**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Bant Singh,

 H.No.24,Ext.II, Aman City,

 Kharar, District: SAS Nagar. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Council, Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,

A-Block, Third Floor, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 888 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Bant Singh, Appellant, in person.

 Shri Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman, on behalf the respondents.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with in continuation to the previous order dated 22.05.2017 vide which the PIO was directed to get the relevant record inspected by the appellant on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the specific documents required by him and the supply the same to him, on the spot.

2. Today, the respondent informs that a huge information relating to all colonies alongwith copies of Rules/Instructions/Guidelines etc. have been asked for by the appellant. Consequently, after going through the sought information and hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to seek some specific information by submitting a fresh application to the PIO and the PIO is directed to get the relevant record inspected by the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the same to him, on the spot.

3. To come up on **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri K.N.S. Sodhi,

#1634, Sector 70, Mohali. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Mohali. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 895 of 2017**

Present:- Shri K. N. S. Sodhi, appellant, in person.

Shri Sarabjit Singh, Assistant Commissioner-cum-PIO and Shri Harwant Singh, C.S.I., on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 22.05.2017, when the PIO informed that the information, available on record, had already been supplied to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, on the provided information, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to send a detailed reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the PIO informs that the information has already been supplied to the appellant, who hands over a letter, containing deficiencies in the
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provided information, to the PIO stating that the information at Points No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9 and 10 is still pending. Accordingly, the PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies and in case any information is not available in their record, then a written submission to this effect be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Smt. Anuradha,

 w/o Shri Shamsher Singh,

Village: Rauni, Nabha Road,

Tehsil and District: Patiala. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

PIO-cum-Deputy Secretary,

Head Office (IR and W) (RTI Cell),

PSPCL, The Mall Road, Patiala.

FAA- 1. Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Engineer/Commercial,

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.,

Head Office, The Mall, Patiala.

2. Deputy Chief Engineer (Distribution Division),

 Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.

 Patiala.

3. Senior Executive Engineer (Operation),

 Distribution East Division,

 PSPCL, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 964 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Er. Sunil Kumar Jindal, Addl. S.E.-cum-APIO and Shri Harbhajan Singh, SDO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 22.05.2017, when the respondent informed that the information in this case had already been supplied to the appellant which had duly been received by her husband. The appellant was not
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present. However, when contacted on phone, she informed that she had not

received Notice of Hearing from the Commission. Accordingly, the respondents were directed to send one more copy of information to the appellant by registered post and the appellant was directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Er. Sunil Kumar Jindal, Addl. S.E.-cum-APIO, appearing on behalf of the respondents, informs that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and no observations have been received from her.

3. The appellant is not present during second consecutive hearing without any intimation nor any observations, on the provided information, have been received from her, which shows that she is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com))

Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Er. Baldev Singh Longia,

H.No.101, Power Colony No.2, PSPCL,

Patiala. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chairman cum-Managing Director,

PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer (HRD),

PSPCL, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1051 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Baldev Singh Longia, Appellant, in person.

Shri Jaswinder Pal, C.E. /HRD, PSPCL; Shri R.L. Garg, Deputy C.E. Personnel and Shri Rajinder Singh, Nodal Officer, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Baldev Singh Longia, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 01.08.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought copies of latest office order/present address office/residential of 12 officers/officials. On receiving no information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 04.10.2016 and then approached the Commission in second appeal vide letter dated 18.03.2017, which was received in the Commission on 05.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for 22.05.2017.

2. On 22.05.2017, the appellant submitted that no information had been supplied to him as yet. He informed that the PIO-cum-Dy. C.E. Personnel had informed him vide Memo. No. 12387/RTI-617, dated 15.09.2016 that the sought information was not available in their office and thus could not be supplied. The appellant further informed that the First Appellate Authority had dismissed his first appeal.
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3. Shri Gurpreet Singh, Senior Assistant, appearing on behalf of the respondents, informed that the RTI application of the appellant was transferred to HRD Section and no information had been received from them. He was unable to explain the factual position as to by which office the sought information was to be supplied to the appellant. Accordingly, it was noted with concern that the PIO had not bothered to make efforts to collect the information from the concerned office and supply the same to the appellant during the last more than 8 months, which was readily available in the office domain. Accordingly, Shri Jaspal Singh Birdi, Chief Engineer, HRD and Shri Ram Lal Garg, Deputy Chief Engineer Personnel were issued a Show-Cause Notice each for imposing penalty upon them and awarding compensation to the appellant. They were also given an opportunity of personal hearing on the next date of hearing in the interest natural justice, before taking any action. The case was adjourned for today.

