

Sh. Wassan Singh Goraya (98885-00466)

S/o Sh. Ajit Singh, R/o 120 D, Sector-79, Airport Road, Mohali

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Sector-36 A, Chandigarh

Complaint Case No.: 1085 of 2018

Respondent

Complainant

PresentSh. Wassan Singh Goraya, the appellant present in person.
Nobody present on the behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- The above mentioned case was last heard by the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Sanjiv Garg and thereafter allocated to the bench of undersigned vide Order dated 26.03.2019. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Respondent is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he filed any reply in this context, which shows no regard to the notice of the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Wassan Singh Goraya, the appellant states that he has already pointed out deficiencies in the information received and sent it to the respondent office but neither the deficiencies have been removed nor any reply in this regard has been received by him. A letter vide diary no. 1800 dated 22.11.2019 and an email from email id <u>wsg00009@gmail.com</u> dated 06.03.2019 pointing out deficiencies in this regard are already on record.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO is directed to provide point-wise pending requisite information removing the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application in this regard, to the appellant through registered post and present the copy of receipt of said registered post before the Commission, on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- Both the parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan (98143-54649)

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Mahajan, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Public Information Officer O/o Superintendent Sales, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Body, Government, Punjab, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 919 of 2019

Respondent

Present Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 06.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Respondent is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he filed any reply in this context, which shows no regard to the notice of the Commission.
- 3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>kumarkamal73@gmail.com</u> on 20.05.2019 which seems to relate with some other case of appellant (i.e. Appeal case no. 925 of 2019) but is taken on record as case no. of this appeal case is mentioned in the email.
- After going through the documents on record, in the letter of respondent with reference no.
 ਏਆਈਟੀ / ਐਸ.ਐਸ: (ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ) 8737 ਅੱਮਿਤ੍ਰਸਰ dated 25.01.2019 already in record, it is found

that the respondent PIO denied to provide the requisite information under sub-sections of Section 8 to the appellant as requisite information relates with the third party information and intimated the appellant to justify a relevant Public Interest in this case (as the appellant has not justified it in this case) so that information can be supplied to him. He also referred the orders/instructions of Hon'ble High Court in writ petition 3344 of 2017 dated 05.04.2017 of Satish Kumar Bihari vs State of Punjab and others.

5. In the view of above the appellant is given one opportunity with an advice either to justify a relevant Public Interest in this case and/or file a satisfactory reply in this case in this regard by the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.



Appeal Case No.: 919 of 2019

- Both the parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan (98143-54649)

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Mahajan, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Improvement Trust,

Amritsar

Public Information Officer O/o Trust Engineer, Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Controller (F & A), Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Body, Government, Punjab, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 925 of 2019

Present Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 06.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- Respondent is absent for today's hearing but an email is received in the Commission from email id <u>vineetagg79@gmail.com</u> on 20.05.2019 requesting therein for exemption from today's hearing due to election duty. This email is considered and taken on record.
- 3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>kumarkamal73@gmail.com</u> on 20.05.2019 which seems to relate with some other case of appellant (i.e. Appeal case no. 919 of 2019) but is taken on record as case no. of this appeal case is mentioned in the email.
- 4. After going through the documents on record, both the parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner





Sh. Sumesh Kumar (94654-94542)

Ward No. 10, Jain Mohalla, S/o Sh. Kuldeep Mahajan, Patti, District Tarn Taran

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Tarn Taran

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 942 of 2019

Respondent

PresentSh. Abhinandan Chhabra, the representative of appellant is present.
Sh. Pawan Kuamr PIO cum Deputy Director present along with Ms. Suman
Sharma, SA from DPI office and Sh. Naresh Kumar, SA from DEO Tarn Taran
office on the behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 06.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Abhinandan Chhabra, the representative of appellant, states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Sh. Naresh Kumar, SA states that the requisite information relates with National Model School, Patti, District Tarn Taran and their office has sought for the information/reply from school but no response has been given by the school in this regard despite of several reminders.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO (O/o DEO Tarn Taran) is given one opportunity along with directions to retrieve requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application from the concerned school and provide appellant registered post as it is responsibility of the respondent PIO (O/o DEO Tarn Taran) and is alos directed to present the copy of receipt of said registered post before the Commission, on next date of hearing failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. <u>The FAA authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is also advised to take necessary action</u> <u>against the concerned respondent School i.e. National Model School, Patti, District Tarn</u> <u>Taran is he/she deem it fit.</u>

Page 1 of 2



Appeal Case No.: 942 of 2019

- Both the parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Dev Raj (95013-45345)

S/o Sh. Dharam Chand, VPO Pandori Khas, Tehsl Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Incharge Govt. Middle School, Tahli, Nakodar

First Appellate Authority O/o D.D.O/Headmaster/Principal, G.M.S., Tahli, Nakodar

Appeal Case No.: 951 of 2019

Respondent

Present Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 08.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Respondent is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she files any reply, which shows no regards to the notice/order of Commission.
- 3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>devrajmalhi@gmail.com</u> on 14.05.2019 along with supporting documents attached mentioning therein that the respondent PIO and FAA claims that requisite information has been provided to the appellant vide their office letter no. 87 dated 04.02.2019 but in the said letter only a reply is given that requisite information will be provided to the appellant after the permission of higher authorities of Education department and not the requisite information. This email is considered and taken on record.
- 4. After going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with directions to provide requisite information and/or relevant written reply satisfying the RTI application by the next date of hearing positively, failing to which action under section 20(i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- Both the parties are directed / advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s), on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Surjit Singh (94172-86636)

