2020 through VC at DAC, Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any in writing with a copy to the PIO. The PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies and provide the information whatever available in the record as per the RTI Act.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent. The appellant vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Ravinder Kataria,  
# 64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, GLADA,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Addl Chief Administrator,  
GLADA, Ludhiana.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3200 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh. Khushpreet Singh, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on 24.12.2019. Both the parties were absent. The PIO was directed to have a look at the order of the First Appellate Authority and provide the information accordingly.

The case came up for further hearing on 02.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied with the information regarding point-3 and wanted names and registration certificates of the Architects working with GLADA. The respondent stated that no such list of Architects is available with them since any Architect who is registered with the Council of Architects, is allowed to work with GLADA.

The PIO was directed to send a complete reply regarding the procedure being followed for allowing the Architects to work with GLADA.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that there is no special list of architects in their office since any architect registered with the Council of Architects of India, is allowed to work with GLADA and the reply has already been sent to the appellant.

The appellant is absent. Having gone through the RTI application and the information supplied by the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied and no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Kamaljit Singh,  
# 395-L, Model Town,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Addl SE, PSPCL,  
Janta Nagar (Khas),  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Addl SE, PSPCL,  
Janta Nagar (Khas),  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3275 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Surjit Singh, Addl. SE-PSPCL for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**       The case was first heard on 24.12.2019. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay of seven months in attending to the RTI application. The Commission directed the PIO to provide the information within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act. The PIO was also directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case came up for further hearing on 02.06.2020 through video conference facility available in the office of Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant pleaded that the information is incomplete. The respondent further pleaded that since the information pertains to the electric connection which was installed in the year 2009, the record is not traceable and a committee has been constituted to conduct the enquiry regarding missing record.

The PIO was directed to conduct an enquiry into the matter and submit complete enquiry report which establishes that the record is missing and responsibility has been fixed for the person under whose custody the record went missing and suitable action has been taken against him. Also whatever document is available, to provide and that are missing, to give in writing on an affidavit within 7 days.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the order of the Commission, an enquiry was conducted and suitable action has been taken as per procedure. An affidavit regarding missing record has been provided to the appellant on 09.06.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent. Having gone through the RTI application and the information supplied by the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information has been supplied to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Parminder Singh, S/o Sh jaspal Singh,  
R/o H No, 6837/3-A, Mohar Singh Nagar,  
Street No-8, Hargobind Marg, Ludhiana.

Versus

... Appellant

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o PSPCL, Cheema Chowk,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o PSPCL, Cheema Chowk,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3715 of 2019**

**PRESENT:**    **Sh.Parminder Singh as the Appellant**  
                  **Sh.Surjit Singh, Addl. SE-PSPCL for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**        The case was first heard on 28.01.2020. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.01.2020 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant pleaded that the information provided is neither legible nor certified and the information has been provided after filing of appeal in the Commission. The PIO was directed to provide certified legible copy of the information.

The Commission further observed that there has been an enormous delay of more than ten months in providing the information. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to** file reply on an affidavit.

The case came up for further hearing on 02.06.2020 through video conference facility available in the office of Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant. The appellant informed that he has received the information but with a delay of more than one year.

At the hearing on 28.01.2020, the PIO was issued a show cause notice and directed to file reply on an affidavit. The respondent did not file reply to the show cause notice. The respondent pleaded that since the RTI application was not received by them earlier which they received with the notice of the Commission, the information has been provided to the appellant. The Commission accepted the plea of the respondent and dropped the show cause notice.

However, the Commission was of the view that since the appellant had to suffer undue inconvenience to get the information, the PIO was directed to pay an amount of **Rs.3000/-** via demand draft drawn through Govt. Treasury as compensation to the appellant for the loss and detriment suffered by him of having to file the appeals and not getting information in time. The PIO was directed to duly inform the Commission of the compliance of the order and submit proof of having compensated the appellant.



**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The respondent present pleaded that the compensation amount of Rs.3000/- has been paid to the appellant via demand draft and the appellant has received the same.

The Commission has also received an email from the PIO stating that the compensation amount of Rs.3000/- via demand draft No.237430 dated 07.07.2020 has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the same. The PIO has also sent a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant via email which is taken on the file of the Commission.

Since the information has been supplied and compensation has been provided, no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

Sd/-  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Parmod Kumar, S/o Sh Achoor Singh,  
R/o PAM, C-9, Phase-5, Focal Point,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GLADA,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GLADA,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4166 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Parmod Kumar as the Appellant at Chandigarh**  
**Sh.Sh.Khushpreet Singh, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on 26.02.2020. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 19.02.2020 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant had received the information. The appellant however, was not satisfied with the reply regarding point 4(b) and stated that the decision of the court has not been provided. The PIO was directed to resolve the matter regarding point-4(b) within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case came up for further hearing on 02.06.2020 through video conference facility available in the office of Ludhiana.

The respondent pleaded that as discussed at the last hearing, the appellant has not sent the decision of the court on Special Leave Petition. The appellant informed that he had sent the same to GLADA via post on 05.03.2020 and a copy of the same was submitted to the Commission. A copy of the decision of the court on SLP submitted by the appellant was sent to the PIO and the PIO was directed to provide the information on point-4(b) within 15 days.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information on point-4(b). The respondent present pleaded that since the earlier PIO has retired and he has since joined, the information sought on point-4(b) is not clear.

The appellant has asked the information that on what basis, the registration of plot No.C-23 was done after the SLP was rejected by the court. The PIO is directed to provide the information within 15 days.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **09.09.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

Sh. Rohit Sabharwal,  
Kundan Bhawan, 126,  
Model Gram, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, GLADA,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Additional, Chief Administrator,  
GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case .No. 4563 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Khshpreet Singh, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent**

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 02.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent pleaded that they have already supplied the information to the appellant. The appellant was absent.

The PIO was directed to send written reply for delay in attending to the RTI application and also a copy of the information that had been provided to appellant. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letters dated 06.12.2019, 11.03.2020 and is being sent again today on 21.07.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

In the last hearing, the appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the same. The appellant is absent on 2<sup>nd</sup> consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies.

The appellant is directed to appear before the Commission personally or through a representative on the next date of hearing to pursue his case or communicate the discrepancies if any, in writing.

To come up for further hearing on **09.09.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Gagandeep Singh,  
SCO-26, Shanti Park,  
Main Sua Road, Threake,  
Ludhiana.

...Appellant

**Vs**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GLADA,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Additional Chief Administrator,  
GLADA, Ludhiana.

..Respondent

**Appeal Case .No. 4580 of 2019**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Khushpreet Singh, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent**

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 02.06.2020 through video conference facility available in the office of Ludhiana.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.10.2019 and again on 04.03.2020 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO with a copy of the Commission and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

**Hearing dated 21.07.2020:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied. However, for the convenience of the appellant, a copy of the information is being sent with the order for the appellant.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 21.07.2020**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**