**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Tejinder Singh,

R/o Village Bholapur, P.O Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

SDM, Licensing Authority & Registering,

Mansa.

**First Appellate Authority,**

DC,

Mansa. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 944 of 2018**

Present : (i)Sh. Tejinder Singh the Appellant

 (ii) For the Respondent:-Kulwinder Singh, Clerk

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.05.2018.

2. The Appellant states that he has not received any information so far.

3. The Respondent states that they have brought their reply to be handed over to the Appellant according to which the information sought by the Appellant cannot be provided as it in question form and under RTI Act, answers to such questions need not be given.

4. After hearing both the parties and on perusal of the file , it is ascertained that the information sought by the Appellant is general information and not in question form. Hence, it cannot be denied by the Respondent. Therefore, the Respondent is directed to provide complete information to the Appellant before the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on **09.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Jasbir Singh, (***registered post)***

Village Bholapur Jhabewal,

P.O Ramgarh Dsitt Ludhiana. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** (***registered post)***

SDM, Licensing & Registering Authority,

Dasuya, Distt Hoshiarpur.

**First Appellate Authori** (***registered post)***

DC,

Hoshiarpur ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1144 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh Tejinder Singh on behalf of Sh Jasbir Singh.

 (ii) None on behalf of the Respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

2. Shri Tejinder Singh is appearing on behalf of Sh Jasbir Singh without authority letter.

4. Respondent is absent today.

5. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant has filed RTI on 02.12.2017, but no information has been given to him so far. Even the Respondent have not bothered to attend the hearings in the Commission office, which shows that they have no regard for the orders issued by the Commission. This is indeed a serious matter.

6. In view of the above, ***PIO- o/o SDM, Licensing & Registering Authority, Dasuya*** is directedto show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next

**Appeal Case No. 1144 of 2018**

date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. To come up on **09.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**Through registered post**

**CC:** ***PIO- o/o SDM, Licensing & Registering Authority, Dasuya***

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Surjit Singh Saini, S/o Sh Bishan Singh,

R/o 263, Khurla Kingra, Jalandhar. Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

DC,
Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority,**

DC,
Jalandhar. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1153 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Surjit Singh Saini the appellant

 (ii) None on behalf of the Respondent.

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

2. The Appellant states that the complete information has not been provided to him so far.

3. The Respondent is not present today. An e-mail has been received from the Respondent stating that they cannot attend the hearing today and a next date of hearing may be given to them.

4. Hence, the Respondent is given some time and is directed to provide the complete information to the Appellant before the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on **10.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 20.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Sushil Kumar, (***registered)***

H No-1410, Phase-1, Urban Estate,

Dugri Road, Ludhiana. Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** (***registered)***

Nodal Officer-Cum-

Deputy Secretary, PSPCL,

Patiala ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 386 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh Sushil Kumar, Complainant.

 (ii)Sh Baldev Singh, Sr Assistant.

**ORDER**

This order may be read in reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

 2. The Respondent states that they have provided the information to the Complainant.

 3 The Complainant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the Respondent.

 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

*(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the*

**Complaint Case No. 386 of 2018**

*Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).*

 As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

5. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

6.In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

 **Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

 **Dated:21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Sushil Kumar, (***registered)***

H No-1410, Phase-1, Urban Estate,

Dugri Road, Ludhiana. Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** (***registered)***

Nodal Officer O/o CMD-Cum-

Deputy Chief Engineer/TA(Electrical),

 PSPCL,Patiala ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 387 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh Sushil Kumar, Complainant.

 (ii)Sh Baldev Singh, Sr Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read in reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

 2. The Respondent states that they have provided the information to the Complainant.

 3 The Complainant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the Respondent.

 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

*(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the*

**Complaint Case No. 387 of 2018**

*Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).*

 As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

5. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

6.In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

 **Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Ram Pal Chaudhary, S/o Lt Sh Dhani Rani Chaudhary,

12-A, Ajit Nagar, Tanda Road, Backside KMV College,

Jalandhar City-1. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Commissioner, MC,

Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

Commissioner, MC,

Jalandhar. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1133 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh.Kailash Thukral, on behalf of the Appellant

 (ii) For the Respondent : Smt.Pooja Maan,APIO

**ORDER**

This order may be read in reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

2. Sh Kailash Thukral is appearing on behalf of the Appellant with authority letter.

3. Sh Kailash Thukral states that information relating to point no 3 & point no.6, have still not been provided to them.

4. The Respondent states that the information relating to point no.3 and point no.6 is not available in the office record of the Respondent.