4. Today, Shri Jaswinder Pal, C.E. /HRD; Shri R.L. Garg, Deputy C.E. Personnel-cum-PIO and Shri Rajinder Singh, Nodal Officer, PSPCL, Patiala are present. Shri R.L. Garg, Deputy C.E. Personnel-cum-PIO submits a duly attested affidavit stating that the appellant has sought certified copy of latest office order/present address office/residential of 12 officials/officers but he did not mention the Employee ID of officials in his RTI request. He has further stated that the PSPCL has about 37000 officers/officials and the requisite information had to be culled out from 37000 officials. He has also submitted that Section 2(f) of RTI Act does not oblige the PIO to search information and Section 7(9) does not make it mandatory for PIO to undertake such a massive exercise which diverts the resources of Public Authority and on these grounds the information was denied to the information seeker. He has also informed that the First Appellate Authority , while upholding the decision of the PIO, dismissed his First Appeal. Lastly, he has prayed that the petition being vexatious, frivilous, is liable to be

dismissed.
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5. The appellant submits that he has sought the said information as the same is required to comply with the orders of Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court dated 29.07.2016 in Writ Petition No. 18354 of 2009 as some of the respondents in the Writ Petition could not be served the summons as these were received back for want of correct addresses.

6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record vis-à-vis keeping in view the directions of Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in Writ Petition No. 18354 of 2009, I am convinced that the appellant fully deserves to be provided the requisite information. Therefore, the respondent PIO is directed to dig out the office order No. 509, dated 15.10.2009 and office order No. 573, dated 03.11.2009 and provide complete information to the appellant including attested copy of the said orders, before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission.

7. To come up on **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for confirmation of compliance of orders.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.  -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 991 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Parampal Singh, MTP Phagwara and Shri Nirmaljit Verma, Building Inspector, Jalandhar, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 23.05.2017, when none was present on behalf of the respondents. However, a letter No. MTP/1874, dated 22.05.2017 was received through e-mail from the PIO-cum-ATP, Municipal Corporation Jalandhar informing that the information had been supplied to the appellant vide Memo. No. MTP/1871, dated 22.05.2017. The appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him so far. Accordingly, the respondent PIO was directed to send one more copy of the information to the appellant by registered post and the appellant was directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency. The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the appellant informs that deficiencies, if any, in the provided information will be furnished to PIO. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to supply the complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies and in case any information is not available in their record, a written submission to this effect be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings at Chandigarh.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authorioty,

O/o Additional Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.  -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 990 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Parampal Singh, MTP Phagwara and Shri Nirmaljit Verma, Building Inspector, Jalandhar, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 23.05.2017, when the respondent informed that complete information had been supplied to the appellant. The appellant, while confirming it, submitted that the information had been delayed for more than 7 months. He requested that suitable action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 be taken against the PIO. Accordingly, a Show-Cause Notice was issued to Shri Banke Sachdeva, PIO-cum-ATP, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar for imposing penalty for the delay in the supply of information.

2. A letter No. MTP/1873, dated 22.05.2017 was received through e-mail from the PIO-cum-ATP, Municipal Corporation Jalandhar informing that the information had been supplied to the appellant vide Memo. No. MTP/1872, dated 22.05.2017. It
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had been submitted that the delay in this case was neither willful nor intentional

because the Building Inspectors of the concerned areas kept changing from time to time. He tendered unconditional apology and gave assurance for the timely disposal of all RTI cases in future. The case was adjourned for today.

3. The plea put forth by the ATP is duly accepted and the Show-Cause Notice issued to him is dropped. Today, the appellant informs that deficiencies, if any, in the provided information will be furnished to PIO. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to supply the complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies and in case any information is not available in their record, a written submission to this effect be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings at Chandigarh.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.  -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 989 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Parampal Singh, MTP and Ms. Pooja Kumari, Building Inspector-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 23.05.2017, when the respondent informed that the requisite information had been sent to the appellant whereas the appellant denied the receipt of the information. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to supply one more copy of the information to the appellant and the appellant is directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency in the provided information. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the respondent informs that the information, available on record, has already been supplied to the appellant whereas the appellant expresses dis-satisfaction while stating that the provided information is still incomplete. Consequently, after hearing both the parties, the respondent PIO is directed to get the
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record inspected by the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the same to him on the spot and in case any information is not available in record, then a written submission to this effect be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,

# 30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 992 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Parampal Singh, MTP and Smt. Sushma Duggal, Building Inspector, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 23.05.2017, when the respondent informed that complete information had been supplied to the appellant. The appellant informed that he had not received any information so far. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to send one more copy of the information to the appellant by registered post and the appellant was directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant informs that deficiencies in the provided information have been furnished to the PIO whereas the respondent submits that there is no other document available in their record regarding the instant RTI application. He further submits that the Building Plan of the said Apahaj Ashram has not been passed and only land was allotted to the Ashram.