House No. 115/7, Opposite Main Park, Deol Nagar, Nakodar Road, Jalandhar-144003

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o SDM-2, District Administrative Complex, Jalandhar City (Punjab)-144001

First Appellate Authority

O/o SDM-2, District Administrative Complex, Jalandhar City (Punjab)-144001

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 952 of 2019 Date of Institution: 08.03.2019 Date of Decision: 21.05.2019

Present

Sh. Surjit Singh, the appellant present in person. Sh. Bhupinder Singh, Reader to SDM-2, Jalandhar (94171-53502) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 08.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Bhupinder Singh, Reader handed over the copy of requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant, during the hearing.
- 3. Sh. Surjit Singh, the appellant, after going through the information states that he is satisfied with the requisite information but shows his dissatisfaction with respondent PIO saying that the respondent PIO instead of providing information at PIO level or even at FAA level are delaying information and is providing it in Second Appeal before the Commission.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO O/o SDM-2, Jalandhar city is advised to provide requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant(s)/complainant(s) without any delay in future which in turn harasses the appellant(s)/complainant(s) and also wastes the time and resources of Public authority and the Commission. In this appeal case, as the requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 962 of 2019

PresentSh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person.Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector (81468-00598) present along with Sh. Jaspal
Singh, Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- 3. Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector handed over information that relates with point no. 2 & 3 during the hearing and denied information that relates with point no. 1 of RTI application stating that it is a third party information and cannot be provided as third party denied to disclose their information.
- 4. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, objected on this stating that requisite information i.e. file/Paper Book of GM Enclave is not a third party information but public information which cannot be denied as no personal information is sought for.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file) Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 963 of 2019

PresentSh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person.Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector (81468-00598) present along with Sh. Jaspal
Singh, Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector requested the Commission for an adjournment to provide information as date has been extended till 30 June 2019 for Regularization in NOC Policy that relates to requisite information in RTI application.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, the respondent PIO is directed to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application till next date of hearing.
- 5. The case is adjourned for further hearing on **10.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Respondent

Appellant



Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 964 of 2019

PresentSh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person.Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector (81468-00598) present along with Sh. Jaspal
Singh, Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- 3. Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector, denied to provide requisite information stating that it is a third party information and it is also voluminous as appellant has not specified the information required and time period of requisite information is not mentioned in RTI application so that consent from third party may be taken to provide information to appellant. She also submitted a written signed by MTP Department, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar vide letter no. MTP/2725 dated 24.01.2019, which is taken on record.
- 4. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, objected on this stating that requisite information is only NOC files of Universal Enclave and Universal Colony in Mithapur, Major Colony except their ID Proof's which is not a third party information but public information which cannot be denied as no personal information is sought for.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file) Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 965 of 2019

Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person. Present Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector (81468-00598) present along with Sh. Jaspal Singh, Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- 3. Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector, denied to provide requisite information stating that it is a third party information and it is also voluminous as appellant has not specified the information required and time period of requisite information is not mentioned in RTI application so that consent from third party may be taken to provide information to appellant.
- 4. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, objected on this stating that inspection requisite information (i.e. files of NOC of regularization policy, files of colony regularization) and documents demanded after said inspection is not a third party information but public information which cannot be denied as no personal information is sought for.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file)

Appellant

Respondent



Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 966 of 2019

Respondent

Appellant

PresentSh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person.Sh. Gurmail Singh, Clerk, (84273-55639) present on the behalf of respondent
PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- 3. An email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>inspectordinesh09@gmail.com</u> signed by Sh. Dinesh Joshi, APIO, MTP Dempartment, MC, Jalandhar on 21.05.2019 requesting therein for his exemption from today's hearing due duty assigned in Lok Sabha elections. This email is considered and taken on record.
- 4. Sh. Gurmail Singh, clerk, denied to provide requisite information stating is voluminous as appellant has not specified the information required and time period of requisite information is not mentioned in RTI application
- 4. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, objected on this stating that time period requisite information is justified as information is required from the time period the banquet halls/ marriage palaces came into existence.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.05.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file)

BUT BUT OF BUT O

Sh. Simranjit Singh (98760-76662)

S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh, 93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Jalandhar

Appeal Case No.: 967 of 2019

Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant present in person. Present Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector (81468-00598) present along with Sh. Jaspal Singh, Draftsman on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The appellant has filed this appeal case on 11.03.2019 in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing.
- 2. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, states that requisite information is still pending.
- 3. Ms. Pooja Maan, Building Inspector, denied to provide requisite information stating that it is a third party information and it is also voluminous and time period of requisite information is not mentioned in RTI application. It is further added that consent to third parties have been sent and requisite information can only be provided after the approval of third parties. She also submitted a written signed by MTP Department, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar vide letter no. MTP/2724 dated 04.02.2019, which is taken on record.
- 4. Sh. Simranjit Singh, the appellant, objected on this stating that requisite information is not a third party information but public information which cannot be denied as no personal information is sought for.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file)

Chandigarh

Dated: 21.05.2019

Appellant

Respondent

म मुण्ठतः सम्प्रम म्प्रम् P à By