5. Hence, the Respondent is directed to provide complete information to the Appellant on point no.3 and point no.6 and if, the information is not available in the office record of the Respondent, then to file an affidavit stating the same. It is also directed that **PIO-Sh Naresh Kumar Mehta, Assistant Town Planner, O/o Commissioner, MC Jalandhar** will come present on the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on **10.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **Through registered post**

**CC: PIO-Sh Naresh Kumar Mehta, Assistant Town Planner, O/o Commissioner, MC Jalandhar**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Rakesh Manhas,

S/o Sh Omkar Singh,

M/s Manhas Chemical and Scientific,

Near Govt Primary School, Sherpur Khurd,

Distt Ludhiana Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Registrar, Firms & Societies, Pb

Chd

**First Appellate Authority-cum-**

Registrar, General Firms and

Societies, Pb, Chd

 ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1130 of 2018**

Present : (i) None for the appellant

 (ii)For the respondent :Sh. Satnam Singh, Sr.Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read in reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

2. The Appellant is not pres ent today.

3. The Respondent states that the information has already been sent to the Appellant by registered post.

4. On perusal of the file, it is seen that the complete information has been sent to the appellant except on one point. Therefore, the Respondent is directed to provide the complete information to the Respondent before the next date of hearing and in case, no information is available in the office record of the Respondent then, to file an affidavit stating the same.

5. To come up on **10.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 **Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Sunny Kumar, S/o Sh Ashok Kumar,

R/o Sakil Colony, Near Ubhawal Phatak,

H No-422, Sangrur. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Chairman, Departmental Recruitment Committee,

C/o DGP Jails, Pb, Chd.

**First Appellate Authority,**

DGP, Jails,

Pb, Chandigarh ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1081 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Vikrant Kumar, on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh.D.K.Sidhu,PIO, Sh.Gurinder Singh, clerk, and Sh Davinder Kumar, Clerk

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018.

2. Sh Vikrant Kumar is appearing on behalf of the Appellant with authority letter.

3. The Respondent states that the complete information has been sent to the Appellant except point no.3, as the information relating to point no.3 is lying with PIO O/o Deputy Inspector of Police, Ferozepur and the same has already been written to them.

4. The Respondent also files his written reply which is taken on record.

5. Therefore, **PIO O/o Chairman, Departmental Recruitment Committee** is directed to collect the information from the concernced department and provide it to the Appellant before the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on **09.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 **Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Rajesh Gupta,

Village Maili, Tehsil Garhshankar,

Distt Hoshiarpur. . Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt of

Animal Husbandry, Pb, Chd

**First Appellate Authority**

Additional Chief Secretary,Deptt of

Animal Husbandry, Pb, Chd ..Respondent .

**Appeal Case No. 379 of 2018**

Present : (i) Dr. Rajesh Gupta the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Dr.K.P.S Pasricha, PIO, alongwith Sh Kulbir Singh, Sr Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018 vide which the Appellant was directed to visit the office of the Respondent , inspect the relevant record and obtain the identified information.

2. The Appellant states that he had gone to the office of the Respondent to inspect the record but he was not attended properly as the staff was busy.

3. Hence, the Respondent is directed to provide complete information to the Appellant before the next date of hearing and in case the information is not available then to file an affidavit stating the same.

4. To come up on **09.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Smt Harpreet Kaur,

Principal Guru Gobind Singh Khalsa Sr. Sec School,

Sarhali Kalan, Distt Tarn Taran.. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Sh Guru Mahabir Singh S/o Sh Paramdeep Singh,

R/o Village Sarhali kalan, Tehsil and Distt Tarn Taran.