3. In view of the facts narrated above, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chambr No.82, District Courts, Mohali. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab,

17 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1165 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Dr. Gurmit Singh, Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga and Shri Sukhvinder Singh, Superintendent RTI, on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 24.05.2017, when the respondent submitted letter No. 1667, dated 23.05.2017 from Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga vide which it had been informed that the appellant had been asked to deposit Rs. 28,000/- as document charges, which had not been deposited by him so far. It was assured by the respondent that as and when the amount was deposited by the appellant, the information would be supplied to him. The appellant submitted that he had been asked to deposit Rs. 28,000/- as document charges for all the cases filed by him. He requested that separate amount be intimated for each individual case. After hearing both the parties and discussing the case in detail, the respondent PIO was directed to
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ask for document charges for each case separately to be deposited by the appellant and the appellant was directed to deposit the same to obtain the requisite information, which would be supplied to him by the PIO. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Dr. Gurmit Singh, Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga, appearing on behalf of the Respondents, informs that document charges for each case separately, have been intimated to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to deposit the documents charges with the PIO and the PIO is directed to supply the information thereafter. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No.82, District Courts,

Mohali. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab,

Sector 17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director Animal Husbandry, Punjab,

Sector 17, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1218 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Dr. Gurmit Singh, Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga and Shri Sukhvinder Singh, Superintendent RTI, on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 24.05.2017, when the respondent submitted letter No. 1667, dated 23.05.2017 from Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga vide which it had been informed that the appellant had been asked to deposit Rs. 28,000/- as document charges, which had not been deposited by him so far. It was assured by the respondent that as and when the amount was deposited by the appellant, the information would be supplied to him. The appellant submitted that he had been asked to deposit Rs. 28,000/- as document charges for all the cases filed by him. He requested that separate amount be intimated for each individual case. After hearing both the parties and discussing the case in detail, the respondent PIO was directed to
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ask for document charges for each case separately to be deposited by the appellant and the appellant was directed to deposit the same to obtain the requisite information, which would be supplied to him by the PIO. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Dr. Gurmit Singh, Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Moga, appearing on behalf of the Respondents, informs that document charges for each case separately, have been intimated to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to deposit the documents charges with the PIO and the PIO is directed to supply the information thereafter. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

([www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)) - Phone: 0172-2864100-01

Shri Manjit Singh s/o Shri Gurtej Singh,

Village Chauke, Tehsil Phool,

District: Bathinda-148109. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Mohali. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1153 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Surinder Kalia, Shri Yadvinder Singh and Shri Balwinder Singh, on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Ravinder Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Manjit Singh, Appellant, vide his RTI application dated 31.12.2016, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding FIR No. 33, dated 13.03.2014. On receiving no information, he filed First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 07.02.2017 and then approached the Commission in the second appeal, which was received in the Commission on 24.04.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2017.

2. On 24.05.2017, the respondent submitted a letter No. 29476/G/RTI, dated 23.05.2017 vide which it had been informed that the point-wise information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter No. 26/17/7228/G, RTI, dated 14.02.2017 and letter No. 29/S/17/10323/GRTI, dated 28.02.2017. The provided information had also been incorporated
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in the letter dated 23.05.2017. The appellant submitted that he wanted Action Taken Report on

his complaint regarding which he had been called by SSP Mohali. Accordingly, the appellant was directed to supply a copy of his complaint to the respondent, who was directed to supply Action Taken Report to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, Shri Ravinder Singh, ASI, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits a letter No. 35603/G/RTI, dated 20.06.2017 from the APIO of the office of SSP, S.A.S. Nagar vide which it has been informed that requisite information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter No. 29476/G, dated 23.05.2017 and Challan of Case No. 33 dated 13.03.2014 has been filed in the court and the case is pending in the court of Shri Harpreet Singh, P.P.S/J.M.I.G., Mohali. It has been further informed that the concerned file could not be procured from the court as there are vacations in the court from 16.06.2017 to 30.06.2017. It has been requested that the case may be adjourned to a date after 30.06.2017 so that Action Taken Report could be filed after procuring the file from the court.

4. On the request of the APIO, the case is adjourned to **20.07.2017 at 11.30 A.M.** for further proceedings, with the directions that Action taken report on the complaint of the appellant be supplied to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission.

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.06.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**