**First Appellate Authority,**

DC,

Tarn Taran. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 911 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh.Sukhwinder Singh alongwith Sh Sukhwinder Singh, on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Sukhwinder Singh, O/o DC Tarn Taran, Sh Nirmal Singh, DEO(S) and Sh Naresh Kumar, Sr Assistant

**ORDERS**

Orders are reserved and will be pronounced in due course of time.

 **Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh Baljinder Singh, S/o Sh Ujaggar Singh,

R/o Village Jindalpur, P.O Bhadson,

Distt Patiala Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

Registrar, Punjabi University,

Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**,

Vice Chancellor, Punjabi University,

Patiala. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1092 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh.Baljinder singh the appellant

 (ii) For the respondent : Sh.Surajpreet Singh, Advocate

**ORDER**

This order may be read in reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018

2. As directed during the last hearing Respondent states that the information pertaining to point no 2 is third party information and cannot be provided to the appellant.

3. Hence the Respondent is directed to file an affidavit stating the same.

4. To come up on **09.07.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117 Visit** us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail-psic27@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh K.K Jindal S/o Sh Tej Ram Jindal,

# 13843, Near Red Cross Bal Bhawan,

Ganesha Basti, Bathinda. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

SSP,

Mansa.

**First Appellate Authority,**

DIG, Bathinda Range,

Bathinda. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1124 of 2018**

Present : (i) Dr. K.K.Jindal the appellant

 (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Angrej Singh, Head Constable

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2018 vide which the Respondent was directed to file an affidavit stating that the information on point nos. 1, 3, 4 , 8, 9, 10 and 11 is not available in their office record.

2. Today, the Respondent have filed an affidavit as under:-

 *1. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:1 ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ: ਥ ਸੁਖਜਿੰਦਰ ਪੜਤਾਲੀਆ ਅਫਸਰ ਮੁ.ਨੰ. 39/15 ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਟੀ 1 ਮਾਨਸਾ ਦਾ ਬਿਆਨ/ਪੜਤਾਲ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਬਾਰੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

 *2. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:3 ਜੋ ਕੋਠੀ ਨੰਬਰ 59 ਦਾ ਕਬਜਾ ਮਿਤੀ 31 ਜਨਵਰੀ 2014 ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ (ਕੇ.ਕੇ ਜਿੰਦਲ) ਨੂੰ ਦੇਣ ਬਾਰੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਇਹ ਸਾਬਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੋਵੇ ਕਿ ਕੋਠੀ ਦਾ ਕਬਜਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਉਕਤ ਨੂੰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੋਵੇ।*

 *3. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:4 ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਜੋ ਪੰਚਾਇਤੀ ਰਾਜੀਨਾਮਾ ਮਿਤੀ 08-08-2014 ਬਾਰੇ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

 *4. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:8 ਵਿੱਚ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ (ਕੇ.ਕੇ ਜਿੰਦਲ) ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਵੱਲੋ ਮਿਤੀ 13.06.2015 ਨੂੰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੇਣ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਜੋ ਜਿਕਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

 *5. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:9 ਜੋ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:8 ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਸਪੱਸਟ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

**Appeal Case No. 1124 of 2018**

*6. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:10 ਬਾਰੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਡੀ.ਐਸ.ਪੀ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਮਿਤੀ 28-10-2016 ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਡੀ.ਆਈ.ਜੀ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਮਿਤੀ 04-11-2016 ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

 *7. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪੁਆਇੰਟ ਨੰ:11 ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਜੋ ਇਹ ਸਾਬਿਤ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੋਵੇ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋ ਕੋਈ ਅਪੀਲ ਜਾਇਦਾਦ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਹਾਈਕੋਰਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੋਵੇ, ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ/ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪਾਸ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।*

 *8. ਮੈ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਮਾਨਸਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਬਤੋਰ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਕਮ-ਲੋਕ-ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਅਫਸਰ ਮਿਤੀ 17-3-2017 ਤੋਂ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਹੋਇਆ ਹਾਂ।"*

3. I have gone through the affidavit and agree with it. A Copy of the same is taken on record.

In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is therefore, **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 21.